

Morgan Stanley

MORGAN STANLEY

(incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware in the United States of America)

MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL plc

(incorporated with limited liability in England and Wales)

MORGAN STANLEY B.V.

(incorporated with limited liability in The Netherlands)

and

MORGAN STANLEY FINANCE LLC

(formed under the laws of the State of Delaware in the United States of America)

This registration document (including all documents incorporated by reference herein, the "**Registration Document**") has been approved by the Luxembourg Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier (the "**CSSF**") which is the Luxembourg competent authority for the purposes of Directive 2003/71/EC, as amended (the "**Prospectus Directive**") and relevant implementing measures in Luxembourg as a registration document issued in compliance with the Prospectus Directive and relevant implementing measures in Luxembourg for the purposes of providing information during the period of twelve months after the date of approval of this Registration Document with regard to Morgan Stanley ("**Morgan Stanley**"), Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc ("**MSI plc**"), Morgan Stanley B.V. ("**MSBV**") and Morgan Stanley Finance LLC ("**MSFL**") as issuers or obligors in respect of debt or derivative securities.

Prospective investors should consider the factors relating to Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV and MSFL described in the section entitled "*Risk Factors*", commencing on page 1 of this Registration Document.

Each of the Responsible Persons accepts responsibility for the information contained in the relevant document and confirms that, to the best of its knowledge, having taken all reasonable care to ensure that such is the case, the information contained in the relevant document is in accordance with the facts and contains no omission likely to affect the import of such information. "Responsible Person" means (i) Morgan Stanley with regard to the Morgan Stanley registration document (the "Morgan Stanley Registration Document") which comprises this Registration Document with the exception of (A) Items 4 to 9 in the section entitled "*Information Incorporated by Reference*" set out at pages 17-19; and (B) the sections entitled "*Description of Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc*" set out at pages 62-67; "*Description of Morgan Stanley B.V.*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley B.V.*" set out at pages 68-71; and "*Description of Morgan Stanley Finance LLC*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley Finance LLC*" set out at pages 72-74; (ii) MSI plc in relation to the MSI plc registration document (the "MSI plc Registration Document") which comprises this Registration Document with the exception of (A) Items 1 to 3 and 6 to 9 in the section entitled "*Information Incorporated by Reference*" set out at pages 15-19; and (B) the sections entitled "*Description of Morgan Stanley*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley*" set out at pages 22-61; "*Description of Morgan Stanley B.V.*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley B.V.*" set out at pages 68-71; "*Description of Morgan Stanley Finance LLC*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley Finance LLC*" set out at pages 72-74; and "*Significant Subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley as at 31 December 2015*" set out at pages 75-88; (iii) MSBV with regard to the MSBV registration document (the "MSBV Registration Document") which comprises this Registration Document with the exception of (A) Items 1-5 and 8 and 9 in the section entitled "*Information Incorporated by Reference*" set out at pages 15-19; and (B) the sections entitled "*Description of Morgan Stanley*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley*" set out at pages 22-61; "*Description of Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc*" set out at pages 62-67; "*Description of Morgan Stanley Finance LLC*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley Finance LLC*" set out at pages 72-74; and "*Significant Subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley as at 31 December 2015*" set out at pages 75-88; and (iv) MSFL with regard to the MSFL registration document (the "MSFL Registration Document") which comprises this Registration Document with the exception of (A) Items 1 to 7 in the section entitled "*Information Incorporated by Reference*" set out at pages 15-18; and (B) the sections entitled "*Description of Morgan Stanley*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley*" set out at pages 22-61; "*Description of Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc*" and "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc*" set out at pages 62-67;

"Description of Morgan Stanley B.V." and "Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley B.V." set out at pages 68-71; and "Significant Subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley as at 31 December 2015" set out at pages 75-88.

MORGAN STANLEY

10 June 2016

Important Notices

The distribution of this Registration Document and the offering, sale and delivery of debt or derivative securities in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law. Persons into whose possession this Registration Document comes are required by Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV and MSFL to inform themselves about and to observe any such restrictions.

This Registration Document is intended to form part of a prospectus prepared in compliance with the Prospectus Directive and relevant implementing measures and should be read and construed with any supplement hereto together with all documents incorporated by reference into it, the other parts of such relevant prospectus or, as the case may be, securities note containing disclosure in relation to any issue of debt or derivative securities by any of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL (or for which any of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL is an obligor) and, where appropriate, the final terms containing information with respect to such debt or derivative securities (the "Final Terms").

No person has been authorised to give any information or to make any representation not contained in or not consistent with this Registration Document and, if given or made, such information or representation must not be relied upon as having been authorised by any of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL, any trustee or any dealer appointed in relation to any issue of debt or derivative securities by Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL or for which any of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL is an obligor.

This Registration Document does not constitute an offer of or an invitation to subscribe for or purchase any debt or derivative securities and should not be considered as a recommendation by any of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL that any recipient of this Registration Document should subscribe for or purchase any debt or derivative securities. Each recipient of this Registration Document will be taken to have made its own investigation and appraisal of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV and MSFL and of the particular terms of any offered debt or derivative securities.

The distribution of this Registration Document and the offer or sale of securities issued by any of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL (or in relation to which Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL is an obligor) may be restricted by law in certain jurisdictions. Persons into whose possession this Registration Document or any document incorporated by reference herein or any securities issued by Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL (or for which Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL is an obligor) come must inform themselves about, and observe, any such restrictions. For a description of certain restrictions on offers, sales and deliveries of securities issued by any of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL (or for which Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL is an obligor) and on the distribution of this Registration Document, including any document incorporated herein by reference, see the applicable description of arrangements relating to subscription and sale of the relevant debt or derivative securities in the relevant prospectus or securities note.

All references in this Registration Document to "Sterling" and "£" are to the lawful currency of the United Kingdom, all references to "U.S. dollars," "U.S.\$" and "\$" are to the lawful currency of the United States of America (the "U.S.") and all references to "euro", "€" and "EUR" are to the single currency introduced at the start of the third stage of the European Economic and Monetary Union pursuant to the Treaty establishing the European Community, as amended.

CONTENTS

	Page
RISK FACTORS	1
INFORMATION INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE	15
DESCRIPTION OF MORGAN STANLEY	22
SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MORGAN STANLEY	61
DESCRIPTION OF MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC	62
SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC	67
DESCRIPTION OF MORGAN STANLEY B.V.	68
SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MORGAN STANLEY B.V.	71
DESCRIPTION OF MORGAN STANLEY FINANCE LLC	72
SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MORGAN STANLEY FINANCE LLC	74
SIGNIFICANT SUBSIDIARIES OF MORGAN STANLEY AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2015	75
INDEX OF DEFINED TERMS	89

RISK FACTORS

Prospective investors should read the entire Registration Document and any relevant securities note (and where appropriate, any relevant final terms and summary). Words and expressions defined elsewhere in this Registration Document have the same meanings in this section.

Prospective investors should consider the factors described below and consult with their own professional advisers if they consider it necessary. Each of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV and MSFL believes that the factors described below represent the principal risks with respect to each of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV and MSFL.

Risks Relating to Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV and MSFL

Morgan Stanley is the ultimate parent company of the Morgan Stanley group of companies (Morgan Stanley and its consolidated subsidiaries, the "**Morgan Stanley Group**"). Each of MSBV, MSI plc and MSFL belongs to the Morgan Stanley Group.

All material assets of MSBV are obligations of one or more of the Morgan Stanley Group companies and securities issued by MSBV and MSFL are guaranteed by Morgan Stanley.

There are substantial inter-relationships between MSI plc and Morgan Stanley as well as other Morgan Stanley Group companies, including the provision of funding, capital services and logistical support to or by MSI plc, as well as common or shared business or operational platforms or systems, including employees.

The principal risks with respect to Morgan Stanley described below will also represent (together with the risk factors specific to such entities as described below) the principal risks with respect to MSI plc, MSBV and MSFL, either as individual entities or as part of the Morgan Stanley Group.

Market Risk

Market risk refers to the risk that a change in the level of one or more market prices, rates, indices, implied volatilities (the price volatility of the underlying instrument imputed from option prices), correlations or other market factors, such as market liquidity, will result in losses for a position or portfolio owned by Morgan Stanley.

Morgan Stanley's results of operations may be materially affected by market fluctuations and by global and economic conditions and other factors.

Morgan Stanley's results of operations have been in the past and may be materially affected by market fluctuations due to global and economic conditions and other factors, including the level and volatility of equity, fixed income and commodity prices (including oil prices), interest rates, currency values and other market indices. The results of Morgan Stanley's Institutional Securities business segment, particularly results relating to Morgan Stanley's involvement in primary and secondary markets for all types of financial products, are subject to substantial market fluctuations due to a variety of factors that Morgan Stanley cannot control or predict with great certainty. These fluctuations impact results by causing variations in new business flows and in the fair value of securities and other financial products. Fluctuations also occur due to the level of global market activity, which, among other things, affects the size, number and timing of investment banking client assignments and transactions and the realisation of returns from Morgan Stanley's principal investments. During periods of unfavourable market or economic conditions, the level of individual investor participation in the global markets, as well as the level of client assets, may also decrease, which would negatively impact the results of Morgan Stanley's Wealth Management business segment. In addition, fluctuations in global market activity could impact the flow of investment capital into or from assets under management or supervision and the way customers allocate capital among money market, equity, fixed income or other investment alternatives, which could negatively impact Morgan Stanley's Investment Management business segment.

The value of Morgan Stanley's financial instruments may be materially affected by market fluctuations. Market volatility, illiquid market conditions and disruptions in the credit markets make it extremely difficult to value certain of Morgan Stanley's financial instruments, particularly during periods of market displacement. Subsequent valuations in future periods, in light of factors then prevailing, may result in significant changes in the values of these instruments and may adversely impact historical or prospective

performance-based fees (also known as incentive fees or carried interest) in respect of certain business. In addition, at the time of any sales and settlements of these financial instruments, the price Morgan Stanley ultimately realises will depend on the demand and liquidity in the market at that time and may be materially lower than their current fair value. Any of these factors could cause a decline in the value of Morgan Stanley's financial instruments, which may have an adverse effect on its results of operations in future periods.

In addition, financial markets are susceptible to severe events evidenced by rapid depreciation in asset values accompanied by a reduction in asset liquidity. Under these extreme conditions, hedging and other risk management strategies may not be as effective at mitigating trading losses as they would be under more normal market conditions. Moreover, under these conditions market participants are particularly exposed to trading strategies employed by many market participants simultaneously and on a large scale. Morgan Stanley's risk management and monitoring processes seek to quantify and mitigate risk to more extreme market moves. However, severe market events have historically been difficult to predict and Morgan Stanley could realise significant losses if extreme market events were to occur.

Holding large and concentrated positions may expose Morgan Stanley to losses.

Concentration of risk may reduce revenues or result in losses in Morgan Stanley's market-making, investing, block trading, underwriting and lending businesses in the event of unfavourable market movements. Morgan Stanley commits substantial amounts of capital to these businesses, which often results in its taking large positions in the securities of, or making large loans to, a particular issuer or issuers in a particular industry, country or region.

Credit Risk

Credit risk refers to the risk of loss arising when a borrower, counterparty or issuer does not meet its financial obligations to Morgan Stanley.

Morgan Stanley is exposed to the risk that third parties that are indebted to it will not perform their obligations.

Morgan Stanley incurs significant credit risk exposure through its Institutional Securities business segment. This risk may arise from a variety of business activities, including but not limited to extending credit to clients through various loans and lending commitments; providing short or long-term funding that is secured by physical or financial collateral whose value may at times be insufficient to fully cover the loan repayment amount; entering into swap or other derivative contracts under which counterparties have obligations to make payments to Morgan Stanley; posting margin and/or collateral and other commitments to clearing houses, clearing agencies, exchanges, banks, securities firms and other financial counterparties; and investing and trading in securities and loan pools whereby the value of these assets may fluctuate based on realised or expected defaults on the underlying obligations or loans.

Morgan Stanley also incurs credit risk in its Wealth Management business segment lending to mainly individual investors, including, but not limited to, margin and securities-based loans collateralised by securities, residential mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit.

While Morgan Stanley believes current valuations and reserves adequately address its perceived levels of risk, adverse economic conditions may negatively impact its clients and its current credit exposures. In addition, as a clearing member of several central counterparties, Morgan Stanley finances its customer positions and it could be held responsible for the defaults or misconduct of its customers. Although Morgan Stanley regularly reviews its credit exposures, default risk may arise from events or circumstances that are difficult to detect or foresee.

A default by a large financial institution could adversely affect financial markets.

The commercial soundness of many financial institutions may be closely interrelated as a result of credit, trading, clearing or other relationships between the institutions. For example, increased centralisation of trading activities through particular clearing houses, central agents or exchanges as required by provisions of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "**Dodd-Frank Act**") may increase Morgan Stanley's concentration of risk with respect to these entities. As a result, concerns about, or a default or threatened default by, one institution could lead to significant market-wide liquidity and credit problems, losses or defaults by other institutions. This is sometimes referred to as "systemic risk"

and may adversely affect financial intermediaries, such as clearing agencies, clearing houses, banks, securities firms and exchanges, with which Morgan Stanley interacts with on a daily basis, and therefore could adversely affect Morgan Stanley.

Operational Risk.

Operational risk refers to the risk of loss, or of damage to Morgan Stanley's reputation, resulting from inadequate or failed processes, people and systems or from external events (e.g., fraud, theft, legal and compliance risks, cyber attacks or damage to physical assets). Morgan Stanley may incur operational risk across the full scope of its business activities, including revenue-generating activities (e.g., sales and trading) and support and control groups (e.g., information technology and trade processing). Legal, regulatory and compliance risk is included in the scope of operational risk and is discussed below under "*Legal, Regulatory and Compliance Risk*".

Morgan Stanley is subject to operational risks, including a failure, breach or other disruption of its operational or security systems, that could adversely affect its businesses or reputation.

Morgan Stanley's businesses are highly dependent on its ability to process, on a daily basis, a large number of transactions across numerous and diverse markets in many currencies. In some of its businesses, the transactions Morgan Stanley processes are complex. In addition, Morgan Stanley may introduce new products or services or change processes, resulting in new operational risk that Morgan Stanley may not fully appreciate or identify. The trend toward direct access to automated, electronic markets and the move to more automated trading platforms has resulted in using increasingly complex technology that relies on the continued effectiveness of the programming code and integrity of the data to process the trades. Morgan Stanley performs the functions required to operate its different businesses either by itself or through agreements with third parties. Morgan Stanley relies on the ability of its employees, its internal systems and systems at technology centres operated by unaffiliated third parties to process a high volume of transactions.

As a major participant in the global capital markets, Morgan Stanley maintains extensive controls to reduce the risk of incorrect valuation or risk management of its trading positions due to flaws in data, models, electronic trading systems or processes or due to fraud. Nevertheless, such risk cannot be completely eliminated.

Morgan Stanley also faces the risk of operational failure or termination of any of the clearing agents, exchanges, clearing houses or other financial intermediaries it uses to facilitate its securities transactions. In the event of a breakdown or improper operation of Morgan Stanley's or a third party's systems or improper or unauthorised action by third parties or Morgan Stanley's employees, Morgan Stanley could suffer financial loss, an impairment to its liquidity, a disruption of its businesses, regulatory sanctions or damage to its reputation. In addition, the interconnectivity of multiple financial institutions with central agents, exchanges and clearing houses, and the increased importance of these entities, increases the risk that an operational failure at one institution or entity may cause an industry-wide operational failure that could materially impact Morgan Stanley's ability to conduct business.

Despite the business contingency plans Morgan Stanley has in place, there can be no assurance that such plans will fully mitigate all potential business continuity risks to Morgan Stanley. Morgan Stanley's ability to conduct business may be adversely affected by a disruption in the infrastructure that supports its business and the communities where Morgan Stanley is located, which are concentrated in the New York metropolitan area, London, Hong Kong and Tokyo as well as Mumbai, Budapest, Glasgow and Baltimore. This may include a disruption involving physical site access, cyber incidents, terrorist activities, disease pandemics, catastrophic events, natural disasters, extreme weather events, electrical, environmental, computer servers, communications or other services Morgan Stanley uses, its employees or third parties with whom Morgan Stanley conducts business.

Although Morgan Stanley devotes significant resources to maintaining and upgrading its systems and networks with measures such as intrusion and detection prevention systems, monitoring firewalls to safeguard critical business applications, and supervising third party providers that have access to Morgan Stanley's systems, there is no guarantee that these measures or any other measures can provide absolute security. Like other financial services firms, Morgan Stanley and its third party providers continue to be the subject of attempted unauthorised access, mishandling or misuse of information, computer viruses or malware, cyber attacks designed to obtain confidential information, destroy data, disrupt or degrade

service, sabotage systems or cause other damage, denial of service attacks and other events. These threats may derive from human error, fraud or malice on the part of Morgan Stanley's employees or third parties, including third party providers, or may result from accidental technological failure. Additional challenges are posed by external extremist parties, including foreign state actors, in some circumstances as a means to promote political ends. Any of these parties may also attempt to fraudulently induce employees, customers, clients, third parties or other users of Morgan Stanley's systems to disclose sensitive information in order to gain access to Morgan Stanley's data or that of its customers or clients. There can be no assurance that such unauthorised access or cyber incidents will not occur in the future, and they could occur more frequently and on a more significant scale.

If one or more of these events occur, it could result in a security impact on Morgan Stanley's systems and jeopardise its or its clients', partners' or counterparties' personal, confidential, proprietary or other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, its and its third party providers' computer systems. Furthermore, such events could cause interruptions or malfunctions in Morgan Stanley's, its clients', partners', counterparties' or third parties' operations, which could result in reputational damage with Morgan Stanley's clients and the market, client dissatisfaction, additional costs to Morgan Stanley (such as repairing systems or adding new personnel or protection technologies), regulatory investigations, litigation or enforcement, or regulatory fines or penalties, all or any of which could adversely affect Morgan Stanley's business, financial condition or results of operations.

Given Morgan Stanley's global footprint and the high volume of transactions Morgan Stanley processes, the large number of clients, partners and counterparties with which Morgan Stanley does business and the increasing sophistication of cyber attacks, a cyber attack could occur and persist for an extended period of time without detection. Morgan Stanley expects that any investigation of a cyber attack would be inherently unpredictable and that it would take time before the completion of any investigation and before there is availability of full and reliable information. During such time Morgan Stanley would not necessarily know the extent of the harm or how best to remediate it, and certain errors or actions could be repeated or compounded before they are discovered and remediated, all or any of which would further increase the costs and consequences of a cyber attack.

While many of Morgan Stanley's agreements with partners and third party vendors include indemnification provisions, Morgan Stanley may not be able to recover sufficiently, or at all, under such provisions to adequately offset any losses. In addition, although Morgan Stanley maintains insurance coverage that may, subject to policy terms and conditions, cover certain aspects of cyber risks, such insurance coverage may be insufficient to cover all losses.

Liquidity and Funding Risk

Liquidity and funding risk refers to the risk that Morgan Stanley will be unable to finance its operations due to a loss of access to the capital markets or difficulty in liquidating its assets. Liquidity and funding risk also encompasses its ability to meet its financial obligations without experiencing significant business disruption or reputational damage that may threaten its viability as a going concern.

Liquidity is essential to Morgan Stanley's businesses and Morgan Stanley relies on external sources to finance a significant portion of its operations.

Liquidity is essential to Morgan Stanley's businesses. Morgan Stanley's liquidity could be negatively affected by its inability to raise funding in the long-term or short-term debt capital markets or its inability to access the secured lending markets. Factors that Morgan Stanley cannot control, such as disruption of the financial markets or negative views about the financial services industry generally, including concerns regarding fiscal matters in the U.S. and other geographic areas, could impair Morgan Stanley's ability to raise funding. In addition, Morgan Stanley's ability to raise funding could be impaired if investors or lenders develop a negative perception of Morgan Stanley's long-term or short-term financial prospects due to factors such as an incurrence of large trading losses, a downgrade by the rating agencies, a decline in the level of its business activity, or if regulatory authorities take significant action against Morgan Stanley or its industry, or Morgan Stanley discovers significant employee misconduct or illegal activity. If Morgan Stanley is unable to raise funding using the methods described above, it would likely need to finance or liquidate unencumbered assets, such as its investment and trading portfolios, to meet maturing liabilities. Morgan Stanley may be unable to sell some of its assets, or it may have to sell assets at a discount to market value, either of which could adversely affect Morgan Stanley's results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Morgan Stanley's borrowing costs and access to the debt capital markets depend significantly on its credit ratings.

The cost and availability of unsecured financing generally are impacted by Morgan Stanley's short-term and long-term credit ratings. The rating agencies are continuing to monitor certain issuer specific factors that are important to the determination of Morgan Stanley's credit ratings, including governance, the level and quality of earnings, capital adequacy, funding and liquidity, risk appetite and management, asset quality, strategic direction and business mix. Additionally, the rating agencies will look at other industry-wide factors such as regulatory or legislative changes, including, for example, regulatory changes relating to total loss absorbing capacity requirements, macro-economic environment, and perceived levels of third party support, and it is possible that they could downgrade Morgan Stanley's ratings and those of similar institutions.

Morgan Stanley's credit ratings also can have a significant impact on certain trading revenues, particularly in those businesses where longer term counterparty performance is a key consideration, such as over-the-counter ("OTC") derivative transactions, including credit derivatives and interest rate swaps. In connection with certain OTC trading agreements and certain other agreements associated with Morgan Stanley's Institutional Securities business segment, Morgan Stanley may be required to provide additional collateral to, or immediately settle any outstanding liability balance with, certain counterparties in the event of a credit ratings downgrade. Termination of Morgan Stanley's trading and other agreements could cause Morgan Stanley to sustain losses and impair its liquidity by requiring it to find other sources of financing or to make significant cash payments or securities movements. The additional collateral or termination payments which may occur in the event of a future credit rating downgrade vary by contract and can be based on ratings by either or both of Moody's Investor Services ("**Moody's**") and Standard & Poor's Rating Services ("**S&P**").

Morgan Stanley is a holding company and depends on payments from its subsidiaries.

Morgan Stanley is a holding company and depends on dividends, distributions and other payments from its subsidiaries to fund dividend payments and to fund all payments on its obligations, including debt obligations. Regulatory, tax restrictions or elections and other legal restrictions may limit Morgan Stanley's ability to transfer funds freely, either to or from its subsidiaries. In particular, many of Morgan Stanley's subsidiaries, including its broker-dealer subsidiaries, are subject to laws, regulations and self-regulatory organisation rules that authorise regulatory bodies to block or reduce the flow of funds to the parent holding company, or that prohibit such transfers altogether in certain circumstances, including steps to "ring fence" entities by regulators outside of the U.S. to protect clients and creditors of such entities in the event of financial difficulties involving such entities. These laws, regulations and rules may hinder Morgan Stanley's ability to access funds that it may need to make payments on its obligations. Furthermore, as a bank holding company, Morgan Stanley may become subject to a prohibition or to limitations on its ability to pay dividends or repurchase its common stock. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "**OCC**"), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "**Federal Reserve**") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("**FDIC**") have the authority, and under certain circumstances the duty, to prohibit or to limit the payment of dividends by the banking organisations they supervise, including Morgan Stanley and its U.S. bank subsidiaries, Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A. ("**MSBNA**") and Morgan Stanley Private Bank, National Association ("**MSPBNA**") (collectively, "**U.S. Bank Subsidiaries**").

Morgan Stanley's liquidity and financial condition have in the past been, and in the future could be, adversely affected by U.S. and international markets and economic conditions.

Morgan Stanley's ability to raise funding in the long-term or short-term debt capital markets or the equity markets, or to access secured lending markets, has in the past been, and could in the future be, adversely affected by conditions in the U.S. and international markets and economies. Global market and economic conditions have been particularly disrupted and volatile in the last several years and may be in the future. In particular, Morgan Stanley's cost and availability of funding in the past have been, and may in the future be, adversely affected by illiquid credit markets and wider credit spreads. Significant turbulence in the U.S., the European Union ("**E.U.**") and other international markets and economies could adversely affect Morgan Stanley's liquidity and financial condition and the willingness of certain counterparties and customers to do business with Morgan Stanley.

Legal, Regulatory and Compliance Risk

Legal, regulatory and compliance risk includes the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss including fines, penalties, judgments, damages and/or settlements, or loss to reputation Morgan Stanley may suffer as a result of its failure to comply with laws, regulations, rules, related self-regulatory organisation standards and codes of conduct applicable to its business activities. This risk also includes contractual and commercial risk, such as the risk that a counterparty's performance obligations will be unenforceable. It also includes compliance with Morgan Stanley's Anti-Money Laundering ("AML") and terrorist financing rules and regulations. In today's environment of rapid and possibly transformational regulatory change, Morgan Stanley also views regulatory change as a component of legal, regulatory and compliance risk.

The financial services industry is subject to extensive regulation, which is undergoing major changes that will impact Morgan Stanley's business.

Like other major financial services firms, Morgan Stanley is subject to extensive regulation by U.S. federal and state regulatory agencies and securities exchanges and by regulators and exchanges in each of the major markets where Morgan Stanley conducts its business. These laws and regulations significantly affect the way Morgan Stanley does business and can restrict the scope of its existing businesses and limit its ability to expand its product offerings and pursue certain investments.

In response to the financial crisis, legislators and regulators, both in the U.S. and worldwide, have adopted, continue to propose and are in the process of adopting, finalising and implementing a wide range of financial market reforms that are resulting in major changes to the way Morgan Stanley's global operations are regulated and conducted. In particular, as a result of these reforms, Morgan Stanley is, or will become, subject to (among other things) significantly revised and expanded regulation and supervision, more intensive scrutiny of its businesses and any plans for expansion of those businesses, new activities limitations, a systemic risk regime that imposes heightened capital and liquidity requirements and other enhanced prudential standards, new resolution regimes and resolution planning requirements, new requirements for maintaining minimum amounts of external total loss-absorbing capacity and external long-term debt, new restrictions on activities and investments imposed by a section of the Banking Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "**BHC Act**") added by the Dodd-Frank Act referred to as the "**Volcker Rule**", and comprehensive new derivatives regulation. While certain portions of these reforms are effective, others are still subject to final rulemaking or transition periods. Many of the changes required by these reforms could materially impact the profitability of Morgan Stanley's businesses and the value of assets it holds, expose it to additional costs, require changes to business practices or force it to discontinue businesses, adversely affect its ability to pay dividends and repurchase its stock, or require it to raise capital, including in ways that may adversely impact its shareholders or creditors. In addition, regulatory requirements that are being proposed by foreign policymakers and regulators may be inconsistent or conflict with regulations that Morgan Stanley is subject to in the U.S. and, if adopted, may adversely affect it. While there continues to be uncertainty about the full impact of these changes, Morgan Stanley does know that it is and will continue to be subject to a more complex regulatory framework, and will incur costs to comply with new requirements as well as to monitor for compliance in the future.

The application of regulatory requirements and strategies in the United States to facilitate the orderly resolution of large financial institutions may pose a greater risk of loss for the security holders of Morgan Stanley.

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, Morgan Stanley is required to submit to the Federal Reserve and the FDIC an annual resolution plan that describes its strategy for a rapid and orderly resolution under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the event of material financial distress or failure of Morgan Stanley. In addition, provided that certain procedures are met, Morgan Stanley can be subject to a resolution proceeding under the orderly liquidation authority under Title II of the Dodd-Frank Act with the FDIC being appointed as receiver. The FDIC's power under the orderly liquidation authority to disregard the priority of creditor claims and treat similarly situated creditors differently in certain circumstances, subject to certain limitations, could adversely impact holders of Morgan Stanley's unsecured debt.

Further, because both Morgan Stanley's resolution plan contemplates a single-point-of-entry ("**SPOE**") strategy under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and the FDIC has proposed an SPOE strategy through which it may apply its orderly liquidation authority powers, Morgan Stanley believes that the application of an

SPOE strategy is the reasonably likely outcome if either its resolution plan were implemented or a resolution proceeding were commenced under the orderly liquidation authority. An SPOE strategy generally contemplates the provision of additional capital and liquidity by Morgan Stanley to certain subsidiaries in an effort to ensure that such subsidiaries have the resources necessary to implement the resolution strategy. Although this strategy, whether applied pursuant to Morgan Stanley's resolution plan or in a resolution proceeding under the orderly liquidation authority, is intended to result in better outcomes for creditors overall, there is no guarantee that the application of an SPOE strategy will not result in greater losses for holders of Morgan Stanley's securities compared to a different resolution strategy for the firm.

Regulators have taken and proposed various actions to facilitate an SPOE strategy under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, the orderly liquidation authority or other resolution regimes. For example, the Federal Reserve has issued a proposed rule that would require top-tier bank holding companies of U.S. global systemically important banks ("**G-SIBs**"), including Morgan Stanley, to maintain minimum amounts of equity and eligible long-term debt ("**total loss-absorbing capacity**" or "**TLAC**") in order to ensure that such institutions have enough loss-absorbing resources at the point of failure to be recapitalised through the conversion of debt to equity or otherwise by imposing losses on eligible TLAC where the SPOE strategy is used.

The financial services industry faces substantial litigation and is subject to extensive regulatory investigations, and Morgan Stanley may face damage to its reputation and legal liability.

As a global financial services firm, Morgan Stanley faces the risk of investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory organisations in all countries in which it conducts its business. Interventions by authorities may result in adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief. In addition to the monetary consequences, these measures could, for example, impact Morgan Stanley's ability to engage in, or impose limitations on, certain of its businesses. The number of these investigations and proceedings, as well as the amount of penalties and fines sought, has increased substantially in recent years with regard to many firms in the financial services industry, including Morgan Stanley. Significant regulatory action against Morgan Stanley could materially adversely affect its business, financial condition or results of operations or cause it significant reputational harm, which could seriously harm its business. The Dodd-Frank Act also provides compensation to whistleblowers who present the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "**SEC**") or the United States Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "**CFTC**") with information related to securities or commodities law violations that leads to a successful enforcement action. As a result of this compensation, it is possible Morgan Stanley could face an increased number of investigations by the SEC or CFTC.

Morgan Stanley has been named, from time to time, as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions, and other litigation, as well as investigations or proceedings brought by regulatory agencies, arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Certain of the actual or threatened legal or regulatory actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages, claims for indeterminate amounts of damages, or may result in penalties, fines, or other results adverse to Morgan Stanley. In some cases, the issuers that would otherwise be the primary defendants in such cases are bankrupt or in financial distress. Like any large corporation, Morgan Stanley is also subject to risk from potential employee misconduct, including non-compliance with policies and improper use or disclosure of confidential information.

Morgan Stanley may be responsible for representations and warranties associated with residential and commercial real estate loans and may incur losses in excess of its reserves.

Morgan Stanley originates loans secured by commercial and residential properties. Further, Morgan Stanley securitises and trades in a wide range of commercial and residential real estate and real estate-related whole loans, mortgages and other real estate and commercial assets and products, including residential and commercial mortgage-backed securities. In connection with these activities, Morgan Stanley has provided, or otherwise agreed to be responsible for, certain representations and warranties. Under certain circumstances, Morgan Stanley may be required to repurchase such assets or make other payments related to such assets if such representations and warranties were breached. Morgan Stanley has also made representations and warranties in connection with its role as an originator of certain commercial mortgage loans that it securitised in commercial mortgage-backed securities.

Morgan Stanley currently has several legal proceedings related to claims for alleged breaches of representations and warranties. If there are decisions adverse to Morgan Stanley in those legal proceedings, it may incur losses substantially in excess of its reserves. In addition, Morgan Stanley's reserves are based, in part, on certain factual and legal assumptions. If those assumptions are incorrect and need to be revised, Morgan Stanley may need to adjust its reserves substantially.

Morgan Stanley's commodities activities subject it to extensive regulation, potential catastrophic events and environmental risks and regulation that may expose it to significant costs and liabilities.

In connection with the commodities activities in its Institutional Securities business segment, Morgan Stanley engages in the production, storage, transportation, marketing and execution of transactions in several commodities, including metals, natural gas, electric power, emission credits, and other commodity products. In addition, Morgan Stanley is an electricity power marketer in the U.S. and owns electricity generating facilities in the U.S. and owns a minority interest in Heidmar Holdings LLC, which owns a group of companies that provide international marine transportation and U.S. marine logistics services. As a result of these activities, Morgan Stanley is subject to extensive and evolving energy, commodities, environmental, health and safety and other governmental laws and regulations. In addition, liability may be incurred without regard to fault under certain environmental laws and regulations for the remediation of contaminated areas. Further, through these activities Morgan Stanley is exposed to regulatory, physical and certain indirect risks associated with climate change.

Although Morgan Stanley has attempted to mitigate its environmental risks by, among other measures, selling or ceasing much of its prior petroleum storage and transportation activities and adopting appropriate policies and procedures for power plant operations and implementing emergency response programs, these actions may not prove adequate to address every contingency. In addition, insurance covering some of these risks may not be available, and the proceeds, if any, from insurance recovery may not be adequate to cover liabilities with respect to particular incidents. As a result, Morgan Stanley's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be adversely affected by these events.

The BHC Act provides a grandfather exemption for "activities related to the trading, sale or investment in commodities and underlying physical properties", provided that Morgan Stanley was engaged in "any of such activities as of September 30, 1997 in the United States" and provided that certain other conditions that are within Morgan Stanley's reasonable control are satisfied. If the Federal Reserve were to determine that any of Morgan Stanley's commodities activities did not qualify for the BHC Act grandfather exemption, then Morgan Stanley would likely be required to divest any such activities that did not otherwise conform to the BHC Act.

Morgan Stanley also expects the other laws and regulations affecting its commodities business to increase in both scope and complexity. During the past several years, intensified scrutiny of certain energy markets by federal, state and local authorities in the U.S. and abroad and the public has resulted in increased regulatory and legal enforcement, litigation and remedial proceedings involving companies conducting the activities in which Morgan Stanley is engaged. In addition, new regulation of OTC derivatives markets in the U.S. and similar legislation proposed or adopted abroad will impose significant new costs and impose new requirements on Morgan Stanley's commodities derivatives activities. Morgan Stanley may incur substantial costs or loss of revenue in complying with current or future laws and regulations and its overall businesses and reputation may be adversely affected by the current legal environment. In addition, failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in substantial civil and criminal fines and penalties.

A failure to address conflicts of interest appropriately could adversely affect Morgan Stanley's businesses and reputation.

As a global financial services firm that provides products and services to a large and diversified group of clients, including corporations, governments, financial institutions and individuals, Morgan Stanley faces potential conflicts of interest in the normal course of business. For example, potential conflicts can occur when there is a divergence of interests between Morgan Stanley and a client, among clients, or between an employee on the one hand and Morgan Stanley or a client on the other. Morgan Stanley has policies, procedures and controls that are designed to identify and address potential conflicts of interest. However, identifying and mitigating potential conflicts of interest can be complex and challenging, and can become the focus of media and regulatory scrutiny. Indeed, actions that merely appear to create a conflict can put Morgan Stanley's reputation at risk even if the likelihood of an actual conflict has been mitigated. It is

possible that potential conflicts could give rise to litigation or enforcement actions, which may lead to Morgan Stanley's clients being less willing to enter into transactions in which a conflict may occur and could adversely affect Morgan Stanley's businesses and reputation.

Morgan Stanley's regulators have the ability to scrutinise its activities for potential conflicts of interest, including through detailed examinations of specific transactions. Morgan Stanley's status as a bank holding company supervised by the Federal Reserve subjects it to direct Federal Reserve scrutiny with respect to transactions between Morgan Stanley's U.S. Bank Subsidiaries and their affiliates.

Risk Management

Morgan Stanley's risk management strategies, models and processes may not be fully effective in mitigating its risk exposures in all market environments or against all types of risk.

Morgan Stanley has devoted significant resources to develop its risk management policies and procedures and expects to continue to do so in the future. Nonetheless, Morgan Stanley's risk management strategies, models and processes, including its use of various risk models for assessing market exposures and hedging strategies, stress testing and other analysis, may not be fully effective in mitigating Morgan Stanley's risk exposure in all market environments or against all types of risk, including risks that are unidentified or unanticipated. As Morgan Stanley's businesses change and grow, and the markets in which Morgan Stanley operates evolve, its risk management strategies, models and processes may not always adapt with those changes. Some of Morgan Stanley's methods of managing risk are based upon its use of observed historical market behaviour and management's judgment. As a result, these methods may not predict future risk exposures, which could be significantly greater than the historical measures indicate. In addition, many models Morgan Stanley uses are based on assumptions or inputs regarding correlations among prices of various asset classes or other market indicators and therefore cannot anticipate sudden, unanticipated or unidentified market or economic movements, which could cause Morgan Stanley to incur losses.

Management of market, credit, liquidity, operational, legal, regulatory and compliance risks requires, among other things, policies and procedures to record properly and verify a large number of transactions and events, and these policies and procedures may not be fully effective. Morgan Stanley's trading risk management strategies and techniques also seek to balance its ability to profit from trading positions with its exposure to potential losses. While Morgan Stanley employs a broad and diversified set of risk monitoring and risk mitigation techniques, those techniques and the judgments that accompany their application cannot anticipate every economic and financial outcome or the timing of such outcomes. For example, to the extent that Morgan Stanley's trading or investing activities involve less liquid trading markets or are otherwise subject to restrictions on sale or hedging, Morgan Stanley may not be able to reduce its positions and therefore reduce its risk associated with such positions. Morgan Stanley may, therefore, incur losses in the course of its trading or investing activities.

Competitive Environment

Morgan Stanley faces strong competition from other financial services firms which could lead to pricing pressures that could materially adversely affect its revenue and profitability.

The financial services industry and all aspects of Morgan Stanley's businesses are intensely competitive, and Morgan Stanley expects them to remain so. Morgan Stanley competes with commercial banks, brokerage firms, insurance companies, electronic trading and clearing platforms, financial data repositories, sponsors of mutual funds, hedge funds, energy companies and other companies offering financial or ancillary services in the U.S., globally and through the internet. Morgan Stanley competes on the basis of several factors, including transaction execution, capital or access to capital, products and services, innovation, technology, reputation, risk appetite and price. Over time, certain sectors of the financial services industry have become more concentrated, as institutions involved in a broad range of financial services have left businesses, been acquired by or merged into other firms or have declared bankruptcy. Such changes could result in Morgan Stanley's remaining competitors gaining greater capital and other resources, such as the ability to offer a broader range of products and services and geographic diversity, or new competitors may emerge. Morgan Stanley has experienced and may continue to experience pricing pressures as a result of these factors and as some of its competitors seek to obtain market share by reducing prices. In addition, certain of Morgan Stanley's competitors may be subject to

different, and in some cases, less stringent, legal and regulatory regimes, than Morgan Stanley is, thereby putting it at a competitive disadvantage.

Automated trading markets may adversely affect Morgan Stanley's business and may increase competition.

Morgan Stanley has experienced intense price competition in some of its businesses in recent years. In particular, the ability to execute securities, derivatives and other financial instrument trades electronically on exchanges, swap execution facilities, and other automated trading platforms has increased the pressure on bid-offer spreads, commissions, mark-ups or comparable fees. The trend toward direct access to automated, electronic markets will likely continue and will likely increase as additional markets move to more automated trading platforms. Morgan Stanley has experienced and it is likely that it will continue to experience competitive pressures in these and other areas in the future as some of its competitors may seek to obtain market share by reducing bid-offer spreads, commissions, mark-ups or comparable fees.

Morgan Stanley's ability to retain and attract qualified employees is critical to the success of its business and the failure to do so may materially adversely affect its performance.

Morgan Stanley's people are its most important resource and competition for qualified employees is intense. If Morgan Stanley is unable to continue to attract and retain highly qualified employees, or do so at rates or in forms necessary to maintain its competitive position, or if compensation costs required to attract and retain employees become more expensive, Morgan Stanley's performance, including its competitive position, could be materially adversely affected. The financial industry has experienced and may continue to experience more stringent regulation of employee compensation, including limitations relating to incentive-based compensation, clawback requirements and special taxation, which could have an adverse effect on Morgan Stanley's ability to hire or retain the most qualified employees.

International Risk

Morgan Stanley is subject to numerous political, economic, legal, operational, franchise and other risks as a result of its international operations which could adversely impact its businesses in many ways.

Morgan Stanley is subject to political, economic, legal, tax, operational, franchise and other risks that are inherent in operating in many countries, including risks of possible nationalisation, expropriation, price controls, capital controls, exchange controls, increased taxes and levies and other restrictive governmental actions, as well as the outbreak of hostilities or political and governmental instability. In many countries, the laws and regulations applicable to the securities and financial services industries are uncertain and evolving, and it may be difficult for Morgan Stanley to determine the exact requirements of local laws in every market. Morgan Stanley's inability to remain in compliance with local laws in a particular market could have a significant and negative effect not only on its business in that market but also on its reputation generally. Morgan Stanley is also subject to the enhanced risk that transactions it structures might not be legally enforceable in all cases.

Various emerging market countries have experienced severe political, economic and financial disruptions, including significant devaluations of their currencies, defaults or potential defaults on sovereign debt, capital and currency exchange controls, high rates of inflation and low or negative growth rates in their economies. Crime and corruption, as well as issues of security and personal safety, also exist in certain of these countries. These conditions could adversely impact Morgan Stanley's businesses and increase volatility in financial markets generally.

The emergence of a disease pandemic or other widespread health emergency, or concerns over the possibility of such an emergency as well as natural disasters, terrorist activities or military actions, could create economic and financial disruptions in emerging markets and other areas throughout the world, and could lead to operational difficulties (including travel limitations) that could impair Morgan Stanley's ability to manage its businesses around the world.

As a U.S. company, Morgan Stanley is required to comply with the economic sanctions and embargo programs administered by the U.S. Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") and similar multi-national bodies and governmental agencies worldwide, as well as applicable anti-corruption laws in the jurisdictions in which Morgan Stanley operates, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and

the United Kingdom ("U.K.") Bribery Act. A violation of a sanction, embargo program, or anti-corruption law could subject Morgan Stanley, and individual employees, to a regulatory enforcement action as well as significant civil and criminal penalties.

Acquisition, Divestiture and Joint Venture Risk

Morgan Stanley may be unable to fully capture the expected value from acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, minority stakes and strategic alliances.

In connection with past or future acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures or strategic alliances (including with Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc. ("MUFG")), Morgan Stanley faces numerous risks and uncertainties combining, transferring, separating or integrating the relevant businesses and systems, including the need to combine or separate accounting and data processing systems and management controls and to integrate relationships with clients, trading counterparties and business partners. In the case of joint ventures and minority stakes, Morgan Stanley is subject to additional risks and uncertainties because it may be dependent upon, and subject to liability, losses or reputational damage relating to, systems, controls and personnel that are not under its control.

In addition, conflicts or disagreements between Morgan Stanley and any of its joint venture partners may negatively impact the benefits to be achieved by the relevant joint venture.

There is no assurance that any of Morgan Stanley's acquisitions or divestitures will be successfully integrated or disaggregated or yield all of the positive benefits anticipated. If Morgan Stanley is not able to integrate or disaggregate successfully its past and future acquisitions or dispositions, there is a risk that its results of operations, financial condition and cash flows may be materially and adversely affected.

Certain of Morgan Stanley's business initiatives, including expansions of existing businesses, may bring Morgan Stanley into contact, directly or indirectly, with individuals and entities that are not within its traditional client and counterparty base and may expose it to new asset classes and new markets. These business activities expose Morgan Stanley to new and enhanced risks, greater regulatory scrutiny of these activities, increased credit-related, sovereign and operational risks, and reputational concerns regarding the manner in which these assets are being operated or held.

For more information regarding the regulatory environment in which Morgan Stanley operates, see also "Supervision and Regulation" in Part I, Item 1, page 2 of Morgan Stanley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 31 December 2015, which has been incorporated by reference on pages 16 and 17 of this Registration Document.

Risk factors specific to MSBV, MSFL and MSI plc

All material assets of MSBV are obligations of one or more companies in the Morgan Stanley Group and MSBV's ability to perform its obligations is dependent upon such companies fulfilling their obligations to MSBV.

All material assets of MSBV are obligations of (or securities issued by) one or more Morgan Stanley Group companies. If any of these Morgan Stanley Group companies incurs losses with respect to any of its activities (irrespective of whether those activities relate to MSBV or not) the ability of such company to fulfil its obligations to MSBV could be impaired, thereby exposing holders of securities issued by MSBV to a risk of loss. Should this circumstance materialise, the payment obligations of MSBV under the terms of the securities would be guaranteed by Morgan Stanley.

Risks relating to insolvency proceedings in the Netherlands.

The validity or enforceability of any documents or any legal act (*rechtshandeling*) forming part thereof or contemplated thereby in relation to any securities issued by MSBV are subject to and limited by the protection afforded by Netherlands law to creditors whose interests have been adversely affected pursuant to the rules of Netherlands law relating to (x) unlawful acts (*onrechtmatige daden*) based on Section 6:162 et seq. of the Netherlands Civil Code (*Burgerlijk Wetboek*) and (y) fraudulent conveyance or preference (*actio pauliana*) within the meaning of Section 3:45 of the Netherlands Civil Code (*Burgerlijk Wetboek*). Furthermore, in the event of any insolvency proceedings being opened in the Netherlands in relation to MSBV, Dutch laws in relation to bankruptcy proceedings, in particular Section 42 et seq.

of the Netherlands Bankruptcy Act (*Faillissementswet*) in relation to fraudulent conveyance or preference (*actio pauliana*) would apply.

As a finance subsidiary, MSFL has no independent operations and is expected to have no independent assets.

The principal risks with respect to Morgan Stanley will also represent the principal risks with respect to MSFL, either as an individual entity or as part of the Morgan Stanley Group.

MSFL has no independent operations beyond the issuance and administration of its securities and is expected to have no independent assets available for distributions to holders of MSFL securities if they make claims in respect of the securities in a bankruptcy, resolution or similar proceeding. Accordingly, any recoveries by such holders will be limited to those available under the related guarantee by Morgan Stanley and that guarantee will rank *pari passu* with all other outstanding unsecured and unsubordinated obligations of Morgan Stanley present and future, but, in the event of insolvency, only to the extent permitted by laws affecting creditors' rights. Holders will have recourse only to a single claim against Morgan Stanley and its assets under the guarantee. Holders of securities issued by MSFL should accordingly assume that in any such proceedings they would not have any priority over and should be treated *pari passu* with the claims of other unsecured, unsubordinated creditors of Morgan Stanley, including holders of Morgan Stanley-issued securities.

There are substantial inter-relationships between MSI plc and other Morgan Stanley Group companies.

Morgan Stanley is the holding company of a global financial services group. MSI plc is one of the principal operating companies in the Morgan Stanley Group. MSI plc itself provides a wide range of financial and securities services. There are substantial inter-relationships between MSI plc and Morgan Stanley as well as other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group, including the provision of funding, capital, services and logistical support to or by MSI plc, as well as common or shared business or operational platforms or systems, including employees. As a consequence of such inter-relationships, and of the participation of both MSI plc and other Morgan Stanley Group companies in the global financial services sector, factors which could affect the business and condition of Morgan Stanley or other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group may also affect the business and condition of MSI plc. Any such effect could be direct, for example, where economic or market factors directly affect the markets in which MSI plc and other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group operate, or indirect, for example where any factor affects the ability of other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group to provide services or funding or capital to MSI plc or, directly or indirectly, to place business with MSI plc. Similarly, any development affecting the reputation or standing of Morgan Stanley or other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group may have an indirect effect on MSI plc. Such inter-relationships should therefore be taken into account in any assessment of MSI plc.

No guarantee.

Securities issued by MSI plc will not be guaranteed by Morgan Stanley. Although Morgan Stanley has in the past provided financial support to MSI plc through capital injection and debt financing, there is no assurance that it will do so in the future.

Risk is an inherent part of both Morgan Stanley's and the MSI plc Group's (as defined below) business activity and is managed by the MSI plc Group within the context of the broader Morgan Stanley Group. The Morgan Stanley Group seeks to identify, assess, monitor and manage each of the various types of risk involved in its activities on a global basis, in accordance with defined policies and procedures and in consideration of the individual legal entities. The MSI plc Group's own risk management policies and procedures are consistent with those of the Morgan Stanley Group.

Applicable Resolution Powers

Powers under the Banking Act 2009.

MSI plc, as an investment firm for the purposes of the Banking Act 2009 (the "**Banking Act**"), is subject to provisions of that Act which give wide powers in respect of U.K. banks and investment firms (such as MSI plc) to HM Treasury, the Bank of England, the Prudential Regulation Authority and the United Kingdom Financial Conduct Authority ("**FCA**") (each a "**relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority**") in circumstances where the relevant U.K. bank or investment firm (a "**relevant financial institution**") is

failing or is likely to fail. The Banking Act implements the provisions of Directive 2014/59/EU (the "**Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive**" or "**BRRD**").

These powers include powers to: (a) transfer all or some of the liability in respect of the securities issued by a relevant financial institution, or all or some of the property, rights and liabilities of a relevant financial institution (which could include instruments issued by MSI plc and guarantee liabilities of MSI plc), to a commercial purchaser or, in the case of securities, to HM Treasury or an HM Treasury nominee, or, in the case of property, rights or liabilities, to an entity owned by the Bank of England; (b) override any default provisions in contracts or other agreements, including provisions that would otherwise allow a party to terminate a contract or accelerate the payment of an obligation; (c) commence certain insolvency procedures in relation to a relevant financial institution; and (d) override, vary or impose contractual obligations, for reasonable consideration, between a relevant financial institution and its parent, in order to enable any transferee or successor of the relevant financial institution to operate effectively. The Banking Act also gives power to HM Treasury to make further amendments to the law for the purpose of enabling it to use the special resolution regime powers effectively, potentially with retrospective effect.

By reason of its group relationship with certain other Morgan Stanley Group companies (including companies incorporated outside the U.K.) which are banks, investment firms, EU institutions or third-country institutions for the purposes of the Banking Act, MSI plc is a banking group company within the meaning of the Banking Act. Accordingly, the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority can exercise substantially similar special resolution powers in respect of MSI plc in its capacity as a banking group company where the Prudential Regulation Authority, an EU resolution authority or third country authority having jurisdiction over the relevant Morgan Stanley Group company is satisfied that such Morgan Stanley Group company meets the relevant conditions for resolution action (including that it is failing or likely to fail, that it is not reasonably likely that other measures would prevent its failure, and that it is in the public interest to exercise those powers) or that it satisfies an equivalent test in the relevant jurisdiction (irrespective of whether at that time MSI plc is failing or likely to fail). Additionally, where a relevant third country Morgan Stanley Group company becomes subject to resolution or similar measures, the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority may recognise the application of some of those measures to MSI plc (irrespective of whether at that time MSI plc is failing or likely to fail).

Bail-in Power.

The powers granted to the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority include (but are not limited to) a "bail-in" power.

The "bail-in" power gives the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority the power, in relation to a failing relevant financial institution or a banking group company in respect of a bank, investment firm, EU institution or third-country institution (whether or not incorporated in the U.K.) which is failing or likely to fail, to cancel all or a portion of certain of its unsecured liabilities and/or to convert certain of its liabilities into another security, including ordinary shares of the surviving entity, if any. Under the Banking Act, such power could be utilised in relation to MSI plc were it to be failing or likely to fail, or were a bank, investment firm, EU institution or third-country institution (whether or not incorporated in the U.K.) in respect of which MSI plc is a banking group company to be failing or likely to fail. Were such power to be utilised in relation to MSI plc, it could be utilised in relation to securities issued by MSI plc or guarantee liabilities of MSI plc.

The Banking Act requires the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority to apply the "bail-in" power in accordance with a specified preference order which differs from the ordinary insolvency order. In particular, the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority must write-down or convert debts in the following order: (i) additional tier 1, (ii) tier 2, (iii) other subordinated claims and (iv) eligible senior claims.

Although the exercise of the bail-in power under the Banking Act is subject to certain pre-conditions, there remains uncertainty regarding the specific factors (including, but not limited to, factors outside the control of MSI plc or not directly related to MSI plc) which the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority would consider in deciding whether to exercise such power with respect to MSI plc and its securities or other liabilities. Moreover, as the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority may have considerable discretion in relation to how and when it may exercise such power, holders of securities issued or guaranteed by MSI plc may not be able to refer to publicly available criteria in order to anticipate a potential exercise of such power and consequently its potential effect on MSI plc and securities issued or guaranteed by MSI plc.

Other powers.

As well as a "bail-in" power, the powers of the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority under the Banking Act include broad powers to (i) direct the sale of the relevant financial institution or the whole or part of its business on commercial terms without requiring the consent of the shareholders or complying with the procedural requirements that would otherwise apply, (ii) transfer all or part of the business of the relevant financial institution to a "bridge institution" (an entity created for such purpose that is wholly or partially in public control) and (iii) separate assets by transferring impaired or problem assets to one or more publicly owned asset management vehicles to allow them to be managed with a view to maximising their value through eventual sale or orderly wind-down (this can be used together with another resolution tool only). The Bank of England has broad powers to make one or more share transfer instruments (in the case of a transfer to a private sector purchaser described in (i) or a transfer to a "bridge institution" in the case of (ii)) or one or more property transfer instruments (in all three cases). A transfer pursuant to a share transfer instrument or a property transfer instrument will take effect despite any restriction arising by virtue of contract or legislation or in any other way.

In addition, the Banking Act gives the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority power to amend the maturity date and/or any interest payment date of debt instruments or other eligible liabilities of the relevant financial institution and/or impose a temporary suspension of payments and/or discontinue the listing and admission to trading of debt instruments.

The Banking Act provides that HM Treasury must, in making regulations about compensation arrangements in the case of the exercise of a bail-in power, have regard to the "no creditor worse off" principle, and HM Treasury has made regulations governing compensation arrangements upon the exercise of a bail-in power. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the exercise by the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority of any of the above powers under the Banking Act (including especially the bail-in power) could lead to the holders of securities issued or guaranteed by MSI plc losing some or all of their investment. Moreover, trading behaviour in relation to the securities issued or guaranteed by MSI plc, including market prices and volatility, may be affected by the use or any suggestion of the use of these powers and accordingly, in such circumstances, such securities are not necessarily expected to follow the trading behaviour associated with other types of securities. There can be no assurance that the taking of any actions under the Banking Act by the relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority or the manner in which its powers under the Banking Act are exercised will not materially adversely affect the rights of holders of securities issued or guaranteed by MSI plc, the market value of an investment in such securities and/or MSI plc's ability to satisfy its obligations under, or under its guarantee of, such securities.

Extraordinary public financial support to be used only as a last resort.

Subject to certain conditions being met, the BRRD also makes provision for extraordinary public financial support to be provided to an institution subject to resolution in the form of provision of capital to such institution in exchange for common equity tier 1 instruments, additional tier 1 instruments or tier 2 instruments or in the form of taking such institution into temporary public ownership. However, such extraordinary public financial support should only be used as a last resort. Therefore, if MSI plc is subject to resolution, the relevant UK Regulatory Authority is only likely (if at all) to provide extraordinary public financial support only after it has assessed and exploited, to the maximum extent practicable, all other applicable resolution tools described above.

INFORMATION INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The following information shall be deemed to be incorporated in, and to form part of, this Registration Document:

<u>Document filed</u>	<u>Information incorporated by reference</u>	Page(s)
Morgan Stanley		
1. Proxy Statement dated 1 April 2016	(1) Overview of Voting Items	5-10
	(2) Corporate Governance	11-34
	(3) Audit Matters	35-37
	(4) Executive Compensation	38-67
	(5) Ownership of Our Stock	68-70
	(6) Equity Compensation Plan	71-78
	(7) Shareholder Proposals	79-83
	(8) Information About the Annual Meeting	84-88
	(9) Annex A: 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (As proposed to Be Amended)	A1-A9
2. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended 31 March 2016	(1) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)	1
	(2) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited)	2
	(3) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (unaudited)	3
	(4) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Total Equity (unaudited)	4
	(5) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)	5
	(6) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	6-66
	(7) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	67
	(8) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	68-106
	(9) Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	107-121
	(10) Controls and Procedures	122

<u>Document filed</u>	<u>Information incorporated by reference</u>	Page(s)
	(11) Financial Data Supplement (unaudited)	123-125
	(12) Legal Proceedings	126
	(13) Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	127
	(14) Signature	128
3. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 31 December 2015	(1) Business	1-12
	(2) Risk Factors	13-23
	(3) Unresolved Staff Comments	23
	(4) Properties	23
	(5) Legal Proceedings	24-32
	(6) Mine Safety Disclosures	32
	(7) Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	33-35
	(8) Selected Financial Data	36-37
	(9) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	38-97
	(10) Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	98-120
	(11) Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	121
	(i) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	121
	(ii) Consolidated Statements of Income	122
	(iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	123
	(iv) Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition	124
	(v) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Total Equity	125
	(vi) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	126
	(vii) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	127-250
	(viii) Financial Data Supplement	251-258

<u>Document filed</u>	<u>Information incorporated by reference</u>	Page(s)
	(Unaudited)	
	(12) Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	259
	(13) Controls and Procedures	259-261
	(14) Other Information	261
	(15) Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	262
	(16) Executive Compensation	262
	(17) Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	262
	(18) Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	262
	(19) Principal Accounting Fees and Services	262
	(20) Signatures	S-1-S-2
Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc		
4.	Report and Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2015	
	(1) Independent Auditor's Report	19-20
	(2) Consolidated Income Statement	21
	(3) Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	22
	(4) Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity	23
	(5) Consolidated Statement of Financial Position	24
	(6) Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	25
	(7) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	26-117
	(8) MSI plc Statement of Comprehensive Income	118-120
	(9) MSI plc Statement of Changes to Equity	119
	(10) MSI plc Statement of Financial Position	120
	(11) Notes to MSI plc Financial Statements	121-158
5.	Report and Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2014	
	(1) Independent Auditor's Report	15-16
	(2) Consolidated Income Statement	17
	(3) Consolidated Statement of	18

<u>Document filed</u>	<u>Information incorporated by reference</u>	Page(s)
	Comprehensive Income	
	(4) Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity	19
	(5) Consolidated Statement of Financial Position	20
	(6) Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	21
	(7) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	22-124
	(8) MSI plc Balance Sheet	125
	(9) Notes to MSI plc Financial Statements	126-153
Morgan Stanley B.V.		
6.	Report and Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2015	
	(1) Statement of Comprehensive Income	9
	(2) Statement of Changes in Equity	10
	(3) Statement of Financial Position	11
	(4) Statement of Cash Flows	12
	(5) Notes to the Financial Statements	13-56
	(6) Additional Information	57
	(7) Independent Auditors' Report	58-62
7.	Report and financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2014	
	(1) Statement of Comprehensive Income	8
	(2) Statement of Changes in Equity	9
	(3) Statement of Financial Position	10
	(4) Statement of Cash Flows	11
	(5) Notes to the Financial Statements	12-52
	(6) Additional Information	53
	(7) Independent Auditor's Report	54-58
Morgan Stanley Finance LLC		
8.	Report and Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2015	
	(1) Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
	(2) Statement of Financial Condition	3
	(3) Statement of Income	4
	(4) Statement of Cash Flows	5
	(5) Statement of Changes in Member's Equity	6

<u>Document filed</u>	<u>Information incorporated by reference</u>	Page(s)
	(6) Notes to Financial Statements	7-9
9. Report and Financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2014	(1) Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
	(2) Statement of Financial Condition	3
	(3) Statement of Income	4
	(4) Statement of Cash Flows	5
	(5) Statement of Changes in Shareholder's Equity	6
	(6) Notes to Financial Statements	7-9

Any statement contained in this Registration Document or any documents incorporated by reference herein, shall be deemed to be modified or superseded for the purpose of this Registration Document to the extent that a statement contained in any document subsequently incorporated by reference modifies or supersedes such statement.

For the purposes of Article 28.4 of the Commission Regulation (EU) No 809/2004, any non-incorporated parts of a document referred to herein are either deemed not relevant for an investor or are otherwise covered elsewhere in this Registration Document.

The non-incorporated parts of the documents listed above are as follows:

<u>Document filed</u>	<u>Information not incorporated by reference</u>	Page(s)
Morgan Stanley		
1. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended 31 March 2016	(a) Exhibits	127
	(b) Exhibit Index	E-1
2. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 31 December 2015	(a) Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	263
	(b) Exhibit Index	E-1-E-6
Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc		
3. Report and Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2015	(a) Strategic Report	1-14
	(b) Directors' Report	15-18
4. Report and Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2014	(a) Strategic Report	1-10
	(b) Directors' Report	11-14
Morgan Stanley B.V.		
5. Report and Financial Statements for the year ended 31 December 2015	Annual Report	1-8
6. Report and Financial Statements for the year ended	Annual Report	1-7

31 December 2014

Morgan Stanley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 31 December 2015 (at pages 82 to 83), incorporated by reference, includes details of the long-term and short-term credit ratings assigned to Morgan Stanley by DBRS, Inc. ("**DBRS**"), Fitch Ratings, Inc. ("**Fitch**"), Moody's, Rating and Investment Information, Inc. ("**R&I**") and Standard & Poor's Ratings Services through its business unit S&P.

DBRS is not established in the European Economic Area ("**EEA**") but the ratings it has assigned to Morgan Stanley may be endorsed by DBRS Ratings Limited, which is established in the EEA and registered under Regulation 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 on credit rating agencies as amended from time to time (the "**CRA Regulation**") by the relevant competent authority.

Fitch is not established in the EEA but the rating it has assigned to Morgan Stanley is endorsed by Fitch Ratings Limited, a rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation by the relevant competent authority.

Moody's is not established in the EEA but the rating it has assigned to Morgan Stanley is endorsed by Moody's Investors Service Limited, which is established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation by the relevant competent authority.

R&I is not incorporated in the EEA and is not registered under the CRA Regulation in the EU.

S&P is not established in the EEA but the rating it has assigned to Morgan Stanley is, with effect from 9 April 2012, endorsed by Standard and Poor's Credit Market Services Europe Limited, a rating agency established in the EEA and registered under the CRA Regulation by the relevant competent authority.

This Registration Document and any supplement thereto will be published on the websites of (a) the Luxembourg Stock Exchange (www.bourse.lu) and (b) Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV and MSFL (www.morganstanleyiq.eu).

Each of Morgan Stanley, MSI plc, MSBV and MSFL will, at its principal executive offices (in the case of Morgan Stanley) or at its registered office (in the case of MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL) and during the period of twelve months after the date of publication of this Registration Document, make available for inspection during normal business hours and free of charge, upon oral or written request:

- (a) a copy of this Registration Document and any document containing the sections relating to such company incorporated by reference in this Registration Document;
- (b) the Certificate of Incorporation and Amended and Restated By-laws of Morgan Stanley (these shall not be available at the registered office of MSI plc, MSBV or MSFL);
- (c) the Certificate of Incorporation and the Articles of Association of MSI plc (these shall not be available at the principal office of Morgan Stanley or the registered office of MSBV or MSFL);
- (d) the Deed of Incorporation of MSBV (this shall not be available at the principal office of Morgan Stanley or the registered office of MSI plc or MSFL);
- (e) the Limited Liability Company Agreement of MSFL (this shall not be available at the principal office of Morgan Stanley or the registered office of MSI plc or MSBV)
- (f) all reports, letters, and other documents, historical financial information, valuations and statements (if any) prepared by any expert at the request of such company which is included or referred to in this Registration Document; and
- (g) the historical financial information of such company (or such company and its subsidiary undertakings) for each of the two financial years preceding the publication of this Registration Document.

In addition to the documents incorporated by reference in this Registration Document, Morgan Stanley files annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. Investors may read and copy any document that Morgan Stanley files with the SEC at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at +1-800-SEC-0330 for information on the public reference room. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy and information statements and other information that issuers (including Morgan Stanley) file electronically with the SEC. Morgan Stanley's electronic SEC filings are available to the public at the SEC's internet site www.sec.gov. Morgan Stanley also makes available, through its Investor Relations webpage, a link to the SEC's internet site. You can access Morgan Stanley's Investor Relations webpage at www.morganstanley.com/about/ir. The information contained on Morgan Stanley's website shall not form part of this Registration Document, unless such information has been expressly incorporated herein.

DESCRIPTION OF MORGAN STANLEY

1. INFORMATION ABOUT MORGAN STANLEY

History and development of Morgan Stanley

Legal name, place of registration and registration number, date of incorporation

Morgan Stanley was originally incorporated for an unlimited term under the laws of the State of Delaware on 1 October 1981 under registered number 0923632, and its predecessor companies date back to 1924. On 31 May 1997, Morgan Stanley Group, Inc. was merged with and into Dean Witter Discover & Co. ("**Dean Witter Discover**") in a merger of equals. At that time, Dean Witter Discover changed its corporate name to Morgan Stanley, Dean Witter, Discover & Co. ("**MSDWD**"). On 24 March 1998, MSDWD changed its corporate name to Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co, and to Morgan Stanley on 20 June 2002. Morgan Stanley is a financial holding company regulated by the Federal Reserve under the BHC Act.

Registered office

Morgan Stanley has its registered office at The Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, U.S., and its principal executive offices at 1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036, U.S., telephone number +1 (212) 761 4000.

Legal and commercial name

As at the date of this Registration Document, Morgan Stanley's legal and commercial name is "Morgan Stanley".

The following is an extract from the sections entitled "*Business Segments*", "*Competition*" and "*Supervision and Regulation*" in Part I, Item 1 of Morgan Stanley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 31 December 2015.

Business Segments

Morgan Stanley is a global financial services firm that maintains significant market positions in each of its business segments – Institutional Securities, Wealth Management and Investment Management. Through its subsidiaries and affiliates, Morgan Stanley provides a wide variety of products and services to a large and diversified group of clients and customers, including corporations, governments, financial institutions and individuals.

Competition

All aspects of Morgan Stanley's businesses are highly competitive and Morgan Stanley expects them to remain so. Morgan Stanley competes in the U.S. and globally for clients, market share and human talent. Operating within the financial services industry on a global basis presents, among other things, technological, risk management, regulatory and other infrastructure challenges that require effective resource allocation in order for Morgan Stanley to remain competitive. Its competitive position depends on its reputation and the quality and consistency of its long-term investment performance. Morgan Stanley's ability to sustain or improve its competitive position also depends substantially on its ability to continue to attract and retain highly qualified employees while managing compensation and other costs. Morgan Stanley competes with commercial banks, brokerage firms, insurance companies, electronic trading and clearing platforms, financial data repositories, sponsors of mutual funds, hedge funds and private equity funds, energy companies and other companies offering financial or ancillary services in the U.S., globally and through the internet. In addition, restrictive laws and regulations applicable to certain U.S. financial services institutions, such as Morgan Stanley, which may prohibit it from engaging in certain transactions and impose more stringent capital and liquidity requirements, can put Morgan Stanley at a competitive disadvantage to competitors in certain businesses not subject to these same requirements. See also "*Supervision and Regulation*" below and "*Risk Factors*" above.

Institutional Securities and Wealth Management. Morgan Stanley's competitive position for its Institutional Securities and Wealth Management business segments depends on innovation, execution capability and relative pricing. Morgan Stanley competes directly in the U.S. and globally with other

securities and financial services firms and broker-dealers and with others on a regional or product basis. Additionally, there is increased competition driven by established firms as well as the emergence of new firms and business models competing for the same clients and assets or offering similar products and services.

Morgan Stanley's ability to access capital at competitive rates (which is generally impacted by its credit ratings) and to commit capital efficiently, particularly in its capital-intensive underwriting and sales, trading, financing and marketmaking activities, also affects its competitive position. Corporate clients may request that Morgan Stanley provide loans or lending commitments in connection with certain investment banking activities and such requests are expected to increase in the future.

It is possible that competition may become even more intense as Morgan Stanley continues to compete with financial institutions that may be larger, or better capitalised, or may have a stronger local presence and longer operating history in certain areas. Many of these firms have the ability to offer a wide range of products and services that may enhance their competitive position and could result in pricing pressure on Morgan Stanley's businesses. In addition, its business is subject to increased regulation in the U.S. and abroad, while certain of its competitors may be subject to less stringent legal and regulatory regimes than Morgan Stanley, thereby putting it at a competitive disadvantage.

Morgan Stanley continues to experience intense price competition in some of its businesses. In particular, the ability to execute securities trades electronically on exchanges and through other automated trading markets has increased the pressure on trading commissions and comparable fees. The trend toward direct access to automated, electronic markets will likely increase as additional trading moves to more automated platforms. It is also possible that Morgan Stanley will experience competitive pressures in these and other areas in the future as some of its competitors seek to obtain market share by reducing prices (in the form of commissions or pricing).

Investment Management. Competition in the asset management industry is affected by several factors, including Morgan Stanley's reputation, investment objectives, quality of investment professionals, performance of investment strategies or product offerings relative to peers and an appropriate benchmark index, advertising and sales promotion efforts, fee levels, the effectiveness of and access to distribution channels and investment pipelines, and the types and quality of products offered. Morgan Stanley's investment products, including alternative investment products, may compete with investments offered by other investment managers who may be subject to less stringent legal and regulatory regimes than Morgan Stanley.

Supervision and Regulation

As a major financial services firm, Morgan Stanley is subject to extensive regulation by U.S. federal and state regulatory agencies and securities exchanges and by regulators and exchanges in each of the major markets where it conducts its business. Moreover, in response to the 2007–2008 financial crisis, legislators and regulators, both in the U.S. and worldwide, have adopted, continue to propose or are in the process of implementing a wide range of reforms that have resulted or that will result in major changes to the way Morgan Stanley is regulated and conducts its business. These reforms include the Dodd-Frank Act; risk-based capital, leverage and liquidity standards adopted by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the "**Basel Committee**"), including Basel III, and the national implementation of those standards; capital planning and stress testing requirements; proposed requirements for total loss-absorbing capacity, including long-term debt; and new resolution regimes that are being developed in the U.S. and other jurisdictions. While certain portions of these reforms are effective, others are still subject to final rulemaking or transition periods.

It is likely that there will be further material changes in the way major financial institutions are regulated in both the U.S. and other markets in which Morgan Stanley operates, although it remains difficult to predict the exact impact these changes will have on Morgan Stanley's business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows for a particular future period.

Financial Holding Company

Consolidated Supervision. Morgan Stanley has operated as a bank holding company and financial holding company under the BHC Act since September 2008. As a bank holding company, Morgan Stanley is subject to comprehensive consolidated supervision, regulation and examination by the Federal

Reserve. As a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve has heightened authority to examine, prescribe regulations and take action with respect to all of Morgan Stanley's subsidiaries. In particular, as a result of the Dodd-Frank Act, Morgan Stanley is, or will become, subject to (among other things): significantly revised and expanded regulation and supervision; more intensive scrutiny of its businesses and plans for expansion of those businesses; new activities limitations; a systemic risk regime that imposes heightened capital and liquidity requirements; new restrictions on activities and investments imposed by the Volcker Rule; and comprehensive derivatives regulation. In addition, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau has primary rulemaking, enforcement and examination authority over Morgan Stanley and its subsidiaries with respect to federal consumer protection laws, to the extent applicable.

Scope of Permitted Activities. The BHC Act limits the activities of bank holding companies and financial holding companies and grants the Federal Reserve authority to limit Morgan Stanley's ability to conduct activities. Morgan Stanley must obtain the Federal Reserve's approval before engaging in certain banking and other financial activities both in the U.S. and internationally. Since becoming a bank holding company, Morgan Stanley has disposed of certain nonconforming assets and conformed certain activities to the requirements of the BHC Act.

The BHC Act grandfathers "activities related to the trading, sale or investment in commodities and underlying physical properties", provided that Morgan Stanley was engaged in "any of such activities as of September 30, 1997 in the United States" and provided that certain other conditions that are within Morgan Stanley's reasonable control are satisfied. If the Federal Reserve were to determine that any of Morgan Stanley's commodities activities did not qualify for the BHC Act grandfather exemption, then Morgan Stanley would likely be required to divest any such activities that did not otherwise conform to the BHC Act. At this time, Morgan Stanley believes, based on its interpretation of applicable law, that (i) such commodities activities qualify for the BHC Act grandfather exemption or otherwise conform to the BHC Act and (ii) if the Federal Reserve were to determine otherwise, any required divestment would not have a material adverse impact on its financial condition. Additionally, the Federal Reserve has stated that it is considering the issuance of a formal notice of proposed rulemaking to address the risks associated with financial holding companies' physical commodities activities and merchant banking investments in nonfinancial companies, including rules that may impose additional capital, risk management and reporting requirements.

Activities Restrictions under the Volcker Rule. The Volcker Rule prohibits "banking entities", including Morgan Stanley and its affiliates, from engaging in certain "proprietary trading" activities, as defined in the Volcker Rule, subject to exemptions for underwriting, market-making-related activities, risk-mitigating hedging and certain other activities. The Volcker Rule also prohibits certain investments and relationships by banking entities with "covered funds", as defined in the Volcker Rule, subject to certain exemptions and exclusions. Banking entities were required to bring all of their activities and investments into conformance with the Volcker Rule by 21 July 2015, subject to certain extensions. In addition, the Volcker Rule requires banking entities to have comprehensive compliance programmes reasonably designed to ensure and monitor compliance with the Volcker Rule.

The Volcker Rule also requires that deductions be made from a bank holding company's Tier 1 capital for certain permissible investments in covered funds. Beginning with the three months ended 30 September 2015, the required deductions are reflected in Morgan Stanley's relevant regulatory capital tiers and ratios. Given its complexity, the full impact of the Volcker Rule is still uncertain and will ultimately depend on the interpretation and implementation by the five regulatory agencies responsible for its oversight.

Capital Standards. The Federal Reserve establishes capital requirements for Morgan Stanley and evaluates its compliance with such requirements. The OCC establishes similar capital requirements and standards for Morgan Stanley's U.S. Bank Subsidiaries.

Basel III. The current risk-based and leverage capital framework governing Morgan Stanley and its U.S. Bank Subsidiaries is based on the Basel III capital standards established by the Basel Committee, as modified in certain respects by the U.S. banking agencies, and is referred to herein as "U.S. Basel III". Under U.S. Basel III, on a fully phased-in basis, Morgan Stanley will be subject to the following requirements:

- A minimum Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5%; Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.0%; Total capital ratio of 8.0%; and Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4.0%;
- A supplementary leverage ratio of at least 5.0%, which includes a Tier 1 supplementary leverage capital buffer of at least 2.0% in addition to the 3.0% minimum supplementary leverage ratio;
- A greater than 2.5% Common Equity Tier 1 capital conservation buffer;
- Up to a 2.5% Common Equity Tier 1 countercyclical buffer, if deployed by banking regulators; and
- A global systemically important bank capital surcharge, which the Federal Reserve calculated at 3% for Morgan Stanley in July 2015.

The Federal Reserve may require Morgan Stanley and its peer financial holding companies to maintain risk- and leverage-based capital ratios substantially in excess of mandated minimum levels, depending upon general economic conditions and a financial holding company's particular condition, risk profile and growth plans.

In order for Morgan Stanley's U.S. Bank Subsidiaries to qualify as "well-capitalised" under the higher capital requirements in U.S. Basel III, they must maintain a Total risk-based capital ratio of at least 10%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 8%, a Common Equity Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of at least 6.5% and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 5%. The Federal Reserve has not yet revised the "well-capitalised" standard for financial holding companies to reflect the higher capital standards in U.S. Basel III.

The Basel Committee is in the process of considering revisions to various provisions of the Basel III framework that, if adopted by the U.S. banking agencies, could result in substantial changes to U.S. Basel III.

Capital Planning, Stress Tests and Capital Distributions. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve has adopted capital planning and stress test requirements for large bank holding companies, including Morgan Stanley. The Dodd-Frank Act also requires each of Morgan Stanley's U.S. Bank Subsidiaries to conduct an annual stress test.

In addition to capital planning requirements, the OCC, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC have the authority to prohibit or to limit the payment of dividends by the banking organisations they supervise, including Morgan Stanley and its U.S. Bank Subsidiaries, if, in the banking regulator's opinion, payment of a dividend would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice in light of the financial condition of the banking organisation. All of these policies and other requirements could affect Morgan Stanley's ability to pay dividends and/or repurchase stock, or require it to provide capital assistance to its U.S. Bank Subsidiaries under circumstances which Morgan Stanley would not otherwise decide to do so.

Liquidity Standards. In addition to capital regulations, the U.S. banking agencies and the Basel Committee have adopted, or are in the process of considering, liquidity standards. The Basel Committee has developed two standards intended for use in liquidity risk supervision, the Liquidity Coverage Ratio ("LCR") and the Net Stable Funding Ratio. The LCR requirements issued by the U.S. banking regulators apply to Morgan Stanley and its U.S. Bank Subsidiaries.

Systemic Risk Regime. The Dodd-Frank Act established a systemic risk regime to which bank holding companies with \$50 billion or more in consolidated assets, such as Morgan Stanley, are subject. Under rules issued by the Federal Reserve to implement certain requirements of the Dodd-Frank Act's enhanced prudential standards, such bank holding companies must conduct internal liquidity stress tests, maintain unencumbered highly liquid assets to meet projected net cash outflows for 30 days over the range of liquidity stress scenarios used in internal stress tests, and comply with various liquidity risk management requirements. Institutions also must comply with a range of risk management and corporate governance requirements.

The Federal Reserve has proposed rules that would establish single counterparty credit limits and create a new early remediation framework to address financial distress or material management weaknesses. The Federal Reserve also has the ability to establish additional prudential standards, including those regarding

contingent capital, enhanced public disclosures, and limits on short-term debt, including off-balance sheet exposures.

Under the systemic risk regime, if the Federal Reserve or the Financial Stability Oversight Council determines that a bank holding company with \$50 billion or more in consolidated assets poses a "grave threat" to U.S. financial stability, the institution may be, among other things, restricted in its ability to merge or offer financial products and required to terminate activities and dispose of assets.

See also "*Capital Standards*" and "*Liquidity Standards*" above and "*Resolution and Recovery Planning*" below.

Resolution and Recovery Planning. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, Morgan Stanley is required to submit to the Federal Reserve and the FDIC an annual resolution plan that describes its strategy for a rapid and orderly resolution under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code in the event of material financial distress or failure of Morgan Stanley. Morgan Stanley's preferred resolution strategy, which is set out in its 2015 resolution plan is a SPOE strategy. On 12 April 2016, the Federal Reserve and the FDIC notified Morgan Stanley of certain shortcomings in its 2015 resolution plan. The Federal Reserve, but not the FDIC, viewed one of the shortcomings as a deficiency, but because a joint determination was not made, this was not a notice of deficiency. Morgan Stanley is required to respond with a status report on its actions to address the shortcomings and a public section that explains those actions by 1 October 2016. Its next full resolution plan submission will be on 1 July 2017. If the Federal Reserve and the FDIC were, at a later time, to jointly determine that Morgan Stanley's 2017 resolution plan is not credible or would not facilitate an orderly resolution, and if Morgan Stanley were unable to address any deficiencies at that later time, Morgan Stanley or any of its subsidiaries may be subjected to more stringent capital, leverage or liquidity requirements or restrictions on its growth, activities or operations or, after a two-year period, Morgan Stanley may be required to divest assets or operations.

In May 2016, the Federal Reserve proposed a rule that would impose contractual requirements on certain "qualified financial contracts" ("**covered QFCs**") to which U.S. G-SIBs, including Morgan Stanley, and their subsidiaries ("**covered entities**") are parties. While national banks and savings associations are not "covered entities" under the proposed rule, the OCC is expected to propose a rule that would subject national banks, including Morgan Stanley's U.S. Bank Subsidiaries, to substantively identical requirements. Under the proposal, covered QFCs must expressly provide that transfer restrictions and default rights against a covered entity are limited to the same extent as provided under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and Title II of the Dodd-Frank Act and their implementing regulations. In addition, covered QFCs may not permit the exercise of cross-default rights against a covered entity based on affiliate's entry into insolvency, resolution or similar proceedings. If adopted as proposed, the requirements would take effect at the start of the first calendar quarter that begins at least one year after the final rule is issued. Morgan Stanley is evaluation the potential impact of the proposal, which is subject to public comment and further rulemaking procedures.

Morgan Stanley is required to submit an annual recovery plan to the Federal Reserve that outlines the steps that management could take over time to generate or conserve financial resources in times of prolonged financial stress.

Certain of Morgan Stanley's domestic and foreign subsidiaries are also subject to resolution and recovery planning requirements in the jurisdictions in which they operate. For example, MSBNA must submit to the FDIC an annual resolution plan that describes MSBNA's strategy for a rapid and orderly resolution in the event of material financial distress or failure of MSBNA. The OCC has also proposed guidelines that would require insured national banks with \$50 billion or more in consolidated assets, which include MSBNA, to submit an annual recovery plan to the OCC.

In addition, under the Dodd-Frank Act, certain financial companies, including bank holding companies such as Morgan Stanley and certain covered subsidiaries, can be subjected to a resolution proceeding under the orderly liquidation authority in Title II of the Dodd-Frank Act with the FDIC being appointed as receiver, provided that certain procedures are met, including certain extraordinary financial distress and systematic risk determinations by the U.S. Treasury Secretary in consultation with the U.S. President. The orderly liquidation authority rulemaking is proceeding in stages, with some regulations now finalised and others planned but not yet proposed. If Morgan Stanley were subject to the orderly liquidation authority, the FDIC would have considerable powers, including: the power to remove officers and directors responsible for Morgan Stanley's failure and to appoint new directors and officers; the power to

assign Morgan Stanley's assets and liabilities to a third party or bridge financial company without the need for creditor consent or prior court review; the ability to differentiate among Morgan Stanley's creditors, including by treating certain creditors within the same class better than others, subject to a minimum recovery right on the part of disfavoured creditors to receive at least what they would have received in bankruptcy liquidation; and broad powers to administer the claims process to determine distributions from the assets of the receivership. The FDIC has been developing an SPOE strategy that could be used to implement the orderly liquidation authority and in December 2013 issued a public notice inviting comments on the proposed strategy.

Regulators have taken and proposed various actions to facilitate an SPOE strategy under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, the orderly liquidation authority or other resolution regimes. For example, the Federal Reserve has issued a proposed rule that would require top-tier bank holding companies of G-SIBs, including Morgan Stanley, to maintain minimum amounts of equity and eligible long-term debt in order to ensure that such institutions have enough loss-absorbing resources to be recapitalised under an SPOE resolution strategy. (The proposed rule also imposes additional requirements.) In addition, on 12 November 2015, in order to facilitate an SPOE resolution strategy, Morgan Stanley and certain of its subsidiaries, together with certain other G-SIBs, agreed to adhere to the International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("**ISDA**") 2015 Universal Resolution Stay Protocol (the "**Protocol**"), which applies to OTC derivative transactions entered into among the adhering parties under ISDA Master Agreements and securities financing transactions governed by specified securities financing transaction agreements. The Protocol overrides certain cross-default rights and certain other default rights related to the entry of an adhering party or certain of its affiliates into certain resolution proceedings. The Federal Reserve is expected to promulgate regulations implementing and possibly expanding portions of, and the parties subject to, the Protocol.

U.S. Bank Subsidiaries

U.S. Banking Institutions. MSBNA, primarily a wholesale commercial bank, offers commercial lending and certain retail securities-based lending services in addition to deposit products. It also conducts certain foreign exchange activities.

MSPBNA offers certain mortgage and other secured lending products, including retail securities-based lending products, primarily for customers of its affiliate retail broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("**MSSB LLC**"). MSPBNA also offers certain deposit products, as well as prime brokerage custody services. Both MSBNA and MSPBNA are FDIC-insured national banks subject to supervision, regulation and examination by the OCC. They are both subject to the OCC's risk governance guidelines, which establish heightened standards for a large national bank's risk governance framework and the oversight of that framework by the bank's board of directors.

Prompt Corrective Action. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 provides a framework for regulation of depository institutions and their affiliates, including parent holding companies, by their federal banking regulators. Among other things, it requires the relevant federal banking regulator to take "prompt corrective action" ("**PCA**") with respect to a depository institution if that institution does not meet certain capital adequacy standards. Current PCA regulations generally apply only to insured banks and thrifts such as MSBNA or MSPBNA and not to their parent holding companies. The Federal Reserve is, however, authorised to take appropriate action at the holding company level, subject to certain limitations. Under the systemic risk regime, as described above, Morgan Stanley also would become subject to an early remediation protocol in the event of financial distress. In addition, bank holding companies, such as Morgan Stanley, are required to serve as a source of strength to their U.S. bank subsidiaries and commit resources to support these subsidiaries in the event such subsidiaries are in financial distress

Transactions with Affiliates. Morgan Stanley's U.S. Bank Subsidiaries are subject to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, which impose restrictions on "**covered transactions**" with any affiliates. Covered transactions include any extension of credit to, purchase of assets from, and certain other transactions by insured banks with an affiliate. These restrictions limit the total amount of credit exposure that Morgan Stanley's U.S. Bank Subsidiaries may have to any one affiliate and to all affiliates. Other provisions set collateral requirements and require all such transactions to be made on market terms. Derivatives, securities borrowing and securities lending transactions between Morgan Stanley's U.S. Bank Subsidiaries and their affiliates are subject to these restrictions. The Federal Reserve has indicated that it will propose a rulemaking to implement these more recent restrictions.

In addition, the Volcker Rule generally prohibits covered transactions between (i) Morgan Stanley or any of its affiliates and (ii) covered funds for which Morgan Stanley or any of its affiliates serves as the investment manager, investment adviser, commodity trading advisor or sponsor or other covered funds organised and offered by Morgan Stanley or any of its affiliates pursuant to specific exemptions in the Volcker Rule.

FDIC Regulation. An FDIC-insured depository institution is generally liable for any loss incurred or expected to be incurred by the FDIC in connection with the failure of an insured depository institution under common control by the same bank holding company. As commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institutions, each of MSBNA and MSPBNA could be responsible for any loss to the FDIC from the failure of the other. In addition, both institutions are exposed to changes in the cost of FDIC insurance. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, some of the restoration of the FDIC's reserve fund must be paid for exclusively by large depository institutions, including MSBNA, and FDIC deposit insurance assessments are calculated using a methodology that generally results in a lower charge for banks that are mostly funded by deposits.

Institutional Securities and Wealth Management.

Broker-Dealer and Investment Adviser Regulation. Morgan Stanley's primary U.S. broker-dealer subsidiaries, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("MS&Co.") and MSSB LLC, are registered broker-dealers with the SEC and in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, and are members of various self-regulatory organisations, including the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA"), and various securities exchanges and clearing organisations. Broker-dealers are subject to laws and regulations covering all aspects of the securities business, including sales and trading practices, securities offerings, publication of research reports, use of customers' funds and securities, capital structure, risk management controls in connection with market access, recordkeeping and retention, and the conduct of their directors, officers, representatives and other associated persons. Broker-dealers are also regulated by securities administrators in those states where they do business. Violations of the laws and regulations governing a broker-dealer's actions could result in censures, fines, the issuance of cease-and-desist orders, revocation of licenses or registrations, the suspension or expulsion from the securities industry of such broker-dealer or its officers or employees, or other similar consequences by both federal and state securities administrators. Morgan Stanley's broker-dealer subsidiaries are also members of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation, which provides certain protections for customers of broker-dealers against losses in the event of the insolvency of a broker-dealer.

MSSB LLC is also a registered investment adviser with the SEC. MSSB LLC's relationship with its investment advisory clients is subject to the fiduciary and other obligations imposed on investment advisors under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder as well as various state securities laws. These laws and regulations generally grant the SEC and other supervisory bodies broad administrative powers to address non-compliance, including the power to restrict or limit MSSB LLC from carrying on its investment advisory and other asset management activities. Other sanctions that may be imposed include the suspension of individual employees, limitations on engaging in certain activities for specified periods of time or for specified types of clients, the revocation of registrations, other censures and significant fines.

Morgan Stanley is subject to various regulations that affect broker-dealer sales practices and customer relationships. For example, under the Dodd-Frank Act, the SEC is authorised to adopt a fiduciary duty applicable to broker-dealers when providing personalised investment advice about securities to retail customers, although the SEC has not yet acted on this authority. As a separate matter, in April 2015, the U.S. Department of Labor issued a proposed rule under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 that, when finalised, would subject broker-dealers to a fiduciary duty and may limit certain transactions and activities involving retirement accounts. These developments may impact the manner in which affected businesses are conducted, decrease profitability and increase potential liabilities.

Margin lending by broker-dealers is regulated by the Federal Reserve's restrictions on lending in connection with customer and proprietary purchases and short sales of securities, as well as securities borrowing and lending activities. Broker-dealers are also subject to maintenance and other margin requirements imposed under FINRA and other self-regulatory organisation rules. In many cases, Morgan Stanley's broker-dealer subsidiaries' margin policies are more stringent than these rules.

As registered U.S. broker-dealers, certain subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley are subject to the SEC's net capital rule and the net capital requirements of various exchanges, other regulatory authorities and self-regulatory organisations. These rules are generally designed to measure general financial integrity and/or liquidity and require that at least a minimum amount of net and/or liquid assets be maintained by the subsidiary. See also "*Financial Holding Company – Consolidated Supervision*" and "*Financial Holding Company – Liquidity Standards*" above. Rules of FINRA and other self-regulatory organisations also impose limitations and requirements on the transfer of member organisations' assets.

Compliance with regulatory capital requirements may limit Morgan Stanley's operations requiring the intensive use of capital. Such requirements restrict Morgan Stanley's ability to withdraw capital from its broker-dealer subsidiaries, which in turn may limit its ability to pay dividends, repay debt, or redeem or purchase shares of its own outstanding stock. Any change in such rules or the imposition of new rules affecting the scope, coverage, calculation or amount of capital requirements, or a significant operating loss or any unusually large charge against capital, could adversely affect Morgan Stanley's ability to pay dividends or to expand or maintain present business levels. In addition, such rules may require Morgan Stanley to make substantial capital infusions into one or more of its broker-dealer subsidiaries in order for such subsidiaries to comply with such rules.

Regulation of Futures Activities and Certain Commodities Activities. MS&Co., as a futures commission merchant, and MSSB LLC, as an introducing broker, are subject to net capital requirements of, and their activities are regulated by, the CFTC, the National Futures Association (the "NFA"), a registered futures association, CME Group, and various commodity futures exchanges. MS&Co. and MSSB LLC and certain of their affiliates are registered members of the NFA in various capacities. Rules and regulations of the CFTC, NFA and commodity futures exchanges address obligations related to, among other things, customer protections, the segregation of customer funds and the holding of secured amounts, the use by futures commission merchants of customer funds, recordkeeping and reporting obligations of futures commission merchants and introducing brokers, risk disclosure, risk management and discretionary trading.

Morgan Stanley's commodities activities are subject to extensive and evolving energy, commodities, environmental, health and safety and other governmental laws and regulations in the U.S. and abroad. Intensified scrutiny of certain energy markets by U.S. federal, state and local authorities in the U.S. and abroad and by the public has resulted in increased regulatory and legal enforcement and remedial proceedings involving companies conducting the activities in which Morgan Stanley is engaged. See also "*Financial Holding Company – Scope of Permitted Activities*" above.

Derivatives Regulation. Under the U.S. regulatory regime for "swaps" and "security-based swaps" (collectively, "**Swaps**") implemented pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, Morgan Stanley is subject to regulations including, among others, public and regulatory reporting, central clearing and mandatory trading on regulated exchanges or execution facilities for certain types of Swaps. While the CFTC has completed the majority of its regulations in this area, most of which are in effect, the SEC has not yet adopted a number of its Swaps regulations. The Dodd-Frank Act also requires the registration of "swap dealers" with the CFTC and "security-based swap dealers" with the SEC (collectively, "**Swaps Entities**"). Certain of Morgan Stanley's subsidiaries have registered with the CFTC as swap dealers and will in the future be required to register with the SEC as security-based swap dealers. Swaps Entities are or will be subject to a comprehensive regulatory regime with new obligations for the Swaps activities for which they are registered, including capital requirements, margin requirements for uncleared Swaps and comprehensive business conduct rules.

The specific parameters of some of these requirements for Swaps have been and continue to be developed through the CFTC, SEC and bank regulator rulemakings. In October 2015, the federal banking regulators issued a final rule establishing minimum uncleared Swap margin requirements for Swaps Entities that they prudentially regulate, which includes MSBNA. The rule requires the exchange of initial and variation margin for uncleared Swaps with certain types of counterparties. Similarly, in December 2015, the CFTC issued a final rule establishing uncleared Swap margin requirements for swap dealers that are not subject to regulation by the federal banking regulators, which includes Morgan Stanley Capital Services LLC and MSI plc.

Although the full impact of U.S. derivatives regulation on Morgan Stanley remains unclear, Morgan Stanley has already faced, and will continue to face, increased costs and regulatory oversight due to the registration and regulatory requirements indicated above. Complying with the Swaps rules also has

required, and will in the future require, Morgan Stanley to change its Swaps businesses and has required, and will in the future require, extensive systems and personnel changes. Compliance with Swaps-related regulatory capital requirements may require Morgan Stanley to devote more capital to its Swaps business.

Research. Both U.S. and non-U.S. regulators continue to focus on research conflicts of interest. Research-related regulations have been implemented in many jurisdictions. FINRA adopted amendments to its equity research rules (effective December 2015) and adopted new rules for debt research (effective April 2016). New and revised requirements resulting from these regulations and the global research settlement with U.S. federal and state regulators (to which Morgan Stanley is a party) have necessitated the development or enhancement of corresponding policies and procedures.

Non-U.S. Regulation. Morgan Stanley's Institutional Securities businesses also are regulated extensively by non-U.S. regulators, including governments, securities exchanges, commodity exchanges, self-regulatory organisations, central banks and regulatory bodies, especially in those jurisdictions in which Morgan Stanley maintains an office. Non-U.S. policy makers and regulators, including the European Commission and European Supervisory Authorities (among others the European Banking Authority and the European Securities and Markets Authority), continue to propose and adopt numerous market reforms, including those that may further impact the structure of banks, and formulate regulatory standards and measures that will be of relevance and importance to Morgan Stanley's European operations. Certain Morgan Stanley subsidiaries are regulated as broker-dealers under the laws of the jurisdictions in which they operate. Subsidiaries engaged in banking and trust activities outside the U.S. are regulated by various government agencies in the particular jurisdiction where they are chartered, incorporated and/or conduct their business activity. For instance, the Prudential Regulation Authority ("**PRA**"), the FCA and several securities and futures exchanges in the U.K., including the London Stock Exchange and ICE Futures Europe, regulate Morgan Stanley's activities in the U.K.; the Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority) and the Deutsche Börse AG regulate its activities in the Federal Republic of Germany; the Financial Services Agency, the Bank of Japan, the Japanese Securities Dealers Association and several Japanese securities and futures exchanges, regulate its activities in Japan; the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong, the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited regulate its operations in Hong Kong; and the Monetary Authority of Singapore and the Singapore Exchange Limited regulate its business in Singapore.

Regulators in the U.K., E.U. and other major jurisdictions have also finalised or are in the process of proposing or finalising risk-based capital, leverage capital, liquidity, banking structural reforms and other regulatory standards applicable to certain Morgan Stanley subsidiaries that operate in those jurisdictions. For example, MSI plc is subject to regulation and supervision by the PRA with respect to prudential matters. As a prudential regulator, the PRA seeks to promote the safety and soundness of the firms that it regulates and to minimise the adverse effects that such firms may have on the stability of the U.K. financial system. The PRA has broad legal authority to establish prudential and other standards to pursue these objectives, including approvals of relevant regulatory models, as well as to bring public and non-public disciplinary actions against regulated firms to address noncompliance with such standards. MSI plc is also regulated and supervised by the FCA with respect to business conduct matters. Regulation (EU) No.648/2012 on OTC derivatives, central counterparties and trade repositories (the "**European Market Infrastructure Regulation**" or "**EMIR**") introduces new requirements regarding the central clearing and reporting of derivatives. In addition, the E.U. BRRD has established a recovery and resolution framework for E.U. credit institutions and investment firms, including MSI plc. The member states of the E.U. ("**Member States**") were required to apply provisions implementing the BRRD as of 1 January 2015, subject to certain exemptions. New directives and regulations originally expected to apply from 3 January 2017 (currently with potential delay of one year) will introduce various trading and market infrastructure reforms in the E.U., subject to restrictions.

Investment Management

Many of the subsidiaries engaged in Morgan Stanley's asset management activities are registered as investment advisers with the SEC. Many aspects of Morgan Stanley's asset management activities are subject to federal and state laws and regulations primarily intended to benefit the investor or client. These laws and regulations generally grant supervisory agencies and bodies broad administrative powers, including the power to limit or restrict Morgan Stanley from carrying on its asset management activities in the event that it fails to comply with such laws and regulations. Sanctions that may be imposed for such failure include the suspension of individual employees, limitations on Morgan Stanley engaging in

various asset management activities for specified periods of time or specified types of clients, the revocation of registrations, other censures and significant fines. In order to facilitate its asset management business, Morgan Stanley owns a registered U.S. broker-dealer, Morgan Stanley Distribution, Inc., which acts as distributor to the Morgan Stanley mutual funds and as placement agent to certain private investment funds managed by Morgan Stanley's Investment Management business segment. In addition, certain affiliates of Morgan Stanley are registered as commodity trading advisors and/or commodity pool operators, or are operating under certain exemptions from such registration pursuant to CFTC rules and other guidance, and have certain responsibilities with respect to each pool they advise. Violations of the rules of the CFTC, the NFA or the commodity exchanges could result in remedial actions, including fines, registration restrictions or terminations, trading prohibitions or revocations of commodity exchange memberships. See also "*Institutional Securities and Wealth Management – Broker-Dealer and Investment Adviser Regulation*" and "*Institutional Securities and Wealth Management – Regulation of Futures Activities and Certain Commodities Activities*" above.

As a result of the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act, Morgan Stanley's asset management activities are subject to certain additional laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, additional reporting and record-keeping requirements (including with respect to clients that are private funds) and restrictions on sponsoring or investing in, or maintaining certain other relationships with, "covered funds", as defined in the Volcker Rule, subject to certain limited exemptions. Many of these new requirements may increase the expenses associated with Morgan Stanley's asset management activities and/or reduce the investment returns Morgan Stanley is able to generate for its asset management clients. See also "*Financial Holding Company – Activities Restrictions under the Volcker Rule*" above.

Morgan Stanley's Investment Management business is also regulated outside the U.S. For example, the FCA is the primary regulator of Morgan Stanley's business in the U.K.; the Financial Services Agency regulates Morgan Stanley's business in Japan; the Hong Kong Securities and Futures Commission regulates Morgan Stanley's business in Hong Kong; and the Monetary Authority of Singapore regulates Morgan Stanley's business in Singapore. See also "*Institutional Securities and Wealth Management – Non-U.S. Regulation*" above.

Financial Crimes Programme

Morgan Stanley's Financial Crimes programme is coordinated on an enterprise-wide basis and supports Morgan Stanley's financial crime prevention efforts across all regions and business units with responsibility for governance, oversight and execution of AML, economic sanctions and anti-corruption programmes.

In the U.S. the Bank Secrecy Act, as amended by the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, imposes significant obligations on financial institutions to detect and deter money laundering and terrorist financing activity, including requiring banks, bank holding companies and their subsidiaries, broker-dealers, futures commission merchants, introducing brokers and mutual funds to implement AML programmes, verify the identity of customers that maintain accounts, and monitor and report suspicious activity to appropriate law enforcement or regulatory authorities. Outside the U.S., applicable laws, rules and regulations similarly require designated types of financial institutions to implement AML programmes. Morgan Stanley has implemented policies, procedures and internal controls that are designed to comply with all applicable AML laws and regulations. Regarding sanctions, Morgan Stanley has implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are designed to comply with the regulations and economic sanctions programmes administered by OFAC, which target foreign countries, entities and individuals based on external threats to U.S. foreign policy, national security or economic interests, and as applicable similar sanctions programmes imposed by foreign governments or global or regional multilateral organisations such as the United Nations Security Council and the E.U. Council.

Morgan Stanley is also subject to applicable anti-corruption laws, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the U.K. Bribery Act, in the jurisdictions in which it operates. Anti-corruption laws generally prohibit offering, promising, giving, or authorising others to give anything of value, either directly or indirectly, to a government official or private party in order to influence official action or otherwise gain an unfair business advantage, such as to obtain or retain business. Morgan Stanley has implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are designed to comply with such laws, rules and regulations.

Protection of Client Information

Many aspects of Morgan Stanley's businesses are subject to legal requirements concerning the use and protection of certain customer information, including those adopted pursuant to the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 in the U.S., the E.U. Data Protection Directive and various laws in Asia, including the Japanese Personal Information (Protection) Law, the Hong Kong Personal Data (Protection) Ordinance and the Australian Privacy Act. Morgan Stanley has adopted measures designed to comply with these and related applicable requirements in all relevant jurisdictions.

Compensation Practices and Other Regulation

Morgan Stanley's compensation practices are subject to oversight by the Federal Reserve. In particular, Morgan Stanley is subject to the Federal Reserve's guidance that is designed to help ensure that incentive compensation paid by banking organisations does not encourage imprudent risk-taking that threatens the organisations' safety and soundness. The scope and content of the Federal Reserve's policies on executive compensation are continuing to develop and may change based on findings from its peer review process, and Morgan Stanley expects that these policies will evolve over a number of years.

Morgan Stanley is subject to the compensation-related provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act, which may impact its compensation practices. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, among other things, federal regulators, including the Federal Reserve, must prescribe regulations to require covered financial institutions, including Morgan Stanley, to report the structures of all of their incentive-based compensation arrangements and prohibit incentive-based payment arrangements that encourage inappropriate risk taking by providing employees, directors or principal shareholders with compensation that is excessive or that could lead to material financial loss to the covered financial institution. In April 2011, seven federal agencies, including the Federal Reserve, jointly proposed an interagency rule implementing this requirement. Further, pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, in July 2015, the SEC proposed rules that would direct stock exchanges to require listed companies to implement clawback policies to recover incentive-based compensation from current or former executive officers in the event of certain financial restatements and would also require companies to disclose their clawback policies and their actions under those policies.

Morgan Stanley's compensation practices may also be impacted by regulations in other jurisdictions. Morgan Stanley's compensation practices with respect to certain employees whose activities have a material impact on the risk profile of Morgan Stanley's E.U. operations are subject to the CRD IV and related E.U. and Member State regulations, including, amongst others, a cap on the ratio of variable remuneration to fixed remuneration and clawback arrangements in relation to variable remuneration paid in the past. In the U.K., the remuneration of certain employees of banks and other firms is governed by the Remuneration Codes in the PRA and FCA Handbooks, including since 1 January 2014, provisions that implement the CRD IV as well as additional U.K. requirements.

U.K. Referendum

A referendum regarding the U.K.'s continued membership in the E.U. will take place on 23 June 2016. A determination to exit the E.U. could impact the markets and financial institutions with significant operations in Europe, such as Morgan Stanley.

2. OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITIES

Principal Activities

Morgan Stanley, a financial holding company, is a global financial services firm that maintains significant market positions in each of its business segments—Institutional Securities, Wealth Management and Investment Management. Morgan Stanley, through its subsidiaries and affiliates, provides a wide variety of products and services to a large and diversified group of clients and customers, including corporations, governments, financial institutions and individuals.

A description of the clients and principal products and services of each of Morgan Stanley's business segments is as follows:

Institutional Securities provides investment banking, sales and trading and other services to corporations, governments, financial institutions, and high-to-ultra high net worth clients. Investment banking services comprise capital raising and financial advisory services, including services relating to the underwriting of debt, equity and other securities as well as advice on mergers and acquisitions, restructurings, real estate and project finance. Sales and trading services include sales, financing and market-making activities in equity securities and fixed income products, including foreign exchange and commodities, as well as prime brokerage services. Other services include corporate lending activities and credit products, investments and research.

Wealth Management provides a comprehensive array of financial services and solutions to individual investors and small to-medium sized businesses and institutions covering brokerage and investment advisory services, market-making activities in fixed income securities, financial and wealth planning services, annuity and insurance products, credit and other lending products, banking and retirement plan services.

Investment Management provides a broad range of investment strategies and products that span geographies, asset classes, and public and private markets, to a diverse group of clients across institutional and intermediary channels. Institutional clients include defined benefit/defined contribution pensions, foundations, endowments, government entities, sovereign wealth funds, insurance companies, third-party fund sponsors and corporations. Individual clients are serviced through intermediaries, including affiliated and non-affiliated distributors. Strategies and products comprise traditional asset management, including equity, fixed income, liquidity, alternatives and managed futures products, as well as merchant banking and real estate investing.

3. ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE

Principal Markets

Morgan Stanley is a global financial services firm that, through its subsidiaries and affiliates, advises, and originates, trades, manages and distributes capital for, governments, institutions and individuals. Morgan Stanley conducts its business from its headquarters in and around New York City, its regional offices and branches throughout the U.S. and its principal offices in London, Tokyo, Hong Kong and other world financial centers. At 31 December 2015, Morgan Stanley had 56,218 employees worldwide.

Morgan Stanley's significant regulated U.S. and international subsidiaries include MS&Co., MSSB LLC, MSI plc, Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co., Ltd. ("**MSMS**"), MSBNA and MSPBNA.

Structure of the Group

For information relating to the structure of the Morgan Stanley Group and for the list of significant subsidiaries as at 31 December 2015 see the section entitled "*Significant Subsidiaries of Morgan Stanley as at 31 December 2015*" below.

4. ADMINISTRATIVE, MANAGEMENT AND SUPERVISORY BODIES

Board of Directors

The directors of Morgan Stanley as of the date of this Registration Document, their offices, if any, within Morgan Stanley, and their principal outside activity, if any, are listed below. The business address of each director is 1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036, U.S.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Function within Morgan Stanley</u>	<u>Principal Outside Activity</u>
James P. Gorman	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer	None.
Erskine B. Bowles	Director	Member of the board of directors of Facebook, Inc. and Norfolk Southern Corporation. Senior advisor at BDT Capital Partners LLC, a private investment firm.

Name	Function within Morgan Stanley	Principal Outside Activity
Alistair Darling	Director	Member of the House of Lords of the British Parliament.
Thomas H. Glocer	Director	Member of the board of directors of Merck & Co., Inc.
Robert H. Herz	Director	President of Robert H. Herz LLC and member of the board of directors of the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and Workiva Inc. Serves on the Accounting Standards Oversight Council of Canada and as a member of the Standing Advisory Group of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.
Nobuyuki Hirano	Director	President, CEO and Director of MUFG and Chairman of The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.
Klaus Kleinfeld	Director	Chairman and CEO of Alcoa Inc. and member of the board of directors of Alcoa Inc. and Hewlett-Packard Enterprise Company.
Jami Miscik	Director	Co-CEO and Vice Chair of Kissinger Associates, Inc. Co-Chair of the President's Intelligence Advisory Board. Member of the board of directors of EMC Corporation.
Donald T. Nicolaisen	Director	Member of the board of directors of MGIC Investment Corporation, Verizon Communications Inc. and Zurich Insurance Group.
Hutham S. Olayan	Director	Principal and director of The Olayan Group, a private multinational enterprise, and President and CEO of The Olayan Group's U.S. operations. Member of the Executive Advisory Board of General Atlantic. Member of the board of directors of International Business Machines Corporation.
James W. Owens	Director	Member of the board of directors of Alcoa Inc. and International Business Machines Corporation.
Ryosuke Tamakoshi	Director	Senior Advisor of The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.
Perry M. Traquina	Director	Member of the board of directors of eBay Inc.
Rayford Wilkins, Jr.	Director	Member of the board of directors of Valero Energy Corporation.

There are no potential conflicts of interests between any duties to Morgan Stanley of its directors and their private interests and/or other duties.

Certain Transactions

Morgan Stanley's subsidiaries may extend credit in the ordinary course of business to certain of its directors, officers and members of their immediate families. These extensions of credit may be in connection with margin loans, mortgage loans or other extensions of credit by Morgan Stanley's subsidiaries. These extensions of credit are made in the ordinary course of business, on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans with persons not related to the lender and do not involve more than the normal risk of collectability or present other unfavourable features.

Each of MUFG, State Street Corporation ("**State Street**"), T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. ("**T. Rowe Price**") and BlackRock, Inc. ("**BlackRock**") beneficially owns 5 per cent. or more of the outstanding shares of Morgan Stanley common stock as reported under the section "*Principal Shareholders*" herein. During 2015, Morgan Stanley engaged in transactions in the ordinary course of business with each of MUFG, State Street, T. Rowe Price and BlackRock and certain of their respective affiliates, including investment banking, financial advisory, sales and trading, derivatives, investment management, lending, securitisation and other financial services transactions. Such transactions were on substantially the same terms as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated third parties.

In addition to the transactions described above, as part of the global strategic alliance between MUFG and Morgan Stanley, on 1 May 2010, Morgan Stanley and MUFG formed a joint venture in Japan of their respective investment banking and securities business by forming two joint venture companies. MUFG contributed the investment banking, wholesale and retail securities businesses conducted in Japan by Mitsubishi UFJ Securities Co., Ltd. into one of the joint venture entities named Mitsubishi UFJ Morgan Stanley Securities Co., Ltd. ("**MUMSS**"). Morgan Stanley contributed the investment banking operations conducted in Japan by its subsidiary, MSMS, formerly known as Morgan Stanley Japan Securities Co., Ltd., into MUMSS (MSMS, together with MUMSS, the "**Joint Venture**"). MSMS has continued its sales and trading and capital markets business conducted in Japan. Morgan Stanley owns a 40 per cent. economic interest in the Joint Venture and MUFG owns a 60 per cent. economic interest in the Joint Venture. Morgan Stanley holds a 40 per cent. voting interest and MUFG holds a 60 per cent. voting interest in MUMSS, while Morgan Stanley holds a 51 per cent. voting interest and MUFG holds a 49 per cent. voting interest in MSMS. Other initiatives that are part of Morgan Stanley's global strategic alliance with MUFG include a loan marketing joint venture in the Americas, business referral arrangements in Asia, Europe, the Middle East and Africa, referral agreements for commodities transactions and a secondment arrangement of personnel between MUFG and Morgan Stanley for the purpose of sharing best practices and expertise.

5. BOARD PRACTICES

Morgan Stanley considers itself to be in compliance with all U.S. laws relating to corporate governance that are applicable to it.

The Board meets regularly and directors receive information between meetings about the activities of committees and developments in Morgan Stanley's business. All directors have full and timely access to all relevant information and may take independent professional advice if necessary.

The Board's standing committees include the following:

<u>Committee</u>	<u>Current Members</u>	<u>Primary Responsibilities</u>
Audit	Robert H. Herz (Chair), Thomas H. Glocer, Donald T. Nicolaisen, Perry M. Tarquina	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Oversees the integrity of Morgan Stanley's consolidated financial statements and system of internal controls.• Oversees risk management and risk assessment guidelines in coordination with the Board, Risk Committee and Operations and Technology Committee and reviews the major legal and compliance risk exposures of the

Committee	Current Members	Primary Responsibilities
Compensation, Management Development and Succession	Hutham S. Olayan (Chair), Erskine B. Bowles, Klaus Kleinfeld, James W. Owens	<p data-bbox="970 241 1150 271">Morgan Stanley.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="879 300 1361 421">• Selects, determines the compensation of, evaluates and, when appropriate, replaces the independent auditor. <li data-bbox="879 450 1361 600">• Oversees the qualifications, independence and performance of the independent auditor and pre-approves audit and permitted non-audit services. <li data-bbox="879 629 1361 779">• Oversees the performance of Morgan Stanley's Head of Internal Audit, who reports functionally to the Audit Committee, and the internal audit function. <li data-bbox="879 808 1361 987">• After review, recommends to the Board the acceptance and inclusion of the annual audited consolidated financial statements in Morgan Stanley's Annual Report on Form 10-K. <li data-bbox="879 1021 1361 1200">• Annually reviews and approves the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the CEO and evaluates his performance in light of these goals and objectives. <li data-bbox="879 1234 1361 1355">• Determines the compensation of executive officers and other officers and employees as appropriate. <li data-bbox="879 1384 1361 1505">• Administers Morgan Stanley's equity-based compensation plans and cash-based nonqualified deferred compensation plans. <li data-bbox="879 1534 1361 1594">• Oversees plans for management development and succession. <li data-bbox="879 1624 1361 1774">• Reviews and discusses the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and recommends to the Board its inclusion in the proxy statement. <li data-bbox="879 1803 1361 2018">• Reviews Morgan Stanley's incentive compensation arrangements to help ensure that such arrangements are consistent with the safety and soundness of Morgan Stanley and do not encourage excessive risk-taking,

Committee	Current Members	Primary Responsibilities
Nominating and Governance	James W. Owens (Chair), Erskine B. Bowles, Robert H. Herz, Rayford Wilkins, Jr.	<p>and are otherwise consistent with applicable related regulatory rules and guidance.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reviews and approves Morgan Stanley's equity retention and ownership policies for executive officers and other officers and employees, as appropriate. • Reviews the overall size and composition of the Board, taking into consideration the skills, attributes and experience of each Board member. • Identifies and recommends candidates for election to the Board. • Recommends committee structure and membership. • Reviews annually Morgan Stanley's Corporate Governance Policies. • Oversees and approves the process and guidelines for the annual evaluation of performance and effectiveness of the Independent Lead Director, the Board and its committees. • Reviews and approves related person transactions in accordance with Morgan Stanley's Related Person Transactions Policy. • Oversees director compensation. • Reviews Morgan Stanley's Corporate Political Activities Policy Statement. • Oversees the political activities of the Morgan Stanley Political Action Committee, Morgan Stanley's significant lobbying priorities and expenditures related to principal U.S. trade associations. • Oversees Morgan Stanley's philanthropic programmes and social responsibility and environmental matters.
Operations and Technology	Thomas H. Glocer (Chair), Jami Miscik, Ryosuke Tamakoshi, Rayford Wilkins, Jr.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oversees Morgan Stanley's operations and technology strategy, including trends that may affect

Committee	Current Members	Primary Responsibilities
Risk	Donald T. Nicolaisen (Chair), Nobuyuki Hirano, Jami Miscik	<p data-bbox="970 241 1118 271">such strategy.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="879 300 1361 539">• Reviews the major operations and technology risk exposures of Morgan Stanley, including information security and cybersecurity risks, and the steps the management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. <li data-bbox="879 573 1361 692">• Reviews the operations and technology budget and significant operations and technology expenditures and investments. <li data-bbox="879 725 1361 786">• Reviews operations and technology metrics. <li data-bbox="879 819 1361 938">• Oversees risk management and risk assessment guidelines and policies regarding operations and technology risk. <li data-bbox="879 972 1361 1032">• Oversees Morgan Stanley's business continuity planning. <li data-bbox="879 1066 1361 1144">• Oversees Morgan Stanley's global enterprise risk management framework <li data-bbox="879 1178 1361 1543">• Oversees the major risk exposures of Morgan Stanley, including market, credit, operational, liquidity, funding, reputational and franchise risk, against established risk measurement methodologies and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures and reviews significant reputational risk, franchise risk, new product risk, emerging risks and regulatory matters. <li data-bbox="879 1576 1361 1659">• Oversees Morgan Stanley's risk appetite statement, including risk limits and tolerances. <li data-bbox="879 1693 1361 1776">• Reviews capital, liquidity and funding strategy and related guidelines and policies. <li data-bbox="879 1809 1361 1928">• Reviews the contingency funding plan and internal capital adequacy assessment process and capital plan. <li data-bbox="879 1962 1361 2022">• Oversees the risk management and risk assessment policies and

Committee	Current Members	Primary Responsibilities
		<p>guidelines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oversees the performance of the Chief Risk Officer, who reports functionally to the Risk Committee, and the risk management function.

6. PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS

The following table contains information regarding the only persons Morgan Stanley knows of that beneficially own more than 5 per cent. of its common stock.

Name and Address	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	
	Number	Per cent. ⁽¹⁾
MUFG ⁽²⁾ 7-1, Marunouchi 2-chome Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 100-8330, Japan	435,269,905	22.4
State Street ⁽³⁾ One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111	137,364,551	7.1
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (T. Rowe Price) ⁽⁴⁾ 100 E. Pratt Street Baltimore, MD 21202	130,034,322	6.7
BlackRock, Inc. (BlackRock) ⁽⁵⁾ 55 East 52 nd Street New York, NY 10055	101,896,178	5.3

⁽¹⁾ Percentages based upon the number of shares of common stock outstanding as of the record date, 21 March 2016, and the beneficial ownership of the principal shareholders as reported in SEC filings in notes 2 through 5 below.

⁽²⁾ Based on the amended Schedule 13D dated 3 October 2013 filed by MUFG. The amended Schedule 13D discloses that MUFG had sole dispositive and sole voting power with respect to the beneficially owned shares reported, including 3,252,753 shares held solely in a fiduciary capacity by certain affiliates of MUFG as the trustee of trust accounts or the manager of investment funds, other investment vehicles and managed accounts as of 27 September 2013 for which MUFG disclaims beneficial ownership.

⁽³⁾ Based on the Schedule 13G dated 12 February 2016 filed by State Street and State Street Bank and Trust Company, each acting in various fiduciary and other capacities (as of 31 December 2015). The Schedule 13G discloses that State Street had shared dispositive power as to 137,364,551 shares and shared voting power as to 136,788,017 shares; and that 76,450,828 shares beneficially owned by State Street Bank and Trust Company, a subsidiary of State Street, are held as trustee on behalf of the Trust that holds shares of common stock underlying certain restricted stock units awarded to employees under various of Morgan Stanley's equity-based plans.

⁽⁴⁾ Based on the Schedule 13G dated 16 February 2016 filed by T. Rowe Price (as of 31 December 2015). The Schedule 13G discloses that T. Rowe Price had sole dispositive power as to 129,917,922 shares and sole voting power as to 48,519,511 shares. The Schedule 13G states that T. Rowe Price affirms that the Schedule 13G shall not be construed as an admission that T. Rowe Price is the beneficial owner of the securities referred to, which beneficial ownership is expressly denied.

⁽⁵⁾ Based on the Schedule 13G dated 22 January 2016 filed by BlackRock (as of 31 December 2015). The Schedule 13G discloses that BlackRock had shared voting and shared dispositive power as to 72,444 shares, sole voting power as to 89,545,861 shares and sole dispositive power as to 101,823,734 shares.

7. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS AND CONTINGENCIES

(a) Legal Proceedings

The following is an extract from Part I, Item 3 entitled "Legal Proceedings" of Morgan Stanley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 31 December 2015:

In addition to the matters described below, in the normal course of business, Morgan Stanley has been named, from time to time, as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions and other litigation, arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Certain of the actual or threatened legal actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of

damages. In some cases, the entities that would otherwise be the primary defendants in such cases are bankrupt or in financial distress.

Morgan Stanley is also involved, from time to time, in other reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding Morgan Stanley's business, and involving, among other matters, sales and trading activities, financial products or offerings sponsored, underwritten or sold by Morgan Stanley, and accounting and operational matters, certain of which may result in adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief.

Morgan Stanley contests liability and/or the amount of damages as appropriate in each pending matter. Where available information indicates that it is probable a liability had been incurred at the date of the consolidated financial statements and Morgan Stanley can reasonably estimate the amount of that loss, Morgan Stanley accrues the estimated loss by a charge to income. Morgan Stanley's future legal expenses may fluctuate from period to period, given the current environment regarding government investigations and private litigation affecting global financial services firms, including Morgan Stanley.

In many proceedings and investigations, however, it is inherently difficult to determine whether any loss is probable or even possible, or to estimate the amount of any loss. Morgan Stanley cannot predict with certainty if, how or when such proceedings or investigations will be resolved or what the eventual settlement, fine, penalty or other relief, if any, may be, particularly for proceedings and investigations where the factual record is being developed or contested or where plaintiffs or government entities seek substantial or indeterminate damages, restitution, disgorgement or penalties. Numerous issues may need to be resolved, including through potentially lengthy discovery and determination of important factual matters, determination of issues related to class certification and the calculation of damages or other relief, and by addressing novel or unsettled legal questions relevant to the proceedings or investigations in question, before a loss or additional loss or range of loss or additional loss can be reasonably estimated for a proceeding or investigation. Subject to the foregoing, Morgan Stanley believes, based on current knowledge and after consultation with counsel, that the outcome of such proceedings and investigations will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of Morgan Stanley, although the outcome of such proceedings or investigations could be material to Morgan Stanley's operating results and cash flows for a particular period depending on, among other things, the level of Morgan Stanley's revenues or income for such period.

Over the last several years, the level of litigation and investigatory activity (both formal and informal) by government and self-regulatory agencies has increased materially in the financial services industry. As a result, Morgan Stanley expects that it may become the subject of increased claims for damages and other relief and, while Morgan Stanley has identified below certain proceedings that Morgan Stanley believes to be material, individually or collectively, there can be no assurance that additional material losses will not be incurred from claims that have not yet been asserted or are not yet determined to be material.

Residential Mortgage and Credit Crisis Related Matters

Regulatory and Governmental Matters. Morgan Stanley has received subpoenas and requests for information from certain federal and state regulatory and governmental entities, including among others various members of the RMBS Working Group of the Financial Fraud Enforcement Task Force, such as the United States Department of Justice, Civil Division and several state Attorney General's Offices, concerning the origination, financing, purchase, securitisation and servicing of subprime and non-subprime residential mortgages and related matters such as residential mortgage backed securities ("**RMBS**"), collateralised debt obligations ("**CDOs**"), structured investment vehicles (each, a "**SIV**") and credit default swaps backed by or referencing mortgage pass-through certificates. These matters, some of which are in advanced stages, include, but are not limited to, investigations related to Morgan Stanley's due diligence on the loans that it purchased for securitisation, Morgan Stanley's communications with ratings agencies, Morgan Stanley's disclosures to investors, and Morgan Stanley's handling of servicing and foreclosure related issues.

In May 2014, the California Attorney General's Office ("CAAG"), which is one of the members of the RMBS Working Group, indicated that it has made certain preliminary conclusions that Morgan Stanley made knowing and material misrepresentations regarding RMBS and that it knowingly caused material misrepresentations to be made regarding the Cheyne SIV, which issued securities marketed to the California Public Employees Retirement System. The CAAG has further indicated that it believes Morgan Stanley's conduct violated California law and that it may seek treble damages, penalties and injunctive relief. Morgan Stanley does not agree with these conclusions and has presented defences to them to the CAAG.

In October 2014, the Illinois Attorney General's Office ("ILAG") sent a letter to Morgan Stanley alleging that Morgan Stanley knowingly made misrepresentations related to RMBS purchased by certain pension funds affiliated with the State of Illinois and demanding that Morgan Stanley pay ILAG approximately \$88 million. Morgan Stanley and ILAG reached an agreement to resolve the matter on 10 February 2016.

On 13 January 2015, the New York Attorney General's Office ("NYAG"), which is also a member of the RMBS Working Group, indicated that it intends to file a lawsuit related to approximately 30 subprime securitisations sponsored by Morgan Stanley. NYAG indicated that the lawsuit would allege that Morgan Stanley misrepresented or omitted material information related to the due diligence, underwriting and valuation of the loans in the securitisations and the properties securing them and indicated that its lawsuit would be brought under the Martin Act. Morgan Stanley and NYAG reached an agreement to resolve the matter on 10 February 2016.

On 25 February 2015, Morgan Stanley reached an agreement in principle with the United States Department of Justice, Civil Division and the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of California, Civil Division (collectively, the "**Civil Division**") to pay \$2.6 billion to resolve certain claims that the Civil Division indicated it intended to bring against Morgan Stanley. That settlement was finalised on 10 February 2016.

Civil Litigation. On 23 December 2009, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and another defendant in the Superior Court of the State of Washington, styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc., et al.* The amended complaint, filed on 28 September 2010, alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$233 million. The complaint raises claims under the Washington State Securities Act and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. By orders dated 23 June 2011 and 18 July 2011, the court denied defendants' omnibus motion to dismiss plaintiff's amended complaint and on 15 August 2011, the court denied Morgan Stanley's individual motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On 7 March 2013, the court granted defendants' motion to strike plaintiff's demand for a jury trial. The defendants' joint motions for partial summary judgment were denied on 9 November 2015.

On 15 March 2010, the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and other defendants in the Superior Court of the State of California styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco v. Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. et al.* An amended complaint, filed on 10 June 2010, alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$276 million. The complaint raises claims under both the federal securities laws and California law and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On 11 August 2011, plaintiff's federal securities law claims were dismissed with prejudice. On 9 February 2012, defendants' demurrers with respect to all other claims were overruled. On 20 December 2013, plaintiff's negligent misrepresentation claims were dismissed with prejudice.

On 15 July 2010, The Charles Schwab Corp. filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and other defendants in the Superior Court of the State of California, styled *The Charles Schwab Corp. v. BNP Paribas Securities Corp., et al.* The second amended complaint, filed on 5 March 2012, alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to one of

plaintiff's subsidiaries of a number of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff's subsidiary by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$180 million. The amended complaint raises claims under California law and seeks, among other things, to rescind plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On 10 April 2012, Morgan Stanley filed a demurrer to certain causes of action in the second amended complaint, which the court overruled on 24 July 2012. On 24 November 2014, plaintiff's negligent misrepresentation claims were dismissed with prejudice. An initial trial of certain of plaintiff's claims is scheduled to begin in July 2016.

On 15 July 2010, China Development Industrial Bank ("**CDIB**") filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley, styled *China Development Industrial Bank v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated et al.*, which is pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County ("**Supreme Court of NY**"). The complaint relates to a \$275 million credit default swap referencing the super senior portion of the STACK 2006-1 CDO. The complaint asserts claims for common law fraud, fraudulent inducement and fraudulent concealment and alleges that Morgan Stanley misrepresented the risks of the STACK 2006-1 CDO to CDIB, and that Morgan Stanley knew that the assets backing the CDO were of poor quality when it entered into the credit default swap with CDIB. The complaint seeks compensatory damages related to the approximately \$228 million that CDIB alleges it has already lost under the credit default swap, rescission of CDIB's obligation to pay an additional \$12 million, punitive damages, equitable relief, fees and costs. On 28 February 2011, the court denied Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 15 October 2010, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and other defendants in the Circuit Court of the State of Illinois, styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago v. Bank of America Funding Corporation et al.* A corrected amended complaint was filed on 8 April 2011. The corrected amended complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of a number of mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans and asserts claims under Illinois law. The total amount of certificates allegedly sold to plaintiff by Morgan Stanley at issue in the action was approximately \$203 million. The complaint seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the corrected amended complaint on 27 May 2011, which was denied on 19 September 2012. On 13 December 2013, the court entered an order dismissing all claims related to one of the securitisations at issue. After that dismissal, the remaining amount of certificates allegedly issued by Morgan Stanley or sold to plaintiff by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$78 million.

On 20 April 2011, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and other defendants in the Superior Court of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston v. Ally Financial, Inc. F/K/A GMAC LLC et al.* An amended complaint was filed on 29 June 2012 and alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by Morgan Stanley or sold to plaintiff by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$385 million. The amended complaint raises claims under the Massachusetts Uniform Securities Act, the Massachusetts Consumer Protection Act and common law and seeks, among other things, to rescind the plaintiff's purchase of such certificates. On 26 May 2011, defendants removed the case to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. The defendants' motions to dismiss the amended complaint were granted in part and denied in part on 30 September 2013. On 25 November 2013, 16 July 2014, and 19 May 2015, respectively, the plaintiff voluntarily dismissed its claims against Morgan Stanley with respect to three of the securitisations at issue. After these voluntary dismissals, the remaining amount of certificates allegedly issued by Morgan Stanley or sold to plaintiff by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$332 million.

On 7 August 2012, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-4SL and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-4SL against Morgan Stanley styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-4SL, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust,

which had an original principal balance of approximately \$303 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, rescission of the mortgage loan purchase agreement underlying the transaction, specific performance and unspecified damages and interest. On 8 August 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 8 August 2012, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-14SL, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-14SL, Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-4SL and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-4SL against Morgan Stanley. The complaint is styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-14SL, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor in interest to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.* and is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trusts, which had original principal balances of approximately \$354 million and \$305 million respectively, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, rescission of the mortgage loan purchase agreements underlying the transactions, specific performance and unspecified damages and interest. On 16 August 2013, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 28 September 2012, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-13ARX against Morgan Stanley styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-13ARX v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor in interest to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on 17 January 2013, which asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$609 million, breached various representations and warranties. The amended complaint seeks, among other relief, declaratory judgment relief, specific performance and unspecified damages and interest. By order entered 30 September 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On 13 July 2015, plaintiff perfected its appeal from the court's 30 September 2014 decision.

On 14 December 2012, Royal Park Investments SA/NV filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley, certain affiliates, and other defendants in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Royal Park Investments SA/NV v. Merrill Lynch et al.* On 24 October 2013, plaintiff filed a new complaint against Morgan Stanley in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Royal Park Investments SA/NV v. Morgan Stanley et al.*, alleging that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by Morgan Stanley to plaintiff was approximately \$597 million. The complaint raises common law claims of fraud, fraudulent inducement, negligent misrepresentation, and aiding and abetting fraud and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. The plaintiff filed an amended complaint on 1 December 2015.

On 10 January 2013, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-10SL and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-10SL against Morgan Stanley. The complaint is styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-10SL, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor in interest to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.* and is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$300 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, an order requiring Morgan Stanley to comply with the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, and interest. On 8 August 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 31 January 2013, HSH Nordbank AG and certain affiliates filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley, certain affiliates, and other defendants in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *HSH Nordbank AG et al. v. Morgan Stanley et al.* The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans.

The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by Morgan Stanley to plaintiff was approximately \$524 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against Morgan Stanley for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation, and rescission and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. On 12 April 2013, defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint, which was granted in part and denied in part on 21 July 2015. On 19 August 2015, Morgan Stanley filed a Notice of Appeal of the court's decision, and on 20 August 2015, the plaintiffs filed a Notice of Cross-Appeal. On 25 August 2015, the plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to amend their complaint.

On 3 May 2013, plaintiffs in *Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank AG et al. v. Morgan Stanley et al.* filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley, certain affiliates, and other defendants in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by Morgan Stanley to plaintiff was approximately \$644 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against Morgan Stanley for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation, and rescission and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. On 10 June 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint. Morgan Stanley perfected its appeal from that decision on 12 June 2015.

On 17 May 2013, plaintiff in *IKB International S.A. in Liquidation, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and certain affiliates in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by Morgan Stanley to plaintiff was approximately \$132 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against Morgan Stanley for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, and negligent misrepresentation, and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. On 29 October 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss. All claims regarding four certificates were dismissed. After these dismissals, the remaining amount of certificates allegedly issued by Morgan Stanley or sold to plaintiff by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$116 million. On 26 August 2015, Morgan Stanley perfected its appeal from the court's 29 October 2014 decision.

On 2 July 2013, Deutsche Bank, in its capacity as trustee, became the named plaintiff in *Federal Housing Finance Agency, as Conservator for the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, on behalf of the Trustee of the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC1 (MSAC 2007-NC1) v. Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc.*, and filed a complaint in the Supreme Court of NY under the caption *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC1 v. Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I, Inc.* On 3 February 2014, the plaintiff filed an amended complaint, which asserts claims for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$1.25 billion, breached various representations and warranties. The amended complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, rescission and interest. On 12 March 2014, Morgan Stanley filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint.

On 8 July 2013, U.S. Bank National Association, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-2AX, by U.S. Bank National Association, solely in its capacity as Trustee v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor-by-merger to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc., and Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$650 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages and interest. On 22 August 2013, Morgan Stanley filed a motion to dismiss the complaint, which was granted in part and denied in part on 24 November 2014.

On 26 August 2013, a complaint was filed against Morgan Stanley and certain affiliates in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Phoenix Light SF Limited et al v. Morgan Stanley et al*. The complaint alleges that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiffs, or their assignors, of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by Morgan Stanley and/or sold to plaintiffs or their assignors by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$344 million. The complaint raises common law claims of fraud, fraudulent inducement, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation and rescission based on mutual mistake and seeks, among other things, compensatory damages, punitive damages or alternatively rescission or rescissory damages associated with the purchase of such certificates. The defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint on 13 December 2013, which the parties later agreed would be deemed to be directed at an amended complaint filed on 17 June 2014. On 23 April 2015, the court granted Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the amended complaint, and on 21 May 2015, the plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal of that order.

On 6 November 2013, Deutsche Bank, in its capacity as trustee, became the named plaintiff in *Federal Housing Finance Agency, as Conservator for the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, on behalf of the Trustee of the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC3 (MSAC 2007-NC3) v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC*, and filed a complaint in the Supreme Court of NY under the caption *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, solely in its capacity as Trustee for Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC3 v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as Successor-by-Merger to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.* The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$1.3 billion, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, rescission, interest and costs. On 16 December 2013, Morgan Stanley filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 30 December 2013, Wilmington Trust Company, in its capacity as trustee for Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-12, filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley. The matter is styled *Wilmington Trust Company v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC et al* and is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$516 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, unspecified damages, interest and costs. On 28 February 2014, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 28 April 2014, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, in its capacity as trustee for Morgan Stanley Structured Trust I 2007-1, filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley. The matter is styled *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC* and is pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York ("**SDNY**"). The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$735 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified compensatory and/or rescissory damages, interest and costs. On 3 April 2015, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 19 September 2014, Financial Guaranty Insurance Company ("**FGIC**") filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Financial Guaranty Insurance Company v. Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. et al*. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the net interest margin securities in the trust breached various representations and warranties. FGIC issued a financial guaranty policy with respect to certain notes that had an original balance of approximately \$475 million. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the NIM breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, reimbursement of certain payments made pursuant to the transaction documents, attorneys' fees and interest. On 24 November 2014, Morgan Stanley filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 23 September 2014, FGIC filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley in the Supreme Court of NY styled *Financial Guaranty Insurance Company v. Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. et al.* The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and fraudulent inducement and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust breached various representations and warranties and defendants made untrue statements and material omissions to induce FGIC to issue a financial guaranty policy on certain classes of certificates that had an original balance of approximately \$876 million. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, compensatory, consequential and punitive damages, attorneys' fees and interest. On 24 November 2014, Morgan Stanley filed a motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 23 January 2015, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley styled *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company solely in its capacity as Trustee of the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust 2007-NC4 v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC as Successor-by-Merger to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc., and Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$1.05 billion, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, compensatory, consequential, rescissory, equitable and punitive damages, attorneys' fees, costs and other related expenses, and interest. On 20 October 2015, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint.

Commercial Mortgage Related Matter

On 25 January 2011, Morgan Stanley was named as a defendant in *The Bank of New York Mellon Trust, National Association v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital, Inc.*, a litigation pending in the SDNY. The suit, brought by the trustee of a series of commercial mortgage pass-through certificates, alleges that Morgan Stanley breached certain representations and warranties with respect to an \$81 million commercial mortgage loan that was originated and transferred to the trust by Morgan Stanley. The complaint seeks, among other things, to have Morgan Stanley repurchase the loan and pay additional monetary damages. On 16 June 2014, the court granted Morgan Stanley's supplemental motion for summary judgment. On 16 July 2014, the plaintiff filed a notice of appeal.

Currency Related Matters

Regulatory and Governmental Matters. Morgan Stanley is responding to a number of regulatory and governmental inquiries both in the U.S. and abroad related to its foreign exchange business. In addition, on 29 June 2015, Morgan Stanley and a number of other financial institutions were named as respondents in a proceeding before Brazil's Council for Economic Defense related to alleged anticompetitive activity in the foreign exchange market for the Brazilian Real.

Class Action Litigation. Beginning in December 2013, several foreign exchange dealers (including Morgan Stanley and certain affiliates) were named as defendants in multiple purported antitrust class actions most of which have now been consolidated into a single proceeding in the SDNY styled *In Re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*. On 16 July 2015, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint generally alleging that defendants engaged in a conspiracy to fix, maintain or make artificial prices for key benchmark rates, to manipulate bid/ask spreads, and, by their behaviour in the over-the-counter market, to thereby cause corresponding manipulation in the foreign exchange futures market. Plaintiffs seek declaratory relief as well as treble damages in an unspecified amount. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on 30 November 2015.

On 11 September 2015, several foreign exchange dealers (including Morgan Stanley and an affiliate) were named as defendants in a purported class action filed in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice styled *Christopher Staines v. Royal Bank of Canada, et al.* The plaintiff has made allegations similar to those in the *In Re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation* and seeks C\$1 billion as well as C\$50 million in punitive damages. On 16 September 2015, a

parallel proceeding was initiated in Quebec Superior Court styled *Christine Beland v. Royal Bank of Canada, et al.* based on similar allegations and seeking C\$100 million as well as C\$50 million in punitive damages.

Wealth Management Related Matters

Morgan Stanley is currently defending itself in an ongoing arbitration styled *Lynnda L. Speer, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Roy M. Speer, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC, et al.*, which is pending before a FINRA arbitration panel in the state of Florida. Plaintiffs assert claims for excessive trading, unauthorised use of discretion, undue influence, negligence and negligent supervision, constructive fraud, abuse of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment and violations of several Florida statutes in connection with brokerage accounts owned by a former high-net worth wealth management client who is now deceased. Plaintiffs are seeking approximately \$475 million in disgorgement, compensatory damages, statutory damages, punitive damages and treble damages under various factual and legal theories.

The following matters were terminated during or following the quarter ended 31 December 2015:

On 20 January 2012, Sealink Funding Limited filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Sealink Funding Limited v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* A second amended complaint, filed on 20 March 2013, alleged that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by Morgan Stanley and/or sold by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$507 million. On 18 April 2014, the court granted Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the second amended complaint. The dismissal was affirmed on appeal on 12 November 2015.

On 25 January 2012, Dexia SA/NV and certain of its affiliated entities filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Dexia SA/NV et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* An amended complaint was filed on 24 May 2012 and alleged that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage passthrough certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly issued by Morgan Stanley and/or sold to plaintiffs by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$626 million. On 16 October 2013, the court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the amended complaint. The dismissal was affirmed on appeal on 12 January 2016.

On 25 April 2012, The Prudential Insurance Company of America and certain affiliates filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and certain affiliates in the Superior Court of the State of New Jersey, styled *The Prudential Insurance Company of America, et al. v. Morgan Stanley, et al.* On 16 October 2012, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. The amended complaint alleged that defendants made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by Morgan Stanley was approximately \$1.073 billion. The amended complaint raises claims under the New Jersey Uniform Securities Law, as well as common law claims of negligent misrepresentation, fraud, fraudulent inducement, equitable fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, and violations of the New Jersey RICO statute, and includes a claim for treble damages. On 8 January 2016, the parties reached an agreement to settle the litigation.

On 10 August 2012, the FDIC, as receiver for Colonial Bank, filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and other defendants in the Circuit Court of Montgomery, Alabama styled *Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation as Receiver for Colonial Bank v. Citigroup Mortgage Loan Trust Inc. et al.* On 15 January 2014, the FDIC, as receiver for United Western Bank filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and others in the District Court of the State of Colorado, styled *Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver for United Western Bank v. Banc of America Funding Corp., et al.* The complaints in those cases asserted that Morgan Stanley made untrue statements and material omissions in connection with the sale of mortgage passthrough certificates purchased by Colonial Bank and United Western Bank, respectively. On 28 January 2016, the parties reached an agreement to settle both actions.

On 5 August 2013, Landesbank Baden-Württemberg and two affiliates filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and certain affiliates in the Supreme Court of NY, styled *Landesbank Baden-Württemberg et al. v. Morgan Stanley et al.* The complaint alleged that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage passthrough certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by Morgan Stanley to plaintiffs was approximately \$50 million. On 20 January 2016, the parties reached an agreement in principle to settle the litigation.

On 16 August 2013, the plaintiff in *National Credit Union Administration Board v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, et al.* filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and certain affiliates in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas. On 23 September 2013, the plaintiff in *National Credit Union Administration Board v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc., et al.* filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley and certain affiliates in the SDNY. The complaints alleged that defendants made untrue statements of material fact or omitted to state material facts in the sale to the plaintiff of certain mortgage pass-through certificates issued by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by Morgan Stanley to plaintiffs in the matters was approximately \$567 million and \$417 million, respectively. The complaints alleged violations of federal and various state securities laws and sought, among other things, rescissionary and compensatory damages. On 23 November 2015, the parties reached an agreement to settle both matters.

On 16 September 2014, the Virginia Attorney General's Office filed a civil lawsuit, styled *Commonwealth of Virginia ex rel. Integra REC LLC v. Barclays Capital Inc., et al.*, against Morgan Stanley and several other defendants in the Circuit Court of the City of Richmond related to RMBS. The lawsuit alleged that Morgan Stanley and the other defendants knowingly made misrepresentations and omissions related to the loans backing RMBS purchased by the Virginia Retirement System. The complaint asserts claims under the Virginia Fraud Against Taxpayers Act, as well as common law claims of actual and constructive fraud, and seeks, among other things, treble damages and civil penalties. On 6 January 2016, the parties reached an agreement to settle the litigation. An order dismissing the action with prejudice was entered on 28 January 2016.

Matters Related to the CDS Market. On 1 July 2013, the European Commission ("EC") issued a Statement of Objections ("SO") addressed to twelve financial firms (including Morgan Stanley), ISDA and Markit Group Limited ("**Markit**") and various affiliates alleging that, between 2006 and 2009, the recipients breached European Union competition law by taking and refusing to take certain actions in an effort to prevent the development of exchange traded credit default swap ("**CDS**") products. Morgan Stanley and the other recipients of the SO filed a response to the SO on 21 January 2014, and attended oral hearings before the EC during the period 12-19 May 2014. On 4 December 2015, the EC announced that it had closed its antitrust investigation into the twelve financial firms, including Morgan Stanley.

Beginning in May 2013, twelve financial firms (including Morgan Stanley), as well as ISDA and Markit, were named as defendants in multiple purported antitrust class actions consolidated into a single proceeding in the SDNY styled *In Re: Credit Default Swaps Antitrust Litigation*. Plaintiffs alleged that defendants violated U.S. antitrust laws from 2008 to present in connection with their alleged efforts to prevent the development of exchange traded CDS products. The complaints sought, among other relief, certification of a class of plaintiffs who purchased CDS from defendants in the U.S., treble damages and injunctive relief. On 30 September 2015, Morgan Stanley reached an agreement with plaintiffs to settle the litigation. The settlement received preliminary court approval on 29 October 2015, and is subject to final court approval.

Morgan Stanley First Quarterly Report

The following developments have occurred with respect to certain matters previously reported in Morgan Stanley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 31 December 2015, as reported above, or concern new actions that have been filed since 31 December 2015.

Residential Mortgage and Credit Crisis Related Matters

Regulatory and Governmental Matters. On 1 April 2016, the CAAG filed an action against Morgan Stanley and certain affiliates in California state court styled *California v. Morgan Stanley, et al.*, on behalf of California investors, including the California Public Employees' Retirement System and the California Teachers' Retirement System. The complaint alleges that Morgan Stanley made misrepresentations and omissions regarding residential mortgage-backed securities and notes issued by the Cheyne SIV, and asserts violations of the California False Claims Act and other state laws and seeks treble damages, civil penalties, disgorgement, and injunctive relief.

Civil Litigation. On 21 April 2016, Morgan Stanley filed a motion for summary judgment in *The Charles Schwab Corp. v. BNP Paribas Securities Corp., et al.*

On 12 April 2016, the court in *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, as Trustee for the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC1 v. Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I, Inc.* granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the amended complaint, dismissing all claims except a single claim, regarding which the motion was denied without prejudice.

On 12 April 2016, the court in *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, solely in its capacity as Trustee for Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust, Series 2007-NC3 v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as Successor-by-Merger to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.* granted Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint, and granted the plaintiff the ability to seek to replead certain aspects of the complaint.

Commercial Mortgage Related Matter. On 27 April 2016, in *The Bank of New York Mellon Trust, National Association v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital, Inc.*, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit vacated the judgment of the SDNY and remanded the case to the SDNY for further proceedings consistent with its opinion.

Other Litigation

On 20 October 2014, a purported class action complaint was filed against Morgan Stanley and other defendants styled *Genesee County Employees' Retirement System v. Bank of America Corporation et al.* in the SDNY. The action was later consolidated with four similar actions in SDNY under the lead case styled *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corporation et al.* A consolidated amended complaint was filed on 2 February 2015 asserting claims for alleged violations of the Sherman Act, breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment, and tortious interference with contract. The consolidated amended complaint alleges, among other things, that the defendants engaged in antitrust violations with regards to the process of setting ISDAfix, a financial benchmark and seeks treble damages, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and other relief. On 28 March 2016, the court granted in part and denied in part the defendants' motion to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint.

Wealth Management Related Matters

On 21 March 2016, the arbitration panel in *Lynnda L. Speer, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Roy M. Speer, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC, et al.* issued an award in favour of plaintiffs in the amount of \$32.8 million, plus attorneys' fees and costs.

(b) Contingencies

The following is an extract of Note 11 from Part I, Item 1 entitled "Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies" from Morgan Stanley's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended 31 March 2016.

In the normal course of business, Morgan Stanley has been named, from time to time, as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions and other litigation, arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Certain of the actual or threatened legal actions include claims for substantial compensatory

and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. In some cases, the entities that would otherwise be the primary defendants in such cases are bankrupt or are in financial distress. These actions have included, but are not limited to, residential mortgage and credit crisis related matters. Over the last several years, the level of litigation and investigatory activity (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies has increased materially in the financial services industry. As a result, Morgan Stanley expects that it may become the subject of increased claims for damages and other relief and, while Morgan Stanley has identified below any individual proceedings where Morgan Stanley believes a material loss to be reasonably possible and reasonably estimable, there can be no assurance that material losses will not be incurred from claims that have not yet been asserted or are not yet determined to be probable or possible and reasonably estimable losses.

Morgan Stanley contests liability and/or the amount of damages as appropriate in each pending matter. Where available information indicates that it is probable a liability had been incurred at the date of the consolidated financial statements and Morgan Stanley can reasonably estimate the amount of that loss, Morgan Stanley accrues the estimated loss by a charge to income. Morgan Stanley incurred legal expenses of \$563 million in 2015, \$3,364 million in 2014 and \$1,941 million in 2013. Morgan Stanley's future legal expenses may fluctuate from period to period, given the current environment regarding government investigations and private litigation affecting global financial services firms, including Morgan Stanley.

In many proceedings and investigations, however, it is inherently difficult to determine whether any loss is probable or even possible or to estimate the amount of any loss. In addition, even where a loss is possible or an exposure to loss exists in excess of the liability already accrued with respect to a previously recognised loss contingency, it is not always possible to reasonably estimate the size of the possible loss or range of loss.

For certain legal proceedings and investigations, Morgan Stanley cannot reasonably estimate such losses, particularly for proceedings and investigations where the factual record is being developed or contested or where plaintiffs or governmental entities seek substantial or indeterminate damages, restitution, disgorgement or penalties. Numerous issues may need to be resolved, including through potentially lengthy discovery and determination of important factual matters, determination of issues related to class certification and the calculation of damages or other relief, and by addressing novel or unsettled legal questions relevant to the proceedings or investigations in question, before a loss or additional loss or range of loss or additional range of loss can be reasonably estimated for a proceeding or investigation.

For certain other legal proceedings and investigations, Morgan Stanley can estimate reasonably possible losses, additional losses, ranges of loss or ranges of additional loss in excess of amounts accrued, but does not believe, based on current knowledge and after consultation with counsel, that such losses will have a material adverse effect on Morgan Stanley's consolidated financial statements as a whole, other than the matters referred to in the following paragraphs.

On 15 July 2010, CDIB filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley, styled *China Development Industrial Bank v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated et al.*, which is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint relates to a \$275 million credit default swap referencing the super senior portion of the STACK 2006-1 CDO. The complaint asserts claims for common law fraud, fraudulent inducement and fraudulent concealment and alleges that Morgan Stanley misrepresented the risks of the STACK 2006-1 CDO to CDIB, and that Morgan Stanley knew that the assets backing the CDO were of poor quality when it entered into the credit default swap with CDIB. The complaint seeks compensatory damages related to the approximately \$228 million that CDIB alleges it has already lost under the credit default swap, rescission of CDIB's obligation to pay an additional \$12 million, punitive damages, equitable relief, fees and costs. On 28 February 2011, the court denied Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes it could incur a loss in this action of up to approximately \$240 million plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs.

On 25 January 2011, Morgan Stanley was named as a defendant in *The Bank of New York Mellon Trust, National Association v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital, Inc.*, a litigation pending in SDNY. The suit, brought by the trustee of a series of commercial mortgage pass-through certificates, alleges that Morgan Stanley breached certain representations and warranties

with respect to an \$81 million commercial mortgage loan that was originated and transferred to the trust by Morgan Stanley. The complaint seeks, among other things, to have Morgan Stanley repurchase the loan and pay additional monetary damages. On 16 June 2014, the court granted Morgan Stanley's supplemental motion for summary judgment, which was appealed by plaintiff. On 27 April 2016, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit vacated the judgment of the SDNY and remanded the case to the SDNY for further proceedings consistent with its opinion. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes it could incur a loss in this action of up to approximately \$81 million, plus pre-judgment interest, fees and costs.

On 7 August 2012, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-4SL and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-4SL against Morgan Stanley. The matter is styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-4SL, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.* and is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$303 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, rescission of the mortgage loan purchase agreement underlying the transaction, specific performance and unspecified damages and interest. On 8 August 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes that it could incur a loss in this action of up to approximately \$149 million, the total original unpaid balance of the mortgage loans for which Morgan Stanley received repurchase demands that it did not repurchase, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs, but plaintiff is seeking to expand the number of loans at issue and the possible range of loss could increase.

On 8 August 2012, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-14SL, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-14SL, Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-4SL and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-4SL against Morgan Stanley styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-14SL, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor in interest to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trusts, which had original principal balances of approximately \$354 million and \$305 million respectively, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, rescission of the mortgage loan purchase agreements underlying the transactions, specific performance and unspecified damages and interest. On 16 August 2013, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes that it could incur a loss in this action of up to approximately \$527 million, the total original unpaid balance of the mortgage loans for which Morgan Stanley received repurchase demands that it did not repurchase, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs, but plaintiff is seeking to expand the number of loans at issue and the possible range of loss could increase.

On 28 September 2012, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-13ARX against Morgan Stanley styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-13ARX v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor in interest to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The plaintiff filed an amended complaint on 17 January 2013, which asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$609 million, breached various representations and warranties. The amended complaint seeks, among other relief, declaratory judgment relief, specific performance and unspecified damages and interest. By order dated 30 September 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the amended complaint. On 13 July 2015, the plaintiff perfected its appeal from the court's 30 September 2014 decision. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes that it could incur a loss in this action of up to approximately \$170 million, the total original unpaid balance of the mortgage loans for which Morgan Stanley received repurchase demands that it did not repurchase, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs, but plaintiff is seeking to expand the number of loans at issue and the possible range of loss could increase.

On 10 January 2013, U.S. Bank, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint on behalf of Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-10SL and Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-10SL against Morgan Stanley styled *Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-10SL, et al. v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as successor in interest to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$300 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, an order requiring Morgan Stanley to comply with the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages, and interest. On 8 August 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes that it could incur a loss in this action of up to approximately \$197 million, the total original unpaid balance of the mortgage loans for which Morgan Stanley received repurchase demands that it did not repurchase, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs, but plaintiff is seeking to expand the number of loans at issue and the possible range of loss could increase.

On 3 May 2013, plaintiffs in *Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank AG et al. v. Morgan Stanley et al.* filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley, certain affiliates, and other defendants in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint alleges that defendants made material misrepresentations and omissions in the sale to plaintiffs of certain mortgage pass-through certificates backed by securitisation trusts containing residential mortgage loans. The total amount of certificates allegedly sponsored, underwritten and/or sold by Morgan Stanley to plaintiff currently at issue in this action was approximately \$644 million. The complaint alleges causes of action against Morgan Stanley for common law fraud, fraudulent concealment, aiding and abetting fraud, negligent misrepresentation, and rescission and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. On 10 June 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint. Morgan Stanley perfected its appeal from that decision on 12 June 2015. At 25 March 2016, the current unpaid balance of the mortgage pass-through certificates at issue in this action was approximately \$262 million, and the certificates had incurred actual losses of approximately \$84 million. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes it could incur a loss in this action up to the difference between the \$269 million unpaid balance of these certificates (plus any losses incurred) and their fair market value at the time of a judgment against Morgan Stanley, or upon sale, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs. Morgan Stanley may be entitled to be indemnified for some of these losses.

On 8 July 2013, U.S. Bank National Association, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley styled *U.S. Bank National Association, solely in its capacity as Trustee of the Morgan Stanley Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-2AX (MSM 2007-2AX) v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC, as Successor-by-Merger to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc. and Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$650 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, unspecified damages and interest. On 22 August 2013, Morgan Stanley filed a motion to dismiss the complaint, which was granted in part and denied in part on 24 November 2014. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes that it could incur a loss in this action of up to approximately \$240 million, the total original unpaid balance of the mortgage loans for which Morgan Stanley received repurchase demands that it did not repurchase, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs, but plaintiff is seeking to expand the number of loans at issue and the possible range of loss could increase.

On 28 April 2014, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, in its capacity as trustee for Morgan Stanley Structured Trust I 2007-1, filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley styled *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC*, pending in the SDNY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$735 million, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents,

unspecified compensatory and/or rescissory damages, interest and costs. On 3 April 2015, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes that it could incur a loss in this action of up to approximately \$292 million, the total original unpaid balance of the mortgage loans for which Morgan Stanley received repurchase demands that it did not repurchase, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs, but plaintiff is seeking to expand the number of loans at issue and the possible range of loss could increase.

On 23 January 2015, Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, in its capacity as trustee, filed a complaint against Morgan Stanley styled *Deutsche Bank National Trust Company solely in its capacity as Trustee of the Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc. Trust 2007-NC4 v. Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC as Successor-by-Merger to Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Inc., and Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc.*, pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint asserts claims for breach of contract and alleges, among other things, that the loans in the trust, which had an original principal balance of approximately \$1.05 billion, breached various representations and warranties. The complaint seeks, among other relief, specific performance of the loan breach remedy procedures in the transaction documents, compensatory, consequential, rescissory, equitable and punitive damages, attorneys' fees, costs and other related expenses, and interest. On 20 October 2015, the court granted in part and denied in part Morgan Stanley's motion to dismiss the complaint. Based on currently available information, Morgan Stanley believes that it could incur a loss in this action of up to approximately \$277 million, the total original unpaid balance of the mortgage loans for which Morgan Stanley received repurchase demands from a certificate holder and a monoline insurer that Morgan Stanley did not repurchase, plus pre- and post-judgment interest, fees and costs, but plaintiff is seeking to expand the number of loans at issue and the possible range of loss could increase.

Save as disclosed in:

- (a) the section entitled "*Legal Proceedings*" in Part I - Item 3 at pages 24-32 and in the paragraphs beginning with "*Legal*" under the heading "*Contingencies*" under the heading "*Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies*" in "*Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements*" in Part II – Item 8 at pages 202-205 of Morgan Stanley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 31 December 2015;
- (b) the section entitled "*Legal Settlement*" under the heading "*24. Subsequent Events*" in "*Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements*" in Part II – Item 8 at page 250 of Morgan Stanley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 31 December 2015;
- (c) the paragraphs beginning with "*Legal*" under the heading "*Contingencies*" under the heading "*Commitments, Guarantees and Contingencies*" in "*Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements*" in Part I – Item 1 at pages 47-50 and the section entitled "*Legal Proceedings*" in Part II – Item 1 at page 126 of Morgan Stanley's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended 31 March 2016; and
- (d) in this section "*Legal Proceedings and Contingencies*" of the Registration Document,

there are no, nor have there been, any governmental, legal or arbitration proceedings involving Morgan Stanley (including any such proceedings which are pending or threatened of which Morgan Stanley is aware) during the 12-month period before the date of this Registration Document which may have, or have had in the recent past, a significant effect on the financial position or profitability of Morgan Stanley or the Morgan Stanley Group.

8. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Auditors

The consolidated financial statements of Morgan Stanley and subsidiaries as of 31 December 2014 and 31 December 2015 and for each of the three years in the period ended 31 December 2015, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of 31 December 2015, which are incorporated in this Registration Document, have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States

of America), as stated in their reports dated 23 February 2016, which are incorporated herein by reference.

Trend Information

Morgan Stanley's results of operations in the past have been, and in the future may continue to be, materially affected by many factors, including the effect of economic and political conditions and geopolitical events; sovereign risk; the effect of market conditions, particularly in the global equity, fixed income, currency, credit and commodities markets, including corporate and mortgage (commercial and residential) lending and commercial real estate markets and energy markets; the impact of current, pending and future legislation (including the Dodd-Frank Act), regulation (including capital, leverage, funding and liquidity requirements), policies (including fiscal and monetary), and legal and regulatory actions in the U.S. and worldwide; the level and volatility of equity, fixed income and commodity prices (including oil prices), interest rates, currency values and other market indices; the availability and cost of both credit and capital as well as the credit ratings assigned to Morgan Stanley's unsecured short-term and long-term debt; investor, consumer and business sentiment and confidence in the financial markets; the performance and results of its acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, strategic alliances or other strategic arrangements; its reputation and the general perception of the financial services industry; inflation, natural disasters, pandemics and acts of war or terrorism; the actions and initiatives of current and potential competitors, as well as governments, regulators and self-regulatory organisations; the effectiveness of its risk management policies; technological changes instituted by Morgan Stanley, its competitors or counterparties and technological risks, including cybersecurity, business continuity and related operational risks; Morgan Stanley's ability to provide innovative products and services and execute its strategic objectives; or a combination of these or other factors. In addition, legislative, legal and regulatory developments related to its businesses are likely to increase costs, thereby affecting results of operations. These factors also may have an adverse impact on its ability to achieve its strategic objectives.

There has been no material adverse change in the prospects of Morgan Stanley since 31 December 2015.

Significant Change

There has been no significant change in the financial or trading position of Morgan Stanley since 31 March 2016.

Share Capital

The authorised share capital of Morgan Stanley at 31 March 2016 comprised 3,500,000,000 ordinary shares of nominal value U.S. \$0.01 and 30,000,000 preferred stock of nominal value U.S. \$0.01.

The issued, non-assessable and fully paid up share capital of Morgan Stanley at 31 December 2015 comprised 2,038,893,979 ordinary shares of nominal value U.S. \$0.01.

Certificate of Incorporation

Morgan Stanley's objects and purposes are set out in Article III of its Certificate of Incorporation and enable it to engage in any lawful act or activity for which corporations may be organised and incorporated under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.

9. INFORMATION GIVEN BY THIRD PARTIES, EXPERTS' VALUATIONS AND DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

This Registration Document does not contain any information given by third parties, experts' valuation or declaration of interests other than the reports of the auditors. For further details see section "*Additional Information*" above.

10. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Required Capital

Morgan Stanley's required capital ("**Required Capital**") estimation is based on the Required Capital framework, an internal capital adequacy measure. This framework is a risk-based and leverage use-of-

capital measure, which is compared with Morgan Stanley's regulatory capital to ensure that Morgan Stanley maintains an amount of going concern capital after absorbing potential losses from extreme stress events, where applicable, at a point in time. Morgan Stanley defines the difference between its regulatory capital and aggregate Required Capital as Parent capital. Average Common Equity Tier 1 capital, aggregate Required Capital and Parent capital for 2015 were approximately \$58.2 billion, \$39.0 billion and \$19.2 billion, respectively. Morgan Stanley generally holds Parent capital for prospective regulatory requirements, including for example, supplementary leverage ratio and U.S. Basel III transitional deductions and adjustments expected to reduce its capital through 2018. Morgan Stanley also holds Parent capital for organic growth, acquisitions and other capital needs.

Common Equity Tier 1 capital and common equity attribution to the business segments is based on capital usage calculated by the Required Capital framework, as well as each business segment's relative contribution to Morgan Stanley's total Required Capital. Required Capital is assessed for each business segment and further attributed to product lines. This process is intended to align capital with the risks in each business segment in order to allow senior management to evaluate returns on a risk-adjusted basis. The Required Capital framework will evolve over time in response to changes in the business and regulatory environment and to incorporate enhancements in modelling techniques. Morgan Stanley will continue to evaluate the framework with respect to the impact of future regulatory requirements, as appropriate.

The following table presents the business segments' and Parent's average Tier 1 common capital and average common equity for 2015 and 2014:

	2015		2014	
	Average Common Equity Tier 1 Capital ⁽¹⁾	Average Common Equity ⁽¹⁾	Average Common Equity Tier 1 Capital ⁽¹⁾	Average Common Equity ⁽¹⁾
	<i>(dollars in billions)</i>			
Institutional Securities	\$32.8	\$34.6	\$31.3	\$32.2
Wealth Management	4.9	11.2	5.2	11.2
Investment Management	1.3	2.2	1.9	2.9
Parent capital	19.2	18.9	19.2	19.0
Total	\$58.2	\$66.9	\$57.6	\$65.3

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are calculated on a monthly basis. Average Common Equity and average Common Equity Tier 1 capital are non-GAAP financial measures that Morgan Stanley and investors consider to be useful measures to assess capital adequacy.

Overview of 2015 Financial Results

2015 Compared with 2014

Consolidated Results. Morgan Stanley reported net revenues of \$35,155 million in 2015, a 3% increase from net revenues of \$34,275 million in 2014. The impact of debt valuation adjustment ("DVA") included in net revenues was positive \$618 million and \$651 million in 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley for the current year was \$6,127 million, or \$2.90 per diluted common share, compared with \$3,467 million, or \$1.60 per diluted common share, a year ago. The current year included net discrete tax benefits of \$564 million, or \$0.29 per diluted common share, compared with \$2,226 million, or \$1.13 per diluted common share, in the prior year. The prior year also included litigation costs related to residential mortgage-backed securities and credit crisis matters of \$3,083 million, or a loss of \$1.47 per diluted common share, 2014 compensation actions of approximately \$1,137 million, or a loss of \$0.39 per diluted common share, and a funding valuation adjustment ("FVA") implementation charge of \$468 million, or a loss of \$0.17 per diluted common share.

Excluding DVA, net revenues were \$34,537 million in 2015 compared with \$33,624 million in 2014, and net income applicable to Morgan Stanley was \$5,728 million, or \$2.70 per diluted common share, in 2015 compared with \$3,049 million, or \$1.39 per diluted common share, in 2014. Excluding both DVA and the net discrete tax benefits, net income applicable to Morgan Stanley was \$5,164 million, or \$2.41 per diluted common share, in 2015 compared with \$823 million, or \$0.26 per diluted common share, in 2014.

Business Segments. Institutional Securities net revenues of \$17,953 million in 2015 increased 6% compared with \$16,871 million in 2014, primarily as a result of higher sales and trading net revenues, partially offset by lower other revenues and lower revenues in investment banking.

Wealth Management net revenues of \$15,100 million in 2015 increased 1% from \$14,888 million in 2014, primarily as a result of higher net interest income and asset management revenues, partially offset by lower transactional revenues.

Investment Management net revenues of \$2,315 million in 2015 decreased 15% from \$2,712 million in 2014, primarily reflecting the reversal of previously accrued carried interest, reduction in revenues attributable to noncontrolling interests and markdowns on principal investments.

Expenses. Compensation and benefits expenses of \$16,016 million in 2015 were down 10% from \$17,824 million in 2014, primarily due to the 2014 compensation actions, a decrease in 2015 in the fair value of deferred compensation plan referenced investments and carried interest, and a decrease in the level of discretionary incentive compensation in 2015.

Non-compensation expenses were \$10,644 million in 2015 compared with \$12,860 million in 2014, representing a 17% decrease, primarily as a result of lower legal expenses in the Institutional Securities business segment associated with residential mortgage-backed securities and credit crisis-related matters.

Return on Average Common Equity. The return on average common equity was 8.5% in 2015, or 7.8% excluding DVA, and 7.0% excluding DVA and the net discrete tax benefits. For 2014, the return on average common equity was 4.8%, or 4.1% excluding DVA, and 0.8% excluding DVA and the net discrete tax benefits.

2014 Compared with 2013

Consolidated Results. Morgan Stanley reported net revenues of \$34,275 million in 2014, a 5% increase compared with \$32,493 million in 2013. Net revenues in 2014 included positive revenues due to the impact of DVA of \$651 million compared with negative revenues of \$681 million in 2013. In addition, net revenues in 2014 included a charge of approximately \$468 million related to the implementation of FVA, which was recorded in the Institutional Securities business segment.

For 2014, net income applicable to Morgan Stanley was \$3,467 million, or \$1.60 per diluted common share, compared with \$2,932 million, or \$1.36 per diluted common share, in 2013. 2014 included net discrete tax benefits of \$2,226 million, or \$1.13 per diluted common share, compared with \$407 million, or \$0.21 per diluted common share, in 2013.

Excluding DVA, net revenues were \$33,624 million in 2014 compared with \$33,174 million in 2013, and net income applicable to Morgan Stanley was \$3,049 million, or \$1.39 per diluted common share, in 2014 compared with \$3,384 million, or \$1.59 per diluted common share, in 2013. Excluding both DVA and the net discrete tax benefits, net income applicable to Morgan Stanley was \$823 million, or \$0.26 per diluted common share, in 2014 compared with \$2,977 million, or \$1.38 per diluted common share, in 2013.

Business Segments. Institutional Securities net revenues of \$16,871 million in 2014 increased 9% compared with \$15,519 million in 2013, primarily as a result of an increase in sales and trading net revenues and Investment banking revenues, partially offset by lower net investment gains.

Wealth Management net revenues of \$14,888 million in 2014 increased 5% from \$14,143 million in 2013, primarily as a result of higher asset management, distribution and administration fees and an increase in net interest income, partially offset by lower transactional revenues.

Investment Management net revenues of \$2,712 million in 2014 decreased 11% from \$3,059 million in 2013. The decrease in net revenues was primarily related to lower net investment gains, including from investments in Morgan Stanley's employee deferred compensation and co-investment plans, and lower carried interest, partially offset by higher management and administration revenues.

Expenses. Compensation and benefits expenses of \$17,824 million in 2014 increased 10% from \$16,277 million in 2013, primarily due to the 2014 compensation actions of approximately \$1,137 million.

Non-compensation expenses were \$12,860 million in 2014 compared with \$11,658 million in 2013, representing a 10% increase, primarily due to higher legal expenses.

Return on Average Common Equity. The return on average common equity was 4.8% in 2014, or 4.1% excluding DVA, and 0.8% excluding DVA and the net discrete tax benefits. Return on average common equity in 2013 was 4.3%, or 4.9% excluding DVA, and 4.3% excluding DVA and the net discrete tax benefits.

MORGAN STANLEY

Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (dollars in millions, except share data)

	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Assets		
Cash and due from banks (\$14 and \$45 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company)	\$ 19,827	\$ 21,381
Interest bearing deposits with banks	34,256	25,603
Cash deposited with clearing organizations or segregated under federal and other regulations or requirements (\$186 and \$149 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company).....	31,469	40,607
Trading assets, at fair value (\$127,627 and \$127,342 were pledged to various parties at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively) (\$722 and \$966 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company).....	228,280	256,801
Investment securities (includes \$66,759 and \$69,216 at fair value at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively)	71,983	69,316
Securities received as collateral, at fair value	11,225	21,316
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (includes \$806 and \$1,113 at fair value at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively).....	87,657	83,288
Securities borrowed.....	142,416	136,708
Customer and other receivables.....	45,407	48,961
Loans:		
Held for investment (net of allowances of \$225 and \$149 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively).....	72,559	57,119
Held for sale.....	13,200	9,458
Other investments (\$328 and \$467 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company).....	4,202	4,355
Premises, equipment and software costs (net of accumulated depreciation of \$7,140 and \$6,219 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively) (\$183 and \$191 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company)	6,373	6,108
Goodwill	6,584	6,588
Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization of \$2,130 and \$1,824 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively) (includes \$5 and \$6 at fair value at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively).....	2,984	3,159
Other assets (\$47 and \$59 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally not available to the Company)	9,043	10,742
Total assets	\$ 787,465	\$ 801,510
Liabilities		
Deposits (includes \$125 at fair value at December 31, 2015).....	\$ 156,034	\$ 133,544
Short-term borrowings (includes \$1,648 and \$1,765 at fair value at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively).....	2,173	2,261
Trading liabilities, at fair value.....	109,139	107,381
Obligation to return securities received as collateral, at fair value.....	19,316	25,685
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (includes \$683 and \$612 at fair value at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively).....	36,692	69,949
Securities loaned	19,358	25,219
Other secured financings (includes \$2,854 and \$4,504 at fair value at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively) (\$432 and \$348 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally non-recourse to the Company)	9,464	12,085
Customer and other payables.....	186,626	181,069
Other liabilities and accrued expenses (\$4 and \$72 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, related to consolidated variable interest entities, generally non-recourse to the Company)	18,711	19,441
Long-term borrowings (includes \$33,045 and \$31,774 at fair value at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively).....	153,768	152,772
Total liabilities	711,281	729,406
Commitments and contingent liabilities (see Note 12)		
Equity		
Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock (see Note 15).....	7,520	6,020
Common stock, \$0.01 par value:		
Shares authorized: 3,500,000,000 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014;		
Shares issued: 2,038,893,979 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014;		
Shares outstanding: 1,920,024,027 and 1,950,980,142 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively	20	20
Additional paid-in capital.....	24,153	24,249
Retained earnings.....	49,204	44,625
Employee stock trusts	2,409	2,127
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,656)	(1,248)
Common stock held in treasury, at cost, \$0.01 par value:		
Shares outstanding: 118,869,952 and 87,913,837 at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively	(4,059)	(2,766)
Common stock issued to employee stock trusts	(2,409)	(2,127)
Total Morgan Stanley shareholders' equity	75,182	70,900
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests.....	1,002	1,204
Total equity	76,184	72,104
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 787,465	\$ 801,510

Consolidated Statements of Income
(dollars in millions, except share and per share data)

	2015	2014	2013
Revenues:			
Investment banking.....	\$ 5,594	\$ 5,948	\$ 5,246
Trading	10,114	9,377	9,359
Investments.....	541	836	1,777
Commissions and fees	4,554	4,713	4,629
Asset management, distribution and administration fees.....	10,766	10,570	9,638
Other.....	493	1,096	1,066
Total non-interest revenues	<u>32,062</u>	<u>32,540</u>	<u>31,715</u>
Interest income	5,835	5,413	5,209
Interest expense	2,742	3,678	4,431
Net interest.....	<u>3,093</u>	<u>1,735</u>	<u>778</u>
Net revenues.....	<u>35,155</u>	<u>34,275</u>	<u>32,493</u>
Non-interest expenses:			
Compensation and benefits	16,016	17,824	16,277
Occupancy and equipment.....	1,382	1,433	1,499
Brokerage, clearing and exchange fees	1,892	1,806	1,711
Information processing and communications.....	1,767	1,635	1,768
Marketing and business development	681	658	638
Professional services.....	2,298	2,117	1,894
Other.....	2,624	5,211	4,148
Total non-interest expenses	<u>26,660</u>	<u>30,684</u>	<u>27,935</u>
Income from continuing operations before income taxes.....	8,495	3,591	4,558
Provision for (benefits from) income taxes.....	2,200	(90)	902
Income from continuing operations.....	<u>6,295</u>	<u>3,681</u>	<u>3,656</u>
Discontinued operations:			
Income (loss) from discontinued operations before income taxes.....	(23)	(19)	(72)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes.....	(7)	(5)	(29)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations.....	<u>(16)</u>	<u>(14)</u>	<u>(43)</u>
Net income	\$ 6,279	\$ 3,667	\$ 3,613
Net income applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests	—	—	222
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests.....	152	200	459
Net income applicable to Morgan Stanley	<u>\$ 6,127</u>	<u>\$ 3,467</u>	<u>\$ 2,932</u>
Preferred stock dividends and other.....	456	315	277
Earnings applicable to Morgan Stanley common shareholders.....	<u>\$ 5,671</u>	<u>\$ 3,152</u>	<u>\$ 2,655</u>
Earnings per basic common share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 2.98	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.42
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.03)
Earnings per basic common share	<u>\$ 2.97</u>	<u>\$ 1.64</u>	<u>\$ 1.39</u>
Earnings per diluted common share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 2.91	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.38
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)
Earnings per diluted common share	<u>\$ 2.90</u>	<u>\$ 1.60</u>	<u>\$ 1.36</u>
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.20
Average common shares outstanding:			
Basic.....	<u>1,909,116,527</u>	<u>1,923,805,397</u>	<u>1,905,823,882</u>
Diluted.....	<u>1,952,815,453</u>	<u>1,970,535,560</u>	<u>1,956,519,738</u>

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(dollars in millions)

	2015	2014	2013
Net income	\$ 6,279	\$ 3,667	\$ 3,613
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments(1)	\$ (304)	\$ (491)	\$ (348)
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities(2).....	(246)	209	(433)
Pension, postretirement and other(3).....	138	33	(1)
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (412)	\$ (249)	\$ (782)
Comprehensive income	\$ 5,867	\$ 3,418	\$ 2,831
Net income applicable to redeemable noncontrolling interests.....	—	—	222
Net income applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests.....	152	200	459
Other comprehensive income (loss) applicable to nonredeemable noncontrolling interests	(4)	(94)	(205)
Comprehensive income applicable to Morgan Stanley	<u>\$ 5,719</u>	<u>\$ 3,312</u>	<u>\$ 2,355</u>

- (1) Amounts include provision for (benefit from) income taxes of \$185 million, \$352 million and \$351 million for 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (2) Amounts include provision for (benefit from) income taxes of \$(143) million, \$142 million and \$(296) million for 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (3) Amounts include provision for (benefit from) income taxes of \$73 million, \$20 million and \$11 million for 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MORGAN STANLEY

The remainder of this section contains selected financial information of Morgan Stanley relating to the years ended 31 December 2014 and 31 December 2015 and to the quarters ended 31 March 2015 and 31 March 2016. The information set out below is derived from the audited financial statements included in Morgan Stanley's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the years ended 31 December 2014 and 31 December 2015 and the unaudited financial statements included in Morgan Stanley's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended 31 March 2016.

Consolidated Balance Sheet	At 31 December 2014	At 31 December 2015	At 31 March 2015 (unaudited)	At 31 March 2016 (unaudited)
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>		<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	
Total assets	801,510	787,465	829,099	807,497
Total liabilities and equity	801,510	787,465	829,099	807,497

Consolidated Income Statement	2014	2015	Three Months Ended 31 March 2015 (unaudited)	31 March 2016 (unaudited)
	<i>(\$ in millions)</i>		<i>(\$ in millions)</i>	
Net revenues	34,275	35,155	9,907	7,792
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	3,591	8,495	2,855	1,738
Net income	3,667	6,279	2,463	1,157

DESCRIPTION OF MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC

1. INFORMATION ABOUT MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC

History and Development of Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc

Legal name, place of registration and registration number, date of incorporation

MSI plc was incorporated in England and Wales with registered number 2068222 on 28 October 1986. MSI plc was incorporated as a company limited by shares under the Companies Act 1985 and operates under the Companies Act 2006. MSI plc was re-registered as a public limited company on 13 April 2007.

Registered office

MSI plc's registered office is at 25 Cabot Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 4QA and the telephone number of its registered office is +44 20 7425 8000.

Legal and commercial name

MSI plc's legal and commercial name is Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc.

Recent Events

No recent event particular to MSI plc has occurred which is to a material extent relevant to the evaluation of its solvency.

2. OVERVIEW OF THE ACTIVITIES

MSI plc forms part of a group of companies including MSI plc and all of its subsidiary and associated undertakings ("**MSI plc Group**"). The principal activity of the MSI plc Group is the provision of financial services to corporations, governments and financial institutions.

MSI plc operates globally with a particular focus in Europe. It operates branches in the Dubai International Financial Centre, France, the Netherlands, Poland, the Qatar Financial Centre, South Korea and Switzerland.

The MSI plc Group provides capital raising; financial advisory services, including advice on mergers and acquisitions, restructurings, real estate and project finance; corporate lending; sales, trading, financing and market-making activities in equity and fixed income securities and related products, including foreign exchange and commodities; and investment activities.

3. ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE

MSI plc's ultimate U.K. parent undertaking is Morgan Stanley International Limited ("**MSI**") and MSI plc's ultimate parent undertaking and controlling entity is Morgan Stanley, which, together with MSI plc and Morgan Stanley's other consolidated subsidiaries, form the Morgan Stanley Group.

MSI plc is owned directly by Morgan Stanley Investments (UK) (100 per cent. holding).

The consolidated accounts set out in the section entitled "*Selected Financial Information of Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc*" herein are the MSI plc Group accounts and for the purposes of those accounts, MSI plc is the parent company of such Group.

There are substantial inter-relationships between MSI plc and Morgan Stanley as well as other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group, including the provision of funding, capital, services and logistical support to or by MSI plc, as well as common or shared business or operational platforms or systems, including employees. As a consequence of such inter-relationships, and of the participation of both MSI plc and other Morgan Stanley Group companies in the global financial services sector, factors which could affect the business and condition of Morgan Stanley or other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group may also affect the business and condition of MSI plc. Any such effect could be direct, for example, where economic or market factors directly affect the markets in which MSI plc and other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group operate, or indirect, for example where any factor affects the ability of other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group to provide services or funding or capital to MSI plc or, directly

or indirectly, to place business with MSI plc. Similarly, any development affecting the reputation or standing of Morgan Stanley or other companies in the Morgan Stanley Group may have an indirect effect on MSI plc. Such inter-relationships should therefore be taken into account in any assessment of MSI plc.

Please see "*Description of Morgan Stanley*" for information on the Morgan Stanley Group.

4. MANAGEMENT OF MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC

Directors of MSI plc

Name	Principal outside activity
David Cannon	Independent Director of MSI, Morgan Stanley Bank International Limited and Morgan Stanley Securities Limited.
Christopher Castello	Director of MSI and Morgan Stanley Bank International Limited.
Terri Duhon	Independent Director of MSI and Morgan Stanley Bank International Limited. Director of CHAPS Clearing Company Limited, B&B Financial Markets Ltd, F&C Barrow Hanley US Trust Limited and B&B Structured Finance Ltd.
Lee Guy	Director of MSI and Morgan Stanley Bank International Limited.
Jakob Horder	None.
Mary Phibbs	Independent Director of MSI, Morgan Stanley Bank International Limited, Morgan Stanley Securities Limited, Nottingham Building Society, SAV Credit Limited, Novae Group plc, Novae Syndicates Limited, Stewart Title Limited and the Charity Bank Limited.
Ian Plenderleith	Independent Chairman of MSI and Morgan Stanley Bank International Limited. Independent Director of Morgan Stanley Securities Limited, Sanlam UK Limited, BMCE Bank International plc and Chairman of BH Macro Limited.
Robert Rooney	Director of MSI, OOO Morgan Stanley Bank and Member of the Supervisory Board of Morgan Stanley Bank AG.
David Russell	Director of MSI, Morgan Stanley Securities Limited, Strategic Investments I, Inc, Member of the Supervisory Board of Morgan Stanley Bank AG and Director of RMB Morgan Stanley (Proprietary) Limited.

The business address of the directors is 25 Cabot Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 4QA.

There are no potential conflicts of interests between any duties to MSI plc of its directors and their private interests and/or other duties.

5. BOARD PRACTICES

MSI established an audit committee (the "**MSI plc Audit Committee**") in September 2003. The current remit of the MSI plc Audit Committee is to assist the Board of MSI in monitoring: (i) the integrity of the financial statements of MSI, its FCA regulated subsidiaries, namely: Morgan Stanley Bank International Limited, MSI plc, Morgan Stanley Securities Limited, Morgan Stanley & Co. Limited, Morgan Stanley Investment Management Limited and Morgan Stanley Investment Management (ACD) Limited ("**Regulated Subsidiaries**"), (ii) the systems of internal controls, (iii) compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iv) the qualifications and independence of external auditors for MSI and its Regulated Subsidiaries, (v) the performance of Morgan Stanley's internal and external auditors, and (vi) the efficacy of Morgan Stanley's policies and structures for conflict management in Europe.

The MSI plc Audit Committee reports to the Board of MSI on a quarterly basis. The MSI plc Audit Committee comprises David Cannon, Terri Duhon, Mary Phibbs and Ian Plenderleith. David Cannon, Terri Duhon, Mary Phibbs and Ian Plenderleith are not officers or employees of the Morgan Stanley Group and are independent members of the MSI plc Audit Committee. The MSI plc Audit Committee members are appointed by the Board of Directors of MSI.

MSI plc complies with the corporate governance requirements as required by the corporate laws of the United Kingdom.

6. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS

Major Shareholders

MSI plc's share capital is owned as follows:

Share Class	Shareholder	Shares Held (% of Class)
GBP Ordinary Shares	Morgan Stanley Investments (UK)	17,615,107 (100%)
USD Ordinary Shares	Morgan Stanley Investments (UK)	9,935,105,148 (100%)
USD Class A Non-Voting Ordinary Shares	Morgan Stanley Investments (UK)	1,500,000,000 (100%)

MSI plc ultimate parent undertaking and controlling entity is Morgan Stanley. For information regarding the beneficial ownership of Morgan Stanley's common stock, please see the section entitled "*Principal Shareholders*" in "*Description of Morgan Stanley*" above.

7. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Litigation matters

In addition to the matters described below, in the normal course of business, the MSI plc Group has been named, from time to time, as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions and other litigation, arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Certain of the actual or threatened legal actions include claims for substantial compensatory and/or punitive damages or claims for indeterminate amounts of damages. In some cases, the entities that would otherwise be the primary defendants in such cases are bankrupt or in financial distress.

The MSI plc Group is also involved, from time to time, in other reviews, investigations and proceedings (both formal and informal) by governmental and self-regulatory agencies regarding the MSI plc Group's business, and involving, among other matters, sales and trading activities, financial products or offerings sponsored, underwritten or sold by the MSI plc Group, and accounting and operational matters, certain of which may result in adverse judgments, settlements, fines, penalties, injunctions or other relief.

The MSI plc Group contests liability and/or the amount of damages as appropriate in each pending matter. Where available information indicates that it is probable a liability had been incurred at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the MSI plc Group can reasonably estimate the amount of that loss, the MSI plc Group accrues the estimated loss by a charge to income. The MSI plc Group's future legal expenses may fluctuate from period to period, given the current environment regarding government investigations and private litigation affecting global financial services firms, including the MSI plc Group.

In many proceedings and investigations, however, it is inherently difficult to determine whether any loss is probable or even possible, or to estimate the amount of any loss. The MSI plc Group cannot predict with certainty if, how or when such proceedings or investigations will be resolved or what the eventual settlement, fine, penalty or other relief, if any, may be, particularly for proceedings and investigations where the factual record is being developed or contested or where plaintiffs or government entities seek substantial or indeterminate damages, restitution, disgorgement or penalties. Numerous issues may need to be resolved, including through potentially lengthy discovery and determination of important factual

matters, determination of issues related to class certification and the calculation of damages or other relief, and by addressing novel or unsettled legal questions relevant to the proceedings or investigations in question, before a loss or additional loss or range of loss or additional loss can be reasonably estimated for a proceeding or investigation. Subject to the foregoing, the MSI plc Group believes, based on current knowledge and after consultation with counsel, that the outcome of such proceedings and investigations will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of the MSI plc Group, although the outcome of such proceedings or investigations could be material to the MSI plc Group's operating results and cash flows for a particular period depending on, among other things, the level of the MSI plc Group's revenues or income for such period.

Over the last several years, the level of litigation and investigatory activity (both formal and informal) by government and self-regulatory agencies has increased materially in the financial services industry. As a result, the MSI plc Group expects that it may become the subject of increased claims for damages and other relief and, while the MSI plc Group has identified below certain proceedings that the MSI plc Group believes to be material, individually or collectively, there can be no assurance that additional material losses will not be incurred from claims that have not yet been asserted or are not yet determined to be material.

On 15 July 2010, CDIB filed a complaint against the MSI plc Group and another Morgan Stanley Group undertaking, styled *China Development Industrial Bank v. Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated et al.*, which is pending in the Supreme Court of NY. The complaint relates to a \$275 million credit default swap referencing the super senior portion of the STACK 2006-1 CDO. The complaint asserts claims for common law fraud, fraudulent inducement and fraudulent concealment and alleges that the Group and another Morgan Stanley Group undertaking misrepresented the risks of the STACK 2006-1 CDO to CDIB, and that the MSI plc Group and another Morgan Stanley Group undertaking knew that the assets backing the CDO were of poor quality when it entered into the credit default swap with CDIB. The complaint seeks compensatory damages related to the approximately \$228 million that CDIB alleges it has already lost under the credit default swap, rescission of CDIB's obligation to pay an additional \$12 million, punitive damages, equitable relief, fees and costs. On 28 February 2011, the court denied the MSI plc Group's and another Morgan Stanley Group undertaking's motion to dismiss the complaint.

On 1 July 2013, the EC issued a SO addressed to twelve financial firms (including the MSI plc Group), ISDA and Markit and various other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings alleging that, between 2006 and 2009, the recipients breached European Union competition law by taking and refusing to take certain actions in an effort to prevent the development of exchange traded credit default swap products. The MSI plc Group, other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings and the other recipients of the SO filed a response to the SO on 21 January 2014, and attended oral hearings before the EC during the period 12-19 May 2014. On 4 December 2015, the EC announced that it had closed its antitrust investigation into the twelve financial firms, including the MSI plc Group and the other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings.

In May 2014, the CAAG, which is one of the members of the RMBS Working Group, indicated that it has made certain preliminary conclusions that the Morgan Stanley Group made knowing and material misrepresentations regarding RMBS and that it knowingly caused material misrepresentations to be made regarding the Cheyne SIV, which issued securities marketed to the California Public Employees Retirement System. The CAAG has further indicated that it believes the Morgan Stanley Group's conduct violated California law and that it may seek treble damages, penalties and injunctive relief. The Morgan Stanley Group does not agree with these conclusions and has presented defences to them to the CAAG.

The MSI plc Group is also responding to a number of regulatory and governmental inquiries in the U.K., the U.S. and elsewhere related to its foreign exchange business.

Beginning in December 2013, several foreign exchange dealers (including certain Morgan Stanley Group undertakings) were named as defendants in multiple purported antitrust class actions most of which have now been consolidated into a single proceeding in the SDNY styled *In Re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation*. On 16 July 2015, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint (which included the MSI plc Group as a named defendant) generally alleging that defendants engaged in a conspiracy to fix, maintain or make artificial prices for key benchmark rates, to manipulate bid/ask spreads, and, by their behaviour in the OTC market, to thereby cause corresponding manipulation in the foreign exchange futures market. Plaintiffs seek declaratory relief as well as treble damages in an unspecified amount. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on 30 November 2015.

Save as disclosed above under the paragraph entitled "*Litigation matters*", there are no governmental, legal or arbitration proceedings involving MSI plc (including any such proceedings which are pending or threatened of which MSI plc is aware) during the 12-month period before the date of this Registration Document which may have, or have had in the recent past, a significant effect on the financial position or profitability of the MSI plc Group.

8. **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Auditors

MSI plc's report and accounts for the financial years ended 31 December 2015 and 31 December 2014 have been audited by Deloitte LLP of 2 New Street Square, London EC4A 3BZ who are a firm of registered auditors and a member firm of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales for institute by-laws purposes.

Trend Information

There has been no material adverse change in the prospects of MSI plc since 31 December 2015.

Significant Change

There has been no significant change in the financial or trading position of the MSI plc Group since 31 December 2015 (the date of the latest consolidated report and accounts of MSI plc).

Capital Structure

As of 31 December 2015 MSI plc had the following issued and fully paid up share capital:

- (i) £17,615,107 divided into 17,615,107 ordinary shares of £1 par value each (the "**GBP Ordinary Shares**"). Each GBP Ordinary Share is entitled to one vote within its class. The GBP Ordinary Shares as a class are entitled to 0.177 per cent. of the votes at shareholder meetings.
- (ii) U.S.\$9,935,105,148 divided into 9,935,105,148 ordinary shares of U.S.\$1 par value each (the "**USD Ordinary Shares**"). Each USD Ordinary Share is entitled to one vote within its class. The USD Ordinary Shares as a class are entitled to 99.823 per cent. of the votes at shareholder meetings.
- (iii) U.S. \$1,500,000,000 divided into 1,500,000,000 class A ordinary shares of U.S.\$1 par value each (the "**USD Class A Non-Voting Ordinary Shares**"). The holders of the USD Class A Non-Voting Ordinary Shares are not entitled to vote at Shareholders meetings of MSI plc.

Articles of Association

Pursuant to the Companies Act 2006, MSI plc's objects are now unrestricted. The articles of association were last amended on 24 February 2015.

**SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL
PLC**

The following table sets out the selected consolidated financial information of the MSI plc Group in accordance with applicable law and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"), as adopted by the European Union. Such information is derived from the audited reports and accounts of MSI plc as at 31 December 2015.

The financial information presented below should be read in conjunction with such reports and accounts and the notes thereto.

	31 Dec 2014	31 Dec 2015
	<i>(in \$ millions)</i>	
Consolidated Statement of Financial Position		
<i>Total Assets</i>	448,526	394,084
<i>Total Liabilities and Equity</i>	448,526	394,084
Consolidated Income Statement		
<i>Net Gains On Financial Instruments Classified as Held For Trading</i>	2,775	3,508
<i>Profit before tax</i>	(677)	710
<i>Profit for the year/period</i>	(713)	401

DESCRIPTION OF MORGAN STANLEY B.V.

1. INFORMATION ABOUT MORGAN STANLEY B.V.

History and Development

Morgan Stanley B.V. was incorporated as a private company with limited liability (*besloten vennootschap met beperkte aansprakelijkheid*) under the laws of The Netherlands on 6 September 2001 for an unlimited duration. MSBV is registered at the commercial register of the Chamber of Commerce (*Kamer van Koophandel*) for Amsterdam under number 34161590. It has its corporate seat at Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Registered office

MSBV's registered office is at Luna Arena, Herikerbergweg 238, 1101 CM, Amsterdam Zuidoost, The Netherlands. Its telephone number is +31 20 57 55 600.

Legal and commercial name

MSBV's legal and commercial name is Morgan Stanley B.V.

Legislation

MSBV is incorporated under, and subject to, the laws of The Netherlands.

2. OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITIES

Principal Activities

MSBV's principal activity is the issuance of financial instruments including notes, certificates and warrants and the hedging of obligations arising pursuant to such issuances.

Principal Markets

MSBV conducts its business from The Netherlands. All material assets of MSBV are obligations of (or securities issued by) one or more companies in the Morgan Stanley Group. MSBV does not undertake such business on a competitive basis, however as a member of the Morgan Stanley Group it is indirectly affected by some of the competitive pressures that apply to Morgan Stanley. See "*Description of Morgan Stanley*" above for further details.

3. ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE

MSBV has no subsidiaries. It is ultimately controlled by Morgan Stanley.

4. MANAGEMENT OF MSBV

The current directors of MSBV, their offices, if any, within MSBV, and their principal outside activity, if any, are listed below. The business address of each director is Luna Arena, Herikerbergweg 238, 1101 CM Amsterdam Zuidoost, The Netherlands.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Principal Outside Activity</u>
H. Herrmann	Director	Executive Director of Morgan Stanley. Director or Fundlogic (Jersey) Limited, Morgan Stanley Islamic Finance Limited, Morgan Stanley (Jersey) Limited and Archimedes Investments Cooperatieve U.A.
S. Ibanez	Director	Executive Director of Morgan Stanley.
P.J.G de Reus	Director	Employee of TMF Netherlands B.V. Director of Archimedes Investments Cooperatieve U.A.
L.P.A. Rolfes	Director	Employee of TMF Netherlands B.V.

Name	Title	Principal Outside Activity
TMF Management B.V.	Director	Dutch corporate service provider

Directors of TMF Management B.V.

H. Ph. De Kanter	Director	Employee of TMF Netherlands B.V.
W.H. Kamphuijs	Director	Employee of TMF Netherlands B.V.

There are no potential conflicts of interests between any duties to MSBV of its directors and their private interests and/or other duties.

5. BOARD PRACTICE

MSBV considers itself to be in compliance with all Dutch laws relating to corporate governance that are applicable to it.

MSBV qualifies as an organisation of public interest pursuant to Dutch and E.U. law. MSI, a shareholder in MSBV until 26 March 2015, had an audit committee that functioned as the audit committee of MSBV. On 26 March 2015, MSI disposed of its shareholding in MSBV. Accordingly, MSBV can no longer take the exemption available for groups and has established its own audit committee which complies with the applicable corporate governance rules as detailed in MSBV's articles of association.

6. MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS

Archimedes Investments Cooperatieve U.A. (a Morgan Stanley Group company) holds the majority of shares in MSBV. Morgan Stanley International Holdings Inc. and Morgan Stanley Jubilee Investments Ltd. each hold one share in MSBV.

MSBV is ultimately controlled by Morgan Stanley. MSBV is not aware of any control measures with respect to such shareholder control. For information regarding the beneficial ownership of Morgan Stanley's common stock, please see the section entitled "*Principal Shareholders*" in "*Description of Morgan Stanley*" above.

All decisions to issue securities are taken by the Board of MSBV and MSBV earns a spread on all its issues of securities.

7. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There are no governmental, legal or arbitration proceedings involving MSBV (including any such proceedings which are pending or threatened of which MSBV is aware) during the 12-month period before the date of this Registration Document which may have, or have had in the recent past, a significant effect on the financial position or profitability of MSBV.

8. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Auditors

Deloitte Accountants B.V., independent auditors and certified public accountants of Gustav Mahlerlaan 2970, 1081 LA Amsterdam, P.O. Box 58110, 1040 HC Amsterdam, The Netherlands, a member of the Netherlands Institute of Chartered Accountants (*Nederlandse Beroepsorganisatie van Accountants*), have audited the financial statements of MSBV for the years ended 31 December 2014 and 31 December 2015 and unqualified opinions have been reported thereon.

This document does not contain any other information that has been audited by Deloitte Accountants B.V.

Trend Information

MSBV intends to continue issuing securities and entering into hedges in respect of such issues of securities. There has been no material adverse change in the prospects of MSBV since 31 December 2015.

Significant Change

There has been no significant change in the financial or trading position of MSBV since 31 December 2015.

Share Capital

The share capital of MSBV is divided into ordinary shares of nominal value EUR 100.

The issued, allotted and fully paid up share capital of MSBV comprises 150,180 ordinary shares of nominal value EUR 100.

Articles of Association

MSBV's objects and purposes are set out in Article 3 of its Articles of Association and enable it to issue, sell, purchase, transfer and accept warrants, derivatives, certificates, debt securities, equity securities and/or similar securities or instruments and to enter into hedging arrangements in connection with such securities and instruments. Furthermore its objects are to finance businesses and companies, to borrow, to lend and to raise funds as well as to enter into agreements in connection with the aforementioned, to render guarantees, to bind the company and to pledge its assets for obligations of the companies and enterprises with which it forms a group and on behalf of third parties and to trade in currencies, securities and items of property in general, as well as everything pertaining to the foregoing, relating thereto or conducive thereto all in the widest sense of the word.

The articles of association were last amended on 23 April 2015.

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MORGAN STANLEY B.V.

The profit after tax for the years ended 31 December 2015 and 31 December 2014 was EUR 7,620,000 and EUR 4,993,000 respectively. The profit before tax for the financial years ended 31 December 2015 and 31 December 2014 was EUR 10,151,000 and EUR 6,658,000 respectively.

The total assets of MSBV increased from EUR 8,081,802,000 on 31 December 2014 to EUR 8,770,208,000 on 31 December 2015 with total liabilities increasing from EUR 8,051,732,000 on 31 December 2014 to EUR 8,732,518,000 on 31 December 2015.

The financial information in respect of MSBV has been prepared in accordance with IFRS as adopted by the European Union for the years ended 31 December 2014 and 31 December 2015.

DESCRIPTION OF MORGAN STANLEY FINANCE LLC

1. INFORMATION ABOUT MORGAN STANLEY FINANCE LLC

History and Development

Morgan Stanley Finance LLC was originally formed as a limited liability company pursuant to the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act on 27 March 2002 for an unlimited duration under the name of Morgan Stanley Tower LLC. On 8 January 2016 Morgan Stanley Tower LLC changed its name to Morgan Stanley Finance, LLC. On 12 January 2016 Morgan Stanley Finance, LLC changed its name to Morgan Stanley Finance LLC.

Registered office

MSFL's registered address is at The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801. MSFL's principal place of business is 1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036. Its phone number is 212-761-4000.

Legal and commercial name

MSFL's legal and commercial name is Morgan Stanley Finance LLC.

Legislation

MSFL was formed under, and subject to, the laws of the state of Delaware, United States.

2. OVERVIEW OF ACTIVITIES

Principal Activities

MSFL's principal activity is the issuance of securities.

Principal Markets

MSFL primarily conducts its business from the United States.

3. ORGANISATIONAL STRUCTURE

MSFL has no subsidiaries. It is a directly owned subsidiary of Morgan Stanley. In February 2016, MSFL was converted into a finance subsidiary of Morgan Stanley.

4. MANAGEMENT OF MSFL

The current managers of MSFL, their offices, if any, within MSFL and their principal outside activity, if any, are listed below. The business address of each manager is 1585 Broadway, New York, NY 10036.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Principal Outside Activity</u>
Kevin Woodruff	President and Manager	Managing Director of Morgan Stanley
Nikki Tippins	Manager	Managing Director of Morgan Stanley
Joshua Schanzer	Manager	Executive Director of Morgan Stanley

There are no potential conflicts of interests between any duties to MSFL of its managers and their private interests and/or other duties.

5. **BOARD PRACTICE**

MSFL considers itself to be in compliance with all Delaware laws relating to corporate governance that are applicable to it.

6. **MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS**

MSFL is fully and directly owned by Morgan Stanley. For information regarding the beneficial ownership of Morgan Stanley's common stock, please see the section entitled "*Principal Shareholders*" in "*Description of Morgan Stanley*" above.

7. **LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

There are no governmental, legal or arbitration proceedings involving MSFL (including any such proceedings which are pending or threatened of which MSFL is aware) during the 12-month period before the date of this Registration Document which may have, or have had in the recent past, a significant effect on the financial position or profitability of MSFL.

8. **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Auditors

Deloitte & Touche LLP, 30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, NY 10112, United States, an independent registered public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States of America) have audited the financial statements of MSFL for the years ended 31 December 2014 and 31 December 2015.

This document does not contain any other information in respect of MSFL that has been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Trend Information

MSFL intends to continue issuing securities. There has been no material adverse change in the prospects of MSFL since 31 December 2015.

Significant Change

There has been no significant change in the financial or trading position of MSFL since 31 December 2015.

Capitalisation

MSFL is authorised to issue a single class of limited liability company interests. The issued, allotted and fully paid capital of MSFL is USD 1,000.

Limited Liability Company Agreement

MSFL's purpose is set out in Article 1.2 of its Limited Liability Company Agreement dated 27 March 2002 (as amended) and includes any activity for which limited liability companies may be organised in the State of Delaware. Such activities include the issuance of securities.

The Limited Liability Company Agreement was last amended on 21 January 2016.

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF MORGAN STANLEY FINANCE LLC

The net income for the years ended 2014 and 2015 was USD 114,986,489 and USD 110,072,000 respectively.

The total assets of MSFL increased from USD 6,797,385,100 at 31 December 2014 to USD 6,942,318,599 at 31 December 2015 with total liabilities increasing from USD 1,678,238,333 at 31 December 2014 to USD 1,713,099,832 at 31 December 2015.

The financial information in respect of MSFL has been prepared in accordance with US GAAP.

**SIGNIFICANT SUBSIDIARIES OF MORGAN STANLEY
AS AT 31 DECEMBER 2015**

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
└─ Morgan Stanley	Delaware
└─┬─ Bayfine DE Inc.	Delaware
└─ Bayfine UK	United Kingdom
└─ Belmondo LLC	Delaware
└─ Cauca LLC	Delaware
└─ Dean Witter Capital Corporation	Delaware
└─ Dean Witter Realty Inc.	Delaware
└─ Realty Management Services Inc.	Delaware
└─ Dean Witter Reynolds Venture Equities Inc.	Delaware
└─ Early Adopter Fund Manager Inc.	Delaware
└─ Fuegos LLC	Delaware
└─ Fundlogic (Jersey) Limited	Jersey, Channel Is.
└─ FV-I, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Japan Core Funding, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Jolter Investments Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley (Jersey) Limited	Jersey, Channel Is.
└─ Morgan Stanley ABS Capital I Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Altabridge Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─ Morgan Stanley Amanu LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Asset Funding Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Atlas, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Biscay LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Epsilon Investments Limited	United Kingdom
└─ Morgan Stanley Alpha Investments LLP	United Kingdom
└─ Morgan Stanley Capital Group Inc.	Delaware
└─ Aegir Services International Ltd.	Bermuda
└─ Cayman Energy Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─ Ghent Energy Limited	Cayman Islands
└─ Granite Wash LLC	Delaware
└─ Heidmar Group Inc.	Delaware
└─ Houston Bayport Energy LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Bay Shore LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Capital Group (Espana), S.L.U.	Spain
└─ Morgan Stanley Capital Group Czech Republic s.r.o.	Czech Republic
└─ Morgan Stanley Capital Group Energy Europe Limited	United Kingdom
└─ Morgan Stanley Clean Development, LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Renewables Development I (Cayman) Limited	Cayman Islands
└─ Morgan Stanley Commodities Investment Limited	Cayman Islands
└─ Morgan Stanley Energy Capital Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Energy Development Corp.	Delaware
└─ SEM Royalties LLC	Delaware
└─ Wellbore Capital, LLC	Delaware
└─ MS Permian LLC	Delaware
└─ MS TELA LLC	Delaware
└─ MS TGX LLC	Delaware
└─ MSDW Power Development Corp.	Delaware
└─ American Chemicals, LLC	Delaware
└─ MS Solar Canada Holdings Inc.	Delaware
└─ MS Solar Holdings Canada ULC	Canada
└─ MS Solar Solutions Canada ULC	Canada
└─ MS Solar Investments LLC	Delaware
└─ MS Solar Holdings Inc.	Delaware
└─ MS Solar Solutions Corp.	Delaware
└─ Naniwa Energy LLC	Delaware

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
└─Naniwa Terminal LLC	Delaware
└─Pioneer Energy Holdings Pty Ltd	Australia
└─Pioneer Energy Pty Ltd	Australia
└─Power Contract Financing II, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─Rolympus (UK) Commodities (Holdings) Limited	United Kingdom
└─South Eastern Electric Development Corporation	Delaware
└─South Eastern Generating Corporation	Delaware
└─Sparta Energy Limited	Cayman Islands
└─TransMontaigne Canada Holdings Inc.	Canada
└─TMG Canadian Holdings L.L.C.	Delaware
└─Olico Petroleum Group ULC (OLCO)	Canada
└─TransMontaigne Marketing Canada Inc.	Canada
└─Utility Contract Funding II, LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Capital I Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Capital Management, LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Domestic Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
└─GHY Capital II B.V.	The Netherlands
└─Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC	Delaware
└─Hilo Investment Fund	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley Flexible Agreements Inc.	Delaware
└─PI Co-Invest LLC	Delaware
└─Prime Dealer Services Corp.	Delaware
└─V2 Holdings (USA), Inc.	Delaware
└─Gee Street Records, Inc.	Delaware
└─V2 Records, Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Capital Products LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Capital Services LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Commercial Financial Services LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Delta Holdings LLC	New York
└─Morgan Stanley Bank, N.A.	Federal Charter
└─Morgan Stanley Private Bank, National Association	Federal Charter
└─Morgan Stanley NLE, LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Services Holdings LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Services Group Inc.	Delaware
└─MS Financing LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley 1585 Broadway LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley 750 Building Corp.	Delaware
└─Broadway 522 Fifth JV LLC	Delaware
└─GHY Capital B.V.	The Netherlands
└─MS Harrison LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Smith Barney Holdings LLC	Delaware
└─Alternative Investments Mgr, Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─Ceres Managed Futures LLC	Delaware
└─Consulting Group Advisory Services LLC	Delaware
└─LM Falcon Investment Strategies LLC	Delaware
└─Peregrine Investments, LLC	Maryland
└─Morgan Stanley AI GP LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Cayman Financing Services	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley Smith Barney FA Notes Holdings LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Smith Barney Financing LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Smith Barney Holdings (UK) Limited	United Kingdom
└─Morgan Stanley Private Wealth Management Limited	United Kingdom
└─Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Insurance Services Inc.	Delaware
└─SBHU Life Agency, Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Smith Barney Private Management II LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Smith Barney Private Management LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Smith Barney Venture Services LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Strategies II LLC	Delaware

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
Morgan Stanley Strategies LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Swiss Holdings GmbH	Switzerland
Morgan Stanley (Switzerland) AG	Switzerland
Morgan Stanley Wealth Management Australia Pty Ltd	Australia
Bow Lane Nominees Pty. Ltd.	Australia
Pettingell LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Capital Partners III, Inc.	Delaware
MSCP III, LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Capital REIT Inc.	Delaware
Saxon Advance Receivables Company, Inc.	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Capital REIT IV Inc.	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Capital Trust III	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Capital Trust IV	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Capital Trust V	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Capital Trust VIII	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Community Investments LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Impact Mezzanine GP LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Impact SBIC LP	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Credit Products Ltd.	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Darica Funding, LLC	Delaware
Ascension Loan Vehicle, LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Equity Funding, Inc.	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Dean Witter International Incorporated	Delaware
Morgan Stanley (DWRBBS) Limited	United Kingdom
Morgan Stanley Derivative Products Inc.	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Durango LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Amba Alagi LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Emerging Markets Inc.	Delaware
Inter Capital Alliance Asset Management Co., Ltd.	Thailand
Inter Capital Alliance Holding Limited	Thailand
MS China 3 Limited	Cayman Islands
MS China 5 Limited	Cayman Islands
MSPI Hong Kong 1 Limited	Hong Kong
Philippine Asset Investment (SPV-AMC), Inc.	The Philippines
Morgan Stanley Equity Services Inc.	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Europa LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Himalia Cayman Limited	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Sinope Cayman Limited	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Adrastea Netherlands B.V.	The Netherlands
Morgan Stanley IO Cayman Limited	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Iocaste Cayman Limited	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Pasiphae Netherlands B.V.	The Netherlands
Morgan Stanley Luxembourg Holdings S.a r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Europe Holdings S.a r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Global Holdings S.a r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Luxembourg Holdings II S.a r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Luxembourg International Holdings S.a r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Metis (Gibraltar) Limited	Gibraltar
MS GT Investments Limited	United Kingdom
MS SK Investments Limited	United Kingdom
Morgan Stanley Fixed Income Ventures Inc.	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Principal Investments, Inc.	Delaware
MHC Co-Invest Genpar	Cayman Islands
MHC Co-Invest, LP	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Principal Investments Europe LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Principal Investments Netherlands BV	The Netherlands
MS China 11 Limited	Cayman Islands
MS China 8 Limited	Cayman Islands
MS China 4 Limited	Cayman Islands

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
—MSPI Mauritius 1 Limited	Mauritius
—Project Stone Holdings, LLC	Delaware
—Viatel Investor HoldCo LLC	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Strategic Investments, Inc.	Delaware
—Eaux Vives Water Inc.	Canada
—Morgan Stanley Fund Services Inc.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Fund Services (Bermuda) Ltd.	Bermuda
—Morgan Stanley Fund Services (Cayman) Ltd.	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Fund Services (Hong Kong) Limited	Hong Kong
—Morgan Stanley Fund Services (Ireland) Limited	Ireland
—Morgan Stanley Fund Services (UK) Limited	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Fund Services USA LLC	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Global Emerging Markets, Inc.	Delaware
—MSGEM, LLC	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Global Funding Trust	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Hedging Co. Ltd.	Cayman Islands
—Pylon Holding Limited	Cyprus
—Morgan Stanley International Holdings Inc.	Delaware
—Archimedes Investments Cooperatieve U.A.	The Netherlands
—Morgan Stanley B.V.	The Netherlands
—European Principal Assets Limited	United Kingdom
—Limited Liability Company Morgan Stanley Ukraine	Ukraine
—Morgan Stanley (Israel) Limited	Israel
—Morgan Stanley (Thailand) Limited	Thailand
—Morgan Stanley AB	Sweden
—Morgan Stanley Advantage Services Pvt. Ltd.	India
—Morgan Stanley Asia Holdings I Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Asia Holdings Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley (Hong Kong) Holdings Limited	Hong Kong
—Morgan Stanley Asia Regional (Holdings) IV Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Hong Kong 1238 Limited	Hong Kong
—Limited Liability Company Rinocenter	Russian Federation
—Morgan Stanley Hong Kong Limited	Hong Kong
—Morgan Stanley Asia International Limited	Hong Kong
—Morgan Stanley Asia Securities Products LLC	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Asia (Taiwan) Ltd.	Republic of China
—Morgan Stanley Asia Limited	Hong Kong
—Morgan Stanley Asia Products Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Hong Kong Securities Limited	Hong Kong
—Morgan Stanley Swallow Limited	United Kingdom
—Hampshire Trading B.V.	The Netherlands
—Lancashire Trading B.V.	The Netherlands
—Wiltshire Trading B.V.	The Netherlands
—Morgan Stanley Funding Limited	Jersey, Channel Is.
—Yorkshire Trading B.V.	The Netherlands
—Volmar Holdings Limited	Cyprus
—Morgan Stanley Hong Kong 1239 Limited	Hong Kong
—Morgan Stanley Information Technology (Shanghai) Limited	People's Rep. of China
—Morgan Stanley Services Pty Limited	Australia
—Morgan Stanley Asia Regional (Holdings) III LLC	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley (Singapore) Holdings Pte. Ltd.	Singapore
—Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Pte.	Singapore
—Morgan Stanley Asia (Singapore) Securities Pte Ltd	Singapore
—Morgan Stanley Capital Group (Singapore) Pte.	Singapore
—Morgan Stanley Gateway Securities JSC	Vietnam
—Morgan Stanley Investment Management Company	Singapore
—Morgan Stanley Labuan Investment Bank Limited	Malaysia
—Morgan Stanley Singapore Pte. Ltd.	Singapore

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
—Morgan Stanley Dean Witter Japan Group, Ltd.	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Investment Holdings Jersey Limited	Jersey, Channel Is.
—Norfolk Trading B.V.	The Netherlands
—MSDW-JL Holdings II Limited	Cayman Islands
—MS Remora Ltd.	Cayman Islands
—MSJL Holdings 4682 Limited	Cayman Islands
—MSJL Holdings Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Japan Holdings Co., Ltd.	Japan
—Jipang Mortgage Finance Co., Ltd.	Japan
—Morgan Stanley Capital Group Japan Co., Ltd.	Japan
—Morgan Stanley Capital K.K.	Japan
—Morgan Stanley Credit Products Japan Co., Ltd.	Japan
—TM, Limited	Japan
—Morgan Stanley Investment Management (Japan) Co., Ltd.	Japan
—Morgan Stanley Japan Business Group Co., Ltd.	Japan
—Morgan Stanley Japan Group Co., Ltd.	Japan
—Morgan Stanley MUFG Securities Co., Ltd.	Japan
—MS Japan REIT Holding KK	Japan
—MS CYM Preferred Ltd.	Cayman Islands
—MSJS Preferred YK	Japan
—MSDW Birkdale Limited	Cayman Islands
—MSDW Muirfield Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Asia Pacific Services Limited	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Asset Management S.A.	Luxembourg
—Morgan Stanley Australia Finance Pty Limited	Australia
—Morgan Stanley Bank AG	Germany
—Morgan Stanley Business Consulting (Shanghai) Limited	People's Rep. of China
—Morgan Stanley Canada Limited	Canada
—Morgan Stanley Capital (Luxembourg) S.A.	Luxembourg
—Morgan Stanley Capital, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico
—Morgan Stanley Commodities Trading Hong Kong Holdings Limited	Hong Kong
—Morgan Stanley Commodities Trading (China) Limited	People's Rep. of China
—Morgan Stanley Hungary Analytics Limited	Hungary
—Morgan Stanley International Limited	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Group (Europe)	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Angel Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Bramley Investments Limited	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Finance (C.I.) Limited	Jersey, Channel Is.
—Morgan Stanley Strategic Funding Limited	United Kingdom
—OOO Morgan Stanley Bank	Russian Federation
—V2 Music (Holdings) Limited (In Members' Voluntary liquidation)	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley UK Group	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Amalthea UK Limited	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Investments (UK)	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc	United Kingdom
—Cabot 38 Limited	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley (France) SAS	France
—Morgan Stanley Client Securities Nominees Limited	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Equity Finance (Denmark) ApS	Denmark
—Morgan Stanley Equity Financing Services (Sweden) AB	Sweden
—Morgan Stanley Havel GmbH	Germany
—Morgan Stanley Mosel GmbH	Germany
—Morgan Stanley Humboldt Investments Limited	United Kingdom
—Clearcreek, S.L.U.	Spain
—Morgan Stanley Kochi Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Waterloo Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Langton Limited	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Equity Investments (Luxembourg)	Ireland

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
Morgan Stanley Global Services Mauritius	Mauritius
Morgan Stanley India Capital Private Limited	India
Morgan Stanley India Primary Dealer Private Limited	India
Morgan Stanley India Securities Private Limited	India
Morgan Stanley India Company Private Limited	India
Morgan Stanley India Financial Services Private Limited	India
Morgan Stanley India Services Private Limited	India
Morgan Stanley Investment Management Private Limited	India
Morgan Stanley Properties India Real Estate Management Private Limited	India
Morgan Stanley Solutions India Private Limited	India
Morgan Stanley Menkul Degerler A.S.	Turkey
Morgan Stanley México, Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico
Morgan Stanley Middle East Inc.	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Saudi Arabia	Saudi Arabia
Morgan Stanley Pacific Limited	Hong Kong
Morgan Stanley (China) Private Equity Investment Management Co., Ltd.	People's Rep. of China
Hangzhou Haergai Investment Consultancy Partnership Entity (Limited Partnership)	People's Rep. of China
Hangzhou Morgan Stanley Investment Consulting Partnership Enterprise, L.P.	People's Rep. of China
Morgan Stanley Investment Consultancy (Beijing) Company Limited	People's Rep. of China
Morgan Stanley Pacific Services Limited	United Kingdom
Morgan Stanley Poggio Secco Limited	Cayman Islands
Alpino Investments Limited	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Clare S.a r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley San Donato S.a r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Syrah Two Limited	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Donegan Limited	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Private Equity Asia Limited	Hong Kong
Morgan Stanley Private Equity Management Korea, Ltd.	Republic of Korea
Morgan Stanley Real Estate Investment GmbH	Germany
Morgan Stanley South Africa (Proprietary) Limited	South Africa
Morgan Stanley Spanish Holdings S.L.U	Spain
Morgan Stanley S.V., S.A.U.	Spain
Morgan Stanley Trading Beteiligungs-GmbH	Germany
MS China 16 Limited	Cayman Islands
MS Equity Financing Services (Luxembourg) S.A.	Luxembourg
MS Gamma Holdings LLC	Delaware
MSAM/Kokusai (Cayman Islands), Inc.	Cayman Islands
MSAM/Kokusai II (Cayman Islands), Inc.	Cayman Islands
MSL Incorporated	Delaware
PT. Morgan Stanley Asia Indonesia	Indonesia
PT. Morgan Stanley Indonesia	Indonesia
Morgan Stanley International Incorporated	Delaware
Morgan Stanley (Australia) Securities Holdings Pty Limited	Australia
Morgan Stanley Australia Securities Limited	Australia
Morgan Stanley Australia Securities (Nominee) Pty Limited	Australia
Morgan Stanley Australia Limited	Australia
Morgan Stanley International Finance S. A.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Capital Holdings	United Kingdom
Morgan Stanley UK Financing I LP	United Kingdom
Morgan Stanley Luxembourg Financing I S.a r.l	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley International Insurance Ltd.	Bermuda
Peconic Indemnity Company	Arizona
Morgan Stanley Latin America Incorporated	Delaware
Banco Morgan Stanley S.A.	Brazil
Morgan Stanley Administradora de Carteiros S.A.	Brazil
Morgan Stanley Corretora de Titulos e Valores Mobiliarios S.A.	Brazil
Morgan Stanley Uruguay Ltda.	Brazil

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
└─Morgan Stanley Uruguay Ltda.	Uruguay
└─Morgan Stanley Luxembourg Financing II S.a r.l	Luxembourg
└─Morgan Stanley Reinsurance Ltd.	Bermuda
└─MSDW Investment Holdings (US) LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley UK Trader	United Kingdom
└─Morgan Stanley Corporate Trader	United Kingdom
└─Morgan Stanley Weser GmbH	Germany
└─MSDW Investment Holdings (UK) Limited	United Kingdom
└─MSDW Investments (Cayman) Limited	Cayman Islands
└─MSDWIH Limited	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley AIP Funding Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Alternative Investments LLC	Delaware
└─AIP Asia-SMA GP LP	Delaware
└─AIP Brickyard GP LP	Delaware
└─AIP Gateway II GP LP	Delaware
└─AIP Global Diversified VI GP LP	Delaware
└─AIP Global Impact I GP LP	Delaware
└─AIP Global Income I GP LP	Delaware
└─AIP Mbar SLP LP	Delaware
└─AIP MPI Partners GP LP	Delaware
└─AIP Phoenix II GP LP	Delaware
└─Flint Capital Partners GP LP	Delaware
└─Flint Capital Partners SLP Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─GPF Private Equity GP LP	Delaware
└─GTB Capital Partners GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley AIP (Cayman) GP Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley (International) GP Limited	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley AIP Falconer 2010 GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley AIP GP LP	Delaware
└─AIP OPPORTUNISTIC SECONDARIES I SLP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Alternative Investment Partners LP	Delaware
└─Liquid Markets Fund II SPV LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Premium Partners Fund SPV LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Private Equity Opportunities Fund 2006 LP	Delaware
└─Tactical II SLP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley AIP Phoenix 2009 GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley EPMF I GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley GDOF GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley GSOF GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley GSOF SLP Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley GSOF II GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley PMF III GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Private Markets Fund Employee Investors III LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley PMF IV GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley PMF IV SLP Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley PMF V GP LP	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley PMF V SLP Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley SCRSIC Strategic Partnership Fund GP Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Distribution, Inc	Pennsylvania
└─Morgan Stanley Fixed Income GP Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Seed Holdings, Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley Services Company Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Leveraged Equity Fund II, Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Leveraged Equity Holdings Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Life Holding Incorporated	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Mayak Limited	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley Mortgage Capital Holdings LLC	New York
└─Morgan Stanley Asset Capital Inc.	Delaware

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
└─ Saxon Capital, Inc.	Maryland
└─ Saxon Asset Securities Company	Virginia
└─ Saxon Capital Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
└─ SCI Services, Inc.	Virginia
└─ Saxon Funding Management LLC	Delaware
└─ Saxon Securitized Assets LLC	Delaware
└─ Saxon Mortgage Services, Inc.	Texas
└─ Saxon Mortgage, Inc.	Virginia
└─ Morgan Stanley Municipal Funding Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Overseas Services (Jersey) Limited	Jersey, Channel Is.
└─ Morgan Stanley Portfolio Management LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Principal Funding, Inc.	Delaware
└─ SPV Columbus Srl (in liquidazione)	Italy
└─ Morgan Stanley Private Equity Asia, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Private Equity Asia, LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate Advisor, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREA Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREA Holdings, LLC	Delaware
└─ MSREA LL Holdings, LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate F Funding, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate F Funding Partner, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate F International Funding, L.P.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate Funding II, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate Funding II, L.P.	Delaware
└─ MS Moon Holdings LLC	Delaware
└─ Crescent Real Estate Capital GP, LLC	Delaware
└─ Crescent Real Estate Capital, L.P.	Delaware
└─ One Village Place LLC	Delaware
└─ DBFLA Services LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate Investment Management II, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREF II-CO, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate Investment Management Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Realty Incorporated	Delaware
└─ Brooks Harvey & Co., Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Properties, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Properties France SAS	France
└─ Morgan Stanley Properties Germany GmbH	Germany
└─ MSP China Holdings Limited	Cayman Islands
└─ Morgan Stanley Properties (China) Co., Ltd	People's Rep. of China
└─ Morgan Stanley Properties Advisory Corp. Limited	Cayman Islands
└─ Beijing Kaili Assets Servicing Co., Ltd	People's Rep. of China
└─ Tokyo Realty Investment Company II	Cayman Islands
└─ Morgan Stanley Renewables Inc.	Delaware
└─ Carson Solar I LLC	Delaware
└─ MF Mesa Lane LLC	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Renewable Development Fund LLC	Delaware
└─ NaturEnerg USA, LLC	Delaware
└─ NaturEnerg Energy Canada Inc.	Canada
└─ NaturEnerg Alberta Wind Holdings 1 Inc.	Canada
└─ NaturEnerg Alberta Wind Holdings 2 Inc.	Canada
└─ NaturEnerg Wild Rose 1 Energy Inc.	Canada
└─ NaturEnerg Wild Rose 2 Energy Inc.	Canada
└─ NaturEnerg Prairie Home 1 Energy Inc.	Canada
└─ NaturEnerg Prairie Home 2 Energy Inc.	Canada
└─ NaturEnerg Wild Rose 3 Energy Inc.	Canada
└─ NaturEnerg Golden Prairie Wind Energy, LLC	Delaware
└─ NaturEnerg Meadow Lark Wind Energy, LLC	Delaware

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
└─NaturEner Montana Wind Energy 2 LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Glacier Wind Energy 2, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Montana Wind Holding, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Glacier Financing, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Montana Wind Energy, LLC	Delaware
└─NATURENER GLACIER WIND ENERGY 1, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Power Watch, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Rim Rock Financing, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Montana Wind Rim Rock, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Rim Rock Wind Energy, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Tie Line, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Wind Watch, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Operations, LLC	Delaware
└─NaturEner Red Creek Wind Energy, LLC	Delaware
└─Third Planet Windpower, LLC	Delaware
└─Loraine Windpark Project, LLC	Delaware
└─Third Planet Renewables, LLC	Delaware
└─TPW Madison, LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Wind LLC	Delaware
└─MS Greenrock Holdings Inc.	Delaware
└─MS Wind II LLC	Delaware
└─Wind Joint Venture LLC	Delaware
└─A4 Wind 1 LLC	Delaware
└─A4 Wind 2 LLC	Delaware
└─Gear Wind LLC	Delaware
└─Solar Star California III, LLC	Delaware
└─Solar Star California IX, LLC	Delaware
└─Solar Star California V, LLC	Delaware
└─Solar Star California VI, LLC	Delaware
└─Solar Star WMT I, LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Risk Services LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley SECAP Funding, LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Secured Financing LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc.	Delaware
└─Inversiones Sudamerica Uno Ltda	Chile
└─Morgan Stanley MSSF LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Senior Funding (Nova Scotia) Co.	Canada
└─MSSFG (SPV-AMC), Inc.	The Philippines
└─Tenedora Dalia, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico
└─Ventura Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
└─Ventura Holdings NJ, Inc.	Delaware
└─Ventura AC LLC	New Jersey
└─Ventura Property Management, LLC	Delaware
└─Ventura Ohio, LLC	Delaware
└─Ventura Utah, LLC	Delaware
└─Ventura Opportunities, LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Services Canada Holding Corp.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Services Canada Corp.	Canada
└─Morgan Stanley Special Situations Group Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Syrah One Limited	Cayman Islands
└─Morgan Stanley Tindur LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Snowdon Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Tower, LLC	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Venture Capital III, Inc.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Venture Partners III, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Venture Investors III, L.P.	Delaware
└─Morgan Stanley Venture Partners III, L.P.	Delaware
└─The Morgan Stanley Venture Partners Entrepreneur Fund, L.P.	Delaware
└─Morstan Development Company, Inc.	Delaware

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
—MS 10020, Inc.	Delaware
—MS Debt Opportunities Corp.	Delaware
—MS Equity Products (Luxembourg) S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
—Morgan Stanley Foreign Complex Trust	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Moselle S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
—Morgan Stanley Norton Investments Limited	United Kingdom
—Morgan Stanley Luxembourg Equity Holdings S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
—Morgan Stanley Kadarka Limited	Cayman Islands
—MS Hawk I LLC	Delaware
—MS Holdings Incorporated	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley ARS Funding Inc.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Capital Partners VI GP Inc.	Delaware
—MS Capital Partners VI GP LP	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Hedge Fund Partners Cayman Ltd.	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley HFP Investment Inc.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley IMDCP Funding, LLC	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Infrastructure Holdings Inc.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Infrastructure II Inc.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Infrastructure II GP LP	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Infrastructure Partners II Investors C GP	Luxembourg
—Morgan Stanley Infrastructure Inc.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Infrastructure GP LP	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Infrastructure SLP, LLC	Delaware
—CP Infrastructure SLP, L.P.	Cayman Islands
—MSIP Agatha Co-Investment Alternate GP, L.P.	Delaware
—MSIP Agatha Co-Investment GP Limited	Cayman Islands
—Morgan Stanley Merchant Banking Insurance Holdings, LLC	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Merchant Banking Insurance Company	Vermont
—Morgan Stanley Private Equity Asia III, Inc.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Private Equity Asia III, L.L.C.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Private Equity Asia IV, Inc.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Private Equity Asia IV, L.L.C.	Delaware
—MSPEA SLP IV, L.L.C.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Real Estate Securities Global Best Ideas GP Inc.	Delaware
—MS Alternatives Funding, Inc.	Delaware
—Morgan Stanley Capital Partners V Funding LP	Delaware
—MS Alternatives Funding Partner, Inc.	Delaware
—MS Alternatives Holding C Inc.	Delaware
—MS Alternatives Holding C (Cayman) Ltd.	Cayman Islands
—MS Alternatives Holding D Inc.	Delaware
—MS ARS Holding A Inc.	Delaware
—MS ARS Holding B Inc.	Delaware
—MS Capital Partners Adviser Inc.	Delaware
—MS Credit Partners GP Inc.	Delaware
—MS Credit Partners GP L.P.	Delaware
—MS Credit Partners Holdings Inc.	Delaware
—MS Credit Partners II GP Inc.	Delaware
—MS Credit Partners II GP L.P.	Delaware
—MS Energy Partners GP Inc.	Delaware
—MS Energy Partners GP LP	Cayman Islands
—MS Expansion Capital GP Inc.	Delaware
—MS Expansion Capital GP LP	Delaware
—MS Expansion Credit GP Inc.	Delaware
—MS Expansion Credit GP, L.P.	Delaware
—MSCP V GP Inc.	Delaware
—MS Capital Partners V GP L.P.	Cayman Islands
—MSCP V Offshore Investors GP Ltd.	Cayman Islands
—MS Capital Partners V LP	Delaware
—MSGFI Management Inc.	Delaware

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
MSIM GP Inc.	Delaware
Private Investment Partners Inc.	Delaware
Private Investment Partners GP Inc.	Delaware
TAM Investment Holdings Inc.	Delaware
MS Lion LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley Beta Investments Limited	United Kingdom
Morgan Stanley Gamma Investments	United Kingdom
Morgan Stanley Portland Investments Limited	United Kingdom
MS Low Income Housing Corporation	Delaware
BMC NAB Trust Investment Fund LLC	Delaware
Morgan Stanley New Markets, Inc.	Delaware
MS New Markets I LLC	Delaware
MS New Markets II LLC	Delaware
MS New Markets IV LLC	Delaware
MS New Markets IX LLC	Delaware
MS New Markets VIII LLC	Delaware
MS New Markets X LLC	Delaware
MS Georgia Tax Credit Fund III LLC	Delaware
Pinol II LLC	Delaware
Wiwili IV LLC	Delaware
Viento LLC	Delaware
MS Low Income Housing II Corporation	Delaware
CTH LIHTC Fund 97-3A, L.L.C.	Delaware
Multistate LIHTC Holdings II, L.P.	Delaware
MS Affordable Housing LLC	Delaware
MS GSP Legacy LLC	Delaware
MS Taishan Inc.	Delaware
MS Pegau LLC	Delaware
Millport I LLC	Delaware
Elderslie Holdings Limited	Cayman Islands
Ras Dashen Cayman Limited	Cayman Islands
Esporta Holdings Limited	Cayman Islands
Bayview Holding Ltd.	Cayman Islands
Esporta Limited	Cayman Islands
Esporta Financing Limited	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Eden Investments Limited	United Kingdom
Morgan Stanley UK Financing III LP	United Kingdom
MS Rosebank LLC	Delaware
Bondi Limited	Cayman Islands
Morgan Stanley Strand Limited	Cayman Islands
Cornelia Limited	Cayman Islands
MS Melville LLC	Delaware
MS Structured Asset Corp.	Delaware
MS Venture Capital Holding Inc.	Delaware
MSAM Holdings II, Inc.	Delaware
MSCP III Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
MSDW Capital Partners IV, Inc.	Delaware
MSDW Capital Partners IV LLC	Delaware
MSDW CPIV Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
MSDW International Employee Services LLC	Delaware
MSDW Nederland BV	The Netherlands
MSDW Offshore Equity Services Inc.	Delaware
FUNDLOGIC SAS	France
FundLogic Global Solutions (Ireland) Limited	Ireland
Morgan Stanley Alzette S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Euro Financing (Luxembourg)	Ireland
Morgan Stanley Eder S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Derivative Products Global S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
Morgan Stanley Equity Trading GP Limited	Jersey, Channel Is.

Company	Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Formation
└─ Morgan Stanley Global Equity Trading (Jersey) L.P.	Jersey, Channel Is.
└─ Morgan Stanley GFD Hedge Holdings II Limited	Cayman Islands
└─ Morgan Stanley GFD Proprietary Holdings Limited	Cayman Islands
└─ Morgan Stanley Global Fund Derivatives Hedge Holdings Luxembourg S.A.	Luxembourg
└─ Morgan Stanley Spad Investments S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
└─ Morgan Stanley Morane Investments S.a r.l.	Luxembourg
└─ Morgan Stanley Curtiss Investments S.a r.l.	Luxembourg
└─ MS Equity Finance Services I (Cayman) Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─ MSDW PE/VC Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSDW Real Estate Special Situations II, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSDW Real Estate Special Situations II Holdings, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSDW Real Estate Special Situations II Manager, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSRESS II GP Co-Investment Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─ MSDW Strategic Ventures Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSDW Venture Partners IV, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSDW Venture Partners IV, LLC	Delaware
└─ MSDW VP IV Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSEOF, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSEOF Management, LLC	Delaware
└─ MSEOF Manager S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
└─ MSIT Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSPEA Holdings, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREF II, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREF II, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF III, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREF III, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF IV, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREF IV, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF IV Domestic-GP, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF IV Domestic-LP, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF IV International-GP, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF IV International-LP, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF Real Estate Advisor, Inc.	Delaware
└─ Bolt REI B.V.	The Netherlands
└─ Morgan Stanley SGR S.p.A.	Italy
└─ MSREF VI, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VI International-LP, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VI, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VI International-GP, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ Morgan Stanley Real Estate Fund VI International-TE, L.P.	Delaware
└─ MSREI Post Co-Investment GP, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VII, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VII Global (Cayman) II, Ltd.	Cayman Islands
└─ MSREF VII Global-L.P., L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VII GP L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ CP Real Estate Fund VII SLP-A L.P.	Canada
└─ CP Real Estate Fund VII SLP-B L.P.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VII L.P.	Canada
└─ MSREF VII Global-GP (Cayman), L.P.	Cayman Islands
└─ MSREF VII Global-GP (U.S.), L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VII Global-GP Greenwich, L.P.	Canada
└─ MSREF VII Global-GP, L.P.	Canada
└─ MSREF VIII, Inc.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VIII GP, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VIII Global-GP, L.P.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VIII Global Co-Investment GP, L.P.	Delaware
└─ North Haven Real Estate Fund VIII Global Co-Investment, L.L.C.	Delaware
└─ MSREF VIII Global Co-Investment No. 1 GP, L.P.	Delaware
└─ MSREI SMA GP LLC	Delaware

INDEX OF DEFINED TERMS

\$ i	MSDWD	22
£ i	MSFL	1
€ i	MSFL Registration Document	1
AML	MSI	62
Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive	MSI plc	1
Banking Act	MSI plc Audit Committee	63
Basel Committee	MSI plc Group	62
BHC Act	MSI plc Registration Document	1
BlackRock	MSMS	33
BRRD	MSPBNA	5
CAAG	MSSB LLC	27
CDIB	MUFG	11
CDOs	MUMSS	35
CDS	NFA	29
CFTC	NYAG	41
Civil Division	OCC	5
covered entities	OFAC	10
covered QFCs	OTC	5
CRA Regulation	PCA	27
CSSF	PRA	30
DBRS	Prospectus Directive	1
Dean Witter Discover	Protocol	27
Dodd-Frank Act	R&I	20
DVA	Registration Document	1
E.U.	Regulated Subsidiaries	63
EC	relevant financial institution	12
EEA	relevant U.K. Regulatory Authority	12
EUR	Required Capital	54
euro	Responsible Person	1
FCA	RMBS	40
FDIC	S&P	5
Federal Reserve	SDNY	45
FGIC	SEC	7
Final Terms	SIV	40
FINRA	SO	48
Fitch	SPOE	6
FVA	State Street	35
GBP Ordinary Shares	Sterling	i
G-SIBs	Supreme Court of NY	42
IFRS	Swaps	29
ILAG	Swaps Entities	29
ISDA	T. Rowe Price	35
Joint Venture	TLAC	7
LCR	total loss-absorbing capacity	7
Markit	U.K.	11
Member States	U.S.	i
Moody's	U.S. Bank Subsidiaries	5
Morgan Stanley	U.S. Basel III	24
Morgan Stanley Group	U.S. dollars	i
Morgan Stanley Registration Document	U.S.\$	i
MS&Co.	USD Class A Non-Voting Ordinary Shares	66
MSBNA	USD Ordinary Shares	66
MSBV	Volcker Rule	6
MSBV Registration Document		

**PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES OF
MORGAN STANLEY**

1585 Broadway
New York, New York 10036
U.S.
Tel: +1 (212) 761 4000

**REGISTERED OFFICE OF MORGAN
STANLEY IN DELAWARE**

The Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
U.S.

REGISTERED OFFICE OF MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC

25 Cabot Square
Canary Wharf
London E14 4QA
United Kingdom

REGISTERED OFFICE OF MORGAN STANLEY B.V.

Luna Arena
Herikerbergweg 238
1101 CM Amsterdam Zuidoost
The Netherlands
Tel: +31 20 57 55 600

**PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES OF
MORGAN STANLEY FINANCE LLC**

1585 Broadway
New York, New York 10036
U.S.
Tel: +1 (212) 761 4000

**REGISTERED OFFICE OF MORGAN
STANLEY FINANCE LLC IN DELAWARE**

The Corporation Trust Center
1209 Orange Street
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
U.S.

**LEGAL ADVISORS TO MORGAN STANLEY, MORGAN STANLEY & CO.
INTERNATIONAL PLC, MORGAN STANLEY B.V. AND MORGAN STANLEY FINANCE LLC**

As to English law:
Clifford Chance LLP
10 Upper Bank Street
Canary Wharf
London E14 5JJ
United Kingdom

As to Dutch law:
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP
Strawinskylaan 10
1077 XZ Amsterdam
PO Box 75299
1070 AG Amsterdam
The Netherlands

AUDITORS OF MORGAN STANLEY

Deloitte & Touche LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York
NY 10112
U.S.

AUDITORS OF MORGAN STANLEY & CO. INTERNATIONAL PLC

Deloitte LLP
2 New Street Square
London EC4A 3BZ
United Kingdom

AUDITORS OF MORGAN STANLEY B.V.

Deloitte Accountants B.V.
Gustav Mahlerlaan 2970
1081 LA Amsterdam
P.O. Box 58110
1040 HC Amsterdam
The Netherlands

AUDITORS OF MORGAN STANLEY FINANCE LLC

Deloitte & Touche LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York
NY 10112
U.S.