Registered number: 35857

Registered office: 13 Castle Street St Helier Jersey JE4 5UT

MORGAN STANLEY (JERSEY) LIMITED

Interim financial report

30 June 2013

CONTENTS	PAGE
Interim management report	1
Directors' responsibility statement	6
Independent review report to Morgan Stanley (Jersey) Limited	7
Condensed statement of comprehensive income	8
Condensed statement of changes in equity	9
Condensed statement of financial position	10
Condensed statement of cash flows	11
Notes to the condensed financial statements	12

INTERIM MANAGEMENT REPORT

The Directors present their interim management report, Directors' responsibility statement and the condensed financial statements of Morgan Stanley (Jersey) Limited (the "Company") for the six months ended 30 June 2013.

RESULTS AND DIVIDENDS

The result for the six months ended 30 June 2013, after tax, was \$nil (30 June 2012: \$nil after tax).

During the six months ended 30 June 2013 no dividends were paid or proposed (30 June 2012: \$nil).

PRINCIPAL ACTIVITY

The principal activity of the Company is the issuance of financial instruments and the hedging of the obligations arising pursuant to such issuances.

The Company's ultimate parent undertaking and controlling entity is Morgan Stanley, which, together with the Company and Morgan Stanley's other subsidiary undertakings, form the "Morgan Stanley Group".

There have not been any significant changes in the Company's principal activity in the period under review and no significant change in the Company's principal activity is expected.

BUSINESS REVIEW

During the six month period ended 30 June 2013, market and economic conditions improved modestly from 2012 year end. The United States ("US") economy continued to grow moderately despite payroll and income tax increases that were implemented in January 2013. Europe remained in recession, but market strains associated with the European financial crisis continued to ease after temporary concerns that were raised by election results in Italy and developments in Cyprus subsided. Despite these improvements, global market and economic conditions continued to be challenged by investor concerns about the scaling back of the US monetary policy, the remaining European sovereign debt issues, the need to raise the US federal debt ceiling and reduce government spending, and slowing economic growth in emerging markets.

At 30 June 2013, major European equity market indices were higher compared with the beginning of the year, primarily due to investors' optimism about Europe's progress in addressing its sovereign debt issues. In the Euro area, the unemployment rate increased to a record 12.1% in June 2013 from 11.7% at 2012 year end. At 30 June 2013, the Bank of England's benchmark interest rate was 0.5%, which was unchanged from 31 December 2012. To stimulate economic activity in Europe, in early May 2013 the European Central Bank lowered the benchmark interest rate from 0.75% to 0.5% and indicated it will keep open its special liquidity facilities until at least the middle of 2014. Euro area manufacturing expanded in July 2013 for the first time in two years, led by Germany, signalling the Euro zone economy is emerging from recession.

The condensed statement of comprehensive income is set out on page 8. The result for the six months ended 30 June 2013 is \$nil which is consistent with the Company's function and the six months ended 30 June 2012.

The condensed statement of financial position is set out on page 10. Total assets and total liabilities at 30 June 2013 were \$437,658,000 and \$437,202,000 respectively, both of which have decreased by \$176,439,000 or 29% from 31 December 2012. The decrease in total assets and total liabilities from 31 December 2012 is primarily due to a decrease in the value of issued structured notes, classified in financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss, and the related hedging instruments, classified in financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss. This is primarily as a result of issued structured notes reaching contractual maturity and fair value movements.

The risk management section below sets out the Company's and the Morgan Stanley Group's policies for the management of liquidity and cash flow risk and other significant business risks.

INTERIM MANAGEMENT REPORT

BUSINESS REVIEW (CONTINUED)

Risk management

Risk is an inherent part of both Morgan Stanley's and the Company's business activity and is managed within the context of the broader Morgan Stanley Group's business activities. The Morgan Stanley Group seeks to identify, assess, monitor and manage each of the various types of risk involved in its activities on a global basis, in accordance with defined policies and procedures and in consideration of the individual legal entities. The Company's own risk management policies and procedures are consistent with those of the Morgan Stanley Group. Note 4 to the condensed financial statements provides qualitative and quantitative disclosures about the Company's management of and exposure to financial risks.

Market risk

Market risk refers to the risk that a change in the level of one or more market prices, rates, indices, implied volatilities (the price volatility of the underlying instrument imputed from option prices), correlations or other market factors, such as liquidity, will result in losses for a position or portfolio.

The Morgan Stanley Group manages the market risk associated with its trading activities on a global basis, at both a trading division and an individual product level, which includes consideration of market risk for each individual legal entity.

It is the policy and objective of the Company not to be exposed to market risk.

Credit risk

Credit risk refers to the risk of loss arising when a borrower, counterparty or issuer does not meet its obligations.

The Morgan Stanley Group manages credit risk exposure on a global consolidated basis as well as giving consideration to individual legal entities. It does this by ensuring transparency of material credit risks, ensuring compliance with established limits, approving material extensions of credit, escalating risk concentrations to appropriate senior management and mitigating credit risk through the use of collateral and other arrangements.

Liquidity and capital resources

Liquidity and funding risk refers to the risk that the Company will be unable to meet its funding obligations in a timely manner. Liquidity risk stems from the potential risk that the Company will be unable to obtain necessary funding through borrowing money at favourable interest rates or maturity terms, or selling assets in a timely manner and at a reasonable price.

Morgan Stanley continues to actively manage its capital and liquidity position to ensure adequate resources are available to support the activities of the Morgan Stanley Group, including the Company, to enable the Morgan Stanley Group to withstand market stresses, and to meet regulatory stress testing requirements proposed by regulators globally.

At 30 June 2013, the Morgan Stanley Group maintained sufficient liquidity to meet current and contingent funding obligations as modelled in its Liquidity Stress Tests.

Operational risk

Operational risk refers to the risk of financial or other loss, or potential damage to the Company's or the Morgan Stanley Group's reputation, resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, resources and systems or from other external events (e.g. fraud, legal and compliance risks, damage to physical assets, etc.). Legal, regulatory and compliance risk is included in the scope of operational risk and is discussed below under "Legal, regulatory and compliance risk".

INTERIM MANAGEMENT REPORT

BUSINESS REVIEW (CONTINUED)

Risk management

The Company's business is highly dependent on the ability to process, on a daily basis, a large number of transactions across numerous and diverse markets in many currencies. In general, the transactions processed are increasingly complex. The Company relies on the ability of the Morgan Stanley Group's employees, its internal systems, and systems at technology centres operated by unaffiliated third parties to process a high volume of transactions.

The Company also faces the risk of operational failure or termination of any of the clearing agents, exchanges, clearing houses or other financial intermediaries it uses to facilitate securities transactions. In the event of a breakdown or improper operation of the Company's or a third party's systems or improper or unauthorised action by third parties or the Morgan Stanley Group's employees, the Company could suffer financial loss, an impairment to its liquidity, a disruption of its businesses, regulatory sanctions or damage to its reputation.

The Company's operations rely on the secure processing, storage and transmission of confidential and other information in its computer systems. Like other financial services firms, we have been and continue to be subject to unauthorised access, mishandling or misuse, computer viruses and other events. Events such as these could have a security impact on the Company's systems and jeopardise the Company's or the Company's clients' or counterparties' personal, confidential, proprietary or other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, the Company's computer systems. Furthermore, such events could cause interruptions or malfunctions in the Company's, the Company's clients', the Company's counterparties' or third parties' operations, which could result in reputational damage, litigation or regulatory fines or penalties not covered by insurance maintained by the Company, or adversely affect the business, financial condition or results of operations.

The Morgan Stanley Group has established an operational risk management process that operates on a global and regional basis to identify, measure, monitor and control risk. Effective operational risk management is essential to reducing the impact of operational risk incidents and mitigating legal, regulatory, and reputational risks.

Legal and regulatory risk

Legal risk includes the risk of exposure to fines, penalties, judgements, damages and/ or settlements in connection with regulatory or legal actions as a result of non-compliance with applicable legal or regulatory requirements and standards or litigation. Legal risk also includes contractual and commercial risk such as the risk that a counterparty's performance obligations will be unenforceable. The Morgan Stanley Group is generally subject to extensive regulation in the different jurisdictions in which it conducts its business. In the current environment of rapid and possibly transformational regulatory change, the Morgan Stanley Group also views regulatory change as a component of legal risk.

The Morgan Stanley Group has established procedures based on legal and regulatory requirements on a worldwide basis that are designed to foster compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. The Morgan Stanley Group, principally through the Legal and Compliance Division, also has established procedures that are designed to require that the Morgan Stanley Group's policies relating to business conduct, ethics and practices are followed globally. In connection with its businesses, the Morgan Stanley Group has and continuously develops various procedures addressing issues such as regulatory capital requirements, sales and trading practices, new products, information barriers, potential conflicts of interest, structured transactions, use and safekeeping of customer funds and securities, lending and credit granting, anti-money laundering, privacy and recordkeeping. In addition, the Morgan Stanley Group has established procedures to mitigate the risk that a counterparty's performance obligations will be unenforceable, including consideration of counterparty legal authority and capacity, adequacy of legal documentation, the permissibility of a transaction under applicable law and whether applicable bankruptcy or insolvency laws limit or alter contractual remedies. The legal and regulatory focus on the financial services industry presents a continuing business challenge for the Morgan Stanley Group.

INTERIM MANAGEMENT REPORT

BUSINESS REVIEW (CONTINUED)

Risk management (continued)

Significant changes in the way that major financial services institutions are regulated are occurring in the United Kingdom ("UK"), Europe, the US and worldwide. The reforms being discussed and, in some cases, already implemented, include several that contemplate comprehensive restructuring of the regulation of the financial services industry. Such measures will likely lead to stricter regulation of financial institutions generally, and heightened prudential requirements for systemically important firms in particular. Such measures could include reforms of the over-the-counter ("OTC") derivatives markets, such as mandated exchange trading and clearing, position limits, margin, capital and registration requirements. Changes in tax legislation in the UK and worldwide, such as taxation of financial transactions, liabilities and employees compensation, are also possible.

Going concern

Business risks associated with the uncertain market and economic conditions are being monitored and managed by the Morgan Stanley Group and the Company. Retaining sufficient liquidity and capital to withstand these market pressures remains central to the Morgan Stanley Group's and the Company's strategy. In particular, the Morgan Stanley Group's capital is deemed sufficient to exceed the minimum capital ratio under the most negative stressed scenario reviewed by the US Federal Reserve. The Morgan Stanley Group regularly performs stress testing to ensure it has sufficient resources at its disposal to absorb losses associated with certain stressed scenarios.

Taking all of these factors into consideration, the Directors believe it is reasonable to assume that the Company will have access to adequate resources to continue in operational existence for the foreseeable future. Accordingly they continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the interim management report and condensed financial statements.

DIRECTORS

The following Directors held office throughout the period and to the date of approval of this report (except where otherwise shown):

E Alby	Director	(resigned 5 March 2013)
H Herrmann	Director	
C Schmuck	Director	(appointed 18 March 2013)
F Chesnay	Alternate Director	(resigned 1 June 2013)
G Essex-Cater	Alternate Director	
H Grant	Alternate Director	
S Hopkins	Alternate Director	(appointed 1 June 2013)
C Ruark	Alternate Director	(appointed 1 June 2013)
S Vardon	Alternate Director	(resigned 1 June 2013)
Sanne Secretaries Limited	Company Secretary	(effective from 1 June 2013)

INTERIM MANAGEMENT REPORT

EVENTS AFTER THE REPORTING DATE

There have been no significant events since the reporting date.

Approved by the Board and signed on its behalf by

Herenare Director 28.08.13

DIRECTORS' RESPONSIBILITY STATEMENT

The Directors, the names of whom are set out below, confirm that to the best of their knowledge:

- (a) the condensed set of financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard ("IAS") 34 'Interim financial reporting' ("IAS 34") as adopted by the European Union ("EU"), give a true and fair view of the assets, liabilities, financial position and result of the Company; and
- (b) the interim management report includes a fair review of the information required by rule 4.2.7R of the Disclosure and Transparency Rules, being an indication of the important events that have occurred during the six months ended 30 June 2013 and their impact on the condensed set of financial statements, and a description of the principal risks and uncertainties for the remaining six months of the financial year.

Approved by the Board and signed on its behalf by

Alrenave

Board of Directors

telleat

H Herrmann

C Schmuck

G Essex-Cater

H Grant

S Hopkins

28.08.13.

Director

Director

Alternate Director

Alternate Director

Alternate Director

Alternate Director

INDEPENDENT REVIEW REPORT TO MORGAN STANLEY (JERSEY) LIMITED

We have been engaged by the Company to review the condensed set of financial statements in the interim financial report for the six months ended 30 June 2013 which comprise the condensed statement of comprehensive income, the condensed statement of changes in equity, the condensed statement of financial position, the condensed statement of cash flows and the related notes 1 to 7. We have read the other information contained in the interim management report and considered whether it contains any apparent misstatements or material inconsistencies with the information in the condensed set of financial statements.

This report is made solely to the Company in accordance with International Standard on Review Engagements (UK and Ireland) 2410 "Review of Interim Financial Information Performed by Independent Auditor of the Entity" issued by the Auditing Practices Board. Our work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Company those matters we are required to state to them in an independent review report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Company, for our review work, for this report, or for the conclusions we have formed.

Directors' responsibilities

This interim financial report is the responsibility of, and has been approved by, the Directors. The Directors are responsible for preparing the interim financial report in accordance with the Disclosure and Transparency Rules of the United Kingdom's Financial Conduct Authority.

As disclosed in note 1, the annual financial statements of the Company are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRSs") as adopted by the EU. The condensed set of financial statements included in this interim financial report has been prepared in accordance with IAS 34 "Interim Financial Reporting" ("IAS 34"), as adopted by the EU.

Our responsibility

Our responsibility is to express to the Company a conclusion on the condensed set of financial statements in the interim financial report based on our review.

Scope of review

We conducted our review in accordance with International Standard on Review Engagements (UK and Ireland) 2410, "Review of Interim Financial Information Performed by the Independent Auditor of the Entity", issued by the Auditing Practices Board for use in the United Kingdom. A review of interim financial information consists of making enquiries, primarily of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters, and applying analytical procedures and other review procedures. A review is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) and consequently does not enable us to obtain assurance that we would become aware of all significant matters that might be identified in an audit. Accordingly, we do not express any audit opinion.

Conclusion

Based on our review, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the condensed set of financial statements in the interim financial report for the six months ended 30 June 2013 is not prepared, in all material aspects, in accordance with IAS 34 as adopted by the EU and the Disclosure and Transparency Rules of the United Kingdom's Financial Conduct Authority.

Deloitte LLP

Chartered Accountants

St Helier, Jersey

28 August 2013

beloite Up

CONDENSED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME Six months ended 30 June 2013

	Six months ended 30 June 2013 \$'000 (unaudited)	Six months ended 30 June 2012 \$'000 (unaudited)
Net gains on financial instruments designated at fair value through profit or loss		-
Interest income Interest expense	41 (41)	43 (43)
RESULT BEFORE INCOME TAX	-	
Income tax expense	-	-
RESULT AND TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE PERIOD		

All operations were continuing in the current and prior period.

CONDENSED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY Six months ended 30 June 2013

	Stated capital \$'000	Retained earnings \$'000	Total equity \$'000
Balance at 1 January 2012 (audited)	14	442	456
Result and total comprehensive income for the period	-	-	-
Balance at 30 June 2012 (unaudited)	14	442	456
Balance at 1 January 2013 (audited)	14	442	456
Result and total comprehensive income for the period	-	-	-
Balance at 30 June 2013 (unaudited)	14	442	456

Registered number: 35857

CONDENSED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION As at 30 June 2013

ASSETS	Note	30 June 2013 \$'000 (unaudited)	31 December 2012 \$'000 (audited)
Loans and receivables:			
Cash and short-term deposits		12,080	12,025
Trade receivables		141	884
Other receivables	_	1,056	2,912
		13,277	15,821
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss	2 _	424,381	598,276
TOTAL ASSETS		437,658	614,097
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY Financial liabilities at amortised cost: Trade payables Other payables Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss TOTAL LIABILITIES	2 _	8,814 4,007 12,821 424,381 437,202	11,368 3,997 15,365 598,276 613,641
EQUITY			
Stated capital		14	14
Retained earnings	-	442	442
Equity attributable to the owners of the Company		456	456
TOTAL EQUITY	-	456	456
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	-	437,658	614,097

These condensed financial statements were approved by the Board and authorised for issue on: 38.08.13

Signed on behalf of the Board

Mtenewe Director

CONDENSED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS Six months ended 30 June 2013

	Six months ended 30 June 2013 \$'000 (unaudited)	Six months ended 30 June 2012 \$'000 (unaudited)
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Result for the period Adjustments for:	-	-
Interest income	(41)	(43)
Interest expense	41_	43
Operating cash flows before changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Changes in operating assets		
Decrease in loans and receivables, excluding cash and short-term deposits	2,640	1,658
Decrease in financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss	173,895	2,125
P	176,535	3,783
Changes in operating liabilities Decrease in financial liabilities at amortised cost Decrease in financial liabilities designated at fair value through	(2,585)	(1,658)
profit or loss	(173,895)	(2,125)
	(176,480)	(3,783)
NET CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	55	
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	55	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE PERIOD	12,025	138
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT THE END OF THE PERIOD	12,080	138

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION

Statement of compliance

The Company prepares its annual financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRSs") issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") as adopted by the EU, Interpretations issued by the IFRS Interpretations Committee and Companies (Jersey) Law 1991. The condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Disclosure and Transparency Rules of the Financial Conduct Authority and in accordance with IAS 34 as adopted by the EU.

Accounting policies

In preparing the condensed financial statements, the Company has applied consistently the accounting policies and methods of computation used in the Company's annual financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2012.

New standards and interpretations adopted during the period

The following standards and amendments to standards relevant to the Company's operations were adopted during the period. Except where otherwise stated, these standards and amendments to standards did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed financial statements.

An amendment to IAS 1 'Presentation of financial statements' ("IAS 1") was issued by the IASB in June 2011 for application in annual periods beginning on or after 1 July 2012. The revised standard was endorsed by the EU in June 2012.

An amendment to IFRS 7 'Financial instruments: Disclosures – offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities' was issued by the IASB in December 2011 for retrospective application in annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013 and interim periods within those annual periods. The amendment was endorsed by the EU in December 2012. The amendment requires disclosures regarding the Company's financial instruments that are either offset in the condensed statement of financial position or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, which are included in note 5.

IFRS 13 'Fair value measurement' ("IFRS 13") was issued by the IASB in May 2011 for prospective application in annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013 and was endorsed by the EU in December 2012. There was no material impact to the Company as a result of adopting the measurement requirements of IFRS 13. Additional disclosure required by IFRS 13 is included in note 6.

As part of the May 2012 Improvements to IFRSs, the IASB made amendments to the following standards that are relevant to the Company's operations: IAS 1, IAS 32 *'Financial instruments: Presentation'* and IAS 34 (for application in accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2013). The improvements were endorsed by the EU in March 2013.

There were no other standards or interpretations relevant to the Company's operations which were adopted during the period.

New standards and interpretations not yet adopted

As at the date of authorisation of these condensed financial statements, the following standards and amendments to standards relevant to the Company's operations were issued by the IASB but not yet mandatory. Except where otherwise stated, the Company does not expect that the adoption of the following standards and amendments to standards will have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

An amendment to IAS 32 'Financial instruments: Presentation – offsetting financial instruments' was issued by the IASB in December 2011, for retrospective application in annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2014. The amendment was endorsed by the EU in December 2012.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION (CONTINUED)

New standards and interpretations not yet adopted (continued)

IFRS 9 'Financial instruments' was issued by the IASB in November 2009 for retrospective application in annual periods beginning on or after 1 January 2015. Although there are expected to be significant changes to the presentation of financial instruments by the Company, there is not expected to be a significant impact on net assets.

Use of estimates and sources of uncertainty

The preparation of the Company's condensed financial statements require management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions regarding the valuation of certain financial instruments, impairment of assets and other matters that affect the condensed financial statements and related disclosures. The Company believes that the estimates utilised in preparing the condensed financial statements are reasonable, relevant and reliable. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

2. FINANCIAL ASSETS AND FINANCIAL LIABILITIES DESIGNATED AT FAIR VALUE THROUGH PROFIT OR LOSS

Financial instruments designated at fair value through profit or loss consist primarily of the following financial liabilities and financial assets:

Issued structured notes: These relate to financial liabilities which arise from selling structured products generally in the form of notes or warrants. These instruments contain an embedded derivative which significantly modifies the cash flows of the issuance. The return on the instrument is linked to an underlying that is not clearly and closely related to the debt host including, but not limited to, equity, credit or commodity-linked notes. The structured notes are designated at fair value as the risks to which the Company is a contractual party are risk managed on a fair value basis as part of the Company's trading portfolio and the risk is reported to key management personnel on this basis.

Prepaid equity securities contracts: These contracts involve derivatives for which an initial payment is paid at inception. These financial instruments are part of the hedging strategy for the obligations arising pursuant to the issuance of the structured notes. The prepaid equity securities contracts are designated at fair value as the risks to which the Company is a contractual party are managed on a fair value basis as part of the Company's trading portfolio and the risk is reported to key management personnel on this basis.

	30 June	2013	31 December 2012		
	Assets \$'000	Liabilities \$'000	Assets \$'000	Liabilities \$'000	
Issued structured notes	-	424,381	-	598,276	
Prepaid equity securities contracts	424,381		598,276		
	424,381	424,381	598,276	598,276	

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

2. FINANCIAL ASSETS AND FINANCIAL LIABILITIES DESIGNATED AT FAIR VALUE THROUGH PROFIT OR LOSS (CONTINUED)

The following table presents the change in fair value and the cumulative change recognised in the condensed statement of comprehensive income attributable to own credit risk for issued structured notes.

	Gain or (loss in the con statem comprehens	ndensed ent of	Cumulative gain or (loss recognised in the stateme of comprehensive incom		
	Six months ended 30 June 2013 \$'000	Six months ended 30 June 2012 \$'000	30 June 2013 \$'000	31 December 2012 \$'000	
Issued structured notes Prepaid equity securities contracts	(9,553) 9,553	(38,598) 38,598	11,250 (11,250)	20,803 (20,803)	

The changes in fair value attributable to own credit risk for issued structured notes are determined as the amount of change in fair value that is not attributable to changes in market conditions that give rise to market risk.

The carrying amount of financial liabilities designated at fair value was \$11,400,000 lower than the contractual amount due at maturity (31 December 2012: \$17,280,000 higher).

3. SEGMENT REPORTING

Segment information is presented in respect of the Company's business and geographical segments. The business segments and geographical segments are based on the Company's management and internal reporting structure.

Business segments

Morgan Stanley structures its business segments primarily based upon the nature of the financial products and services provided to customers and Morgan Stanley's internal management structure. The Company's own business segments are consistent with those of Morgan Stanley.

The Company has one reportable business segment, Institutional Securities, which provides financial services to financial institutions. The Company's business includes the issuance of financial instruments and the hedging of the obligations arising pursuant to such issuances.

Geographical segments

The Company operates in one geographic region, Europe.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

4. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk management procedures

Risk is an inherent part of both Morgan Stanley's and the Company's business activity and is managed by the Company within the context of the broader Morgan Stanley Group. The Morgan Stanley Group seeks to identify, assess, monitor and manage each of the various types of risk involved in its business activities in accordance with defined policies and procedures. The Company's own risk management policies and procedures are consistent with those of the Morgan Stanley Group.

The principal activity of the Company is the issuance of financial instruments and the hedging of the obligations arising pursuant to such issuances. It is the policy and objective of the Company not to be exposed to market risk. On issuance of each financial instrument, the Company enters into hedges of its obligations by purchasing financial instruments from other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings.

Significant risks faced by the Company resulting from its trading activities are set out below.

Credit risk

Credit risk refers to the risk of loss arising when a borrower, counterparty or issuer does not meet its financial obligations.

The Morgan Stanley Group manages credit risk exposure on a global consolidation basis and in consideration of individual legal entities. The credit risk management policies and procedures of the Morgan Stanley Group include ensuring transparency of material credit risks, ensuring compliance with established limits and escalating risk concentrations to appropriate senior management. Credit risk management policies and procedures for the Company are consistent with those of the Morgan Stanley Group and include escalation to appropriate key management personnel of the Company.

The Company enters into the majority of its financial asset transactions with other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings, and both the Company and the other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings are wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same ultimate parent entity, Morgan Stanley. As a result of the implicit support that would be provided by Morgan Stanley, the Company is considered exposed to the credit risk of Morgan Stanley, except where the Company transacts with other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings that have a higher credit rating to that of Morgan Stanley.

In addition, the Company incurs credit risk exposure to financial institutions on its cash and short-term deposits. The Credit Risk Management Department ensures that the creditworthiness of the Company's counterparties is reviewed regularly and that credit exposure is actively monitored and managed. This includes an assessment of an obligor's probability of default and relative recovery prospects.

Collateral and other credit enhancements

Regulations in the US which impact certain counterparties to the Company's prepaid equity securities contracts, require that the Company enter into a collateral arrangement with another Morgan Stanley Group undertaking in relation to certain prepaid equity securities contracts. This arrangement may provide the Company with the ability to offset the counterparty's rights and obligations, to request additional collateral when necessary and/ or to liquidate the collateral in the event of counterparty default. Collateral held is managed in accordance with the Morgan Stanley Group's guidelines and the relevant underlying agreements.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

4. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

Credit risk (continued)

Exposure to credit risk

The maximum exposure to credit risk ("gross credit exposure") of the Company as at the reporting date is disclosed below, based on the carrying amounts of the financial assets the Company believes are subject to credit risk. Where the Company enters into credit enhancements to manage the credit exposure on these financial instruments, the financial effect of the credit enhancement is also disclosed below. The net credit exposure represents the credit exposure remaining after the effect of the credit enhancements.

The Company does not have any significant exposure arising from items not recognised on its condensed statement of financial position.

Exposure to credit risk by class

Class	Gross credit exposure (1) S'000	20 June 2013 Credit enhancements S'000	Net credit exposure S'000	Gross credit exposure (1) \$'000	2012 Credit enhancements S'000	Net credit exposure \$'000
Loans and receivables:						
Cash and short-term deposits	12,080	-	12,080	12,025	-	12,025
Trade receivables	141		141	884	-	884
Other receivables	1,056	-	1,056	2,912	-	2,912
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss:						
Prepaid equity securities contracts	424,381	(8,822)	415,559	598,276	(10,913)	587,363
	437,658	(8,822)	428,836	614,097	(10,913)	603,184

⁽¹⁾ The carrying amount recognised in the condensed statement of financial position best represents the Company's maximum exposure to credit risk

Maximum exposure to credit risk by credit rating(1)

	Gross credit exposure			
	30 June	31 December		
Credit rating	2013	2012		
	\$'000	\$'000		
AA	1,567	-		
A	436,091	614,097		
	437,658	614,097		

⁽¹⁾ Internal credit rating derived using methodologies generally consistent with those used by external rating agencies.

At 30 June 2013 there were no financial assets past due but not impaired or individually impaired (31 December 2012; none).

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

4. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

Liquidity risk (continued)

Liquidity risk is the risk that the entity may encounter difficulty in meeting obligations associated with financial liabilities that are settled by delivering cash or another financial asset.

The Morgan Stanley Group's senior management establishes the overall liquidity and funding policies of the Morgan Stanley Group and the liquidity risk management policies and procedures conducted within the Company are consistent with those of the Morgan Stanley Group. The Morgan Stanley Group's liquidity and funding risk management policies are designed to mitigate the potential risk that entities within the Morgan Stanley Group, including the Company, may be unable to access adequate financing to service their financial liabilities when they become payable without material, adverse franchise or business impact. The key objective of the liquidity and funding risk management framework is to support the successful execution of both the Morgan Stanley Group's and the Company's business strategies while ensuring ongoing and sufficient liquidity through the business cycle and during periods of stressed market conditions.

The Company hedges all of its financial liabilities arising from the issuance of financial instruments with financial assets entered into with other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings, where both the Company and other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings are wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same parent, Morgan Stanley. Further, the maturity profile of the financial assets matches the maturity profile of the financial liabilities.

Liquidity management policies

The core components of the Morgan Stanley Group's liquidity management framework, which includes consideration of the liquidity risk for each individual legal entity, are the Contingency Funding Plan ("CFP"), Liquidity Stress Tests and the Global Liquidity Reserve, which support the Morgan Stanley Group's target liquidity profile.

Contingency Funding Plan. The CFP describes the data and information flows, limits, targets, operating environment indicators, escalation procedures, roles and responsibilities, and available mitigating actions in the event of a liquidity stress. The CFP also sets forth the principal elements of the Morgan Stanley Group's liquidity stress testing which identifies stress events of different severity and duration, assesses current funding sources and uses and establishes a plan for monitoring and managing a potential liquidity stress event.

Liquidity Stress Tests. The Morgan Stanley Group uses Liquidity Stress Tests to model liquidity outflows across multiple scenarios over a range of time horizons.

The assumptions underpinning the Liquidity Stress Tests include, but are not limited to, the following: (i) no government support; (ii) no access to unsecured debt markets; (iii) repayment of all unsecured debt maturing within the stress horizon; (iv) higher haircuts and significantly lower availability of secured funding; (v) additional collateral that would be required by trading counterparties and certain exchanges and clearing organisations related to multi-notch credit rating downgrades; (vi) additional collateral that would be required due to collateral substitutions, collateral disputes and uncalled collateral; (vii) discretionary unsecured debt buybacks; (viii) drawdowns on unfunded commitments provided to third parties; (ix) client cash withdrawals and reduction in customer short positions that fund long positions; (x) limited access to the foreign exchange swap markets; (xi) return of securities borrowed on an uncollateralised basis; and (xii) maturity roll-off of outstanding letters of credit with no further issuance.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

4. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

Liquidity risk (continued)

The Liquidity Stress Tests are produced for Morgan Stanley and the major operating subsidiaries, as well as major currency levels, to capture specific cash requirements and cash availability at various legal entities. The Liquidity Stress Tests assume that subsidiaries will use their own liquidity first to fund their obligations before drawing liquidity from Morgan Stanley. It is also assumed that Morgan Stanley does not have access to cash that may be held at certain subsidiaries that are subject to regulatory, legal or tax constraints.

Since the Company hedges the liquidity risk of its financial liabilities with financial assets that match the maturity profile of the financial liabilities, the Company is not considered a major operating subsidiary for the purposes of liquidity risk. However, the Company would have access to the cash or liquidity reserves held by Morgan Stanley in the unlikely event that it was unable to access adequate financing to service its financial liabilities when they become payable.

The CFP and Liquidity Stress Tests are evaluated on an ongoing basis and reported to the Firm Risk Committee, Asset/ Liability Management Committee, and other appropriate risk committees.

Global Liquidity Reserve. The Morgan Stanley Group maintains sufficient liquidity reserves (the "Global Liquidity Reserve") to cover daily funding needs and meet strategic liquidity targets sized by the CFP and Liquidity Stress Tests. The size of the Global Liquidity Reserve is actively managed by the Morgan Stanley Group. The following components are considered in sizing the Global Liquidity Reserve: unsecured debt maturity profile, statement of financial position size and composition, funding needs in a stressed environment inclusive of contingent cash outflows and collateral requirements and additional reserve, which is primarily a discretionary surplus based on the Morgan Stanley Group's risk tolerance and is subject to change dependent on market and firm-specific events.

The Global Liquidity Reserve, to which the Company has access, is held within Morgan Stanley and the Morgan Stanley Group's major operating subsidiaries and is composed of diversified cash and cash equivalents and highly liquid unencumbered securities (including US government securities, US agency securities, US agency mortgage-backed securities, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation -guaranteed corporate debt, non-US government securities and other highly liquid investment grade securities).

Funding management policies

The Morgan Stanley Group manages its funding in a manner that reduces the risk of disruption to the Morgan Stanley Group's and the Company's operations. The Morgan Stanley Group pursues a strategy of diversification of secured and unsecured funding sources (by product, by investor and by region) and attempts to ensure that the tenor of the Morgan Stanley Group's, and the Company's, liabilities equals or exceeds the expected holding period of the assets being financed.

The Morgan Stanley Group funds its statement of financial position on a global basis through diverse sources, which includes consideration of the funding risk of each legal entity. These sources may include the Morgan Stanley Group's equity capital, long-term debt, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, securities lending, deposits, commercial paper, letters of credit and lines of credit. The Morgan Stanley Group has active financing programmes for both standard and structured products, targeting global investors and currencies.

In managing both the Morgan Stanley Group's and the Company's funding risk the composition and size of the entire statement of financial position, not just financial liabilities, is monitored and evaluated. A substantial portion of the Morgan Stanley Group's total assets consists of liquid marketable securities and short-term collateralised receivables arising from its Institutional Securities business segment's sales and trading activities. The liquid nature of these assets provides the Morgan Stanley Group and the Company with flexibility in funding and managing their business.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

4. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

Liquidity risk (continued)

Maturity analysis

In the following maturity analysis of financial assets and financial liabilities, financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss and financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss are disclosed according to their earliest contractual maturity; all such amounts are presented at their fair value, consistent with how these financial instruments are managed. All other amounts represent the undiscounted cash flows receivable and payable by the Company arising from its financial assets and financial liabilities to earliest contractual maturities as at 30 June 2013. Receipt of financial assets and repayments of financial liabilities that are subject to immediate notice are treated as if notice were given immediately and are classified as on demand. This presentation is considered by the Company to appropriately reflect the liquidity risk arising from these financial assets and financial liabilities, presented in a way that is consistent with how the liquidity risk on these financial assets and financial liabilities is managed by the Company.

30 June 2013	On demand S'000	Less than 1 month S'000	Equal to or more than 1 month but less than 3 months S'000	Equal to or more than 3 months but less than 1 year S'000	Equal to or more than 1 year but less than 5 years S'000	Equal to or more than 5 years S'000	Total S'000
Financial assets							
Loans and receivables:							10 000
Cash and short-term deposits	12,080	-		•	-	-	12,080
Trade receivables	141	-	-	S-2	-	-	141
Other receivables	1,056	-	-	-	-	-	1,056
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss:							
Prepaid equity securities contracts			12,227	72,693	339,461		424,381
Total financial assets	13,277		12,227	72,693	339,461		437,658
Financial liabilities							
Financial liabilities at amortised cost:							
Trade payables	8,814	-	-	-	-	-	8,814
Other payables	4,007	-	-	-	-	-	4,007
Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss:							
Issued structured notes			12,227	72,693	339,461		424,381
Total financial liabilities	12,821		12,227	72,693	339,461	-	437,202

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

4. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

Liquidity risk (continued)

31 December 2012	On demand \$'000	Less than 1 month S'000	Equal to or more than 1 month but less than 3 months S'000	Equal to or more than 3 months but less than 1 year S'000	Equal to or more than 1 year but less than 5 years \$'000	Equal to or more than 5 years \$'000	Total S'000
Financial assets							
Loans and receivables:							
Cash and short-term deposits	12,025	-		-	-	-	12,025
Trade receivables	884	-	-	-	-	-	884
Other receivables	2,912	-		-	-	-	2,912
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss:							
Prepaid equity securities contracts	-		14,240	21,266	562,770		598,276
Total financial assets	15,821		14,240	21,266	562,770		614,097
Financial liabilities Financial liabilities at amortised cost:							
Trade payables	11,368	-	2	4	-	_	11,368
Other payables	3,997	-	-	-		-	3,997
Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss:							
Issued structured notes	-	-	14,240	21,266	562,770		598,276
Total financial liabilities	15,365		14,240	21,266	562,770		613,641

Market risk

Market risk is defined under IFRS 7 'Financial instruments: Disclosures' as the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices.

Sound market risk management is an integral part of the Company's and the Morgan Stanley Group's culture. The Company is responsible for ensuring that market risk exposures are well-managed and prudent and more broadly for ensuring transparency of material market risks, monitoring compliance with established limits, and escalating risk concentrations to appropriate senior management.

To execute these responsibilities, the Morgan Stanley Group monitors the market risk of the firm against limits on aggregate risk exposures, performs a variety of risk analyses, routinely reports risk summaries and maintains the Value at Risk ("VaR") and scenario systems. The Company is managed within the Morgan Stanley Group's global framework. The market risk management policies and procedures of the Company include performing risk analyses and reporting any material risks identified to appropriate key management personnel of the Company.

The Company enters into the majority of its financial asset transactions with other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings, where both the Company and the other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings are whollyowned subsidiaries of the same group parent entity, Morgan Stanley.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

4. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT (CONTINUED)

Market risk (continued)

The issued financial instruments expose the Company to the risk of changes in market prices of the underlying securities, interest rate risk and, where denominated in currencies other than US dollars, the risk of changes in rates of exchange between the US dollar and the other relevant currencies. The Company uses the contracts that it purchases from other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings, to hedge the market price, interest rate and foreign currency risks associated with the issuance of the financial instruments, consistent with the Company's risk management strategy. As such, the Company is not exposed to any market risk on these financial instruments.

5. FINANCIAL ASSETS AND FINANCIAL LIABILITIES SUBJECT TO OFFSETTING

In order to manage credit exposure arising from its business activities, the Company applies various credit risk management policies and procedures, see note 4 for further details. Primarily in connection with prepaid equity securities contracts, the Company enters into master netting agreements and collateral arrangements with its counterparties. These agreements provide the Company with the right, in the ordinary course of business and/ or in the event of a counterparty default (such as bankruptcy or a counterparty's failure to pay or perform), to net a counterparty's rights and obligations under such agreement and, in the event of a counterparty default, set off collateral against the net amount owed by the counterparty. In certain circumstances, the Company may not have such an agreement in place; the relevant insolvency regime (which is based on type of entity and the jurisdiction of organisation of the counterparty) may not support the enforceability of the agreement; or the Company may not have sought legal advice to support the enforceability of the agreement. In cases where the Company has not determined an agreement to be enforceable, the related amounts are not offset. The Company's policy is generally to take possession of securities and to receive cash posted as collateral (with rights of rehypothecation). The enforceability of the master netting agreement is taken into account in the Company's risk management practices and application of counterparty credit limits. The Company also monitors the fair value of the underlying securities as compared with the related payable, and, as necessary, requests additional collateral as provided under the applicable agreement to ensure such transactions are adequately collateralised.

In the condensed statement of financial position, financial assets and financial liabilities are only offset and presented on a net basis where there is a current legally enforceable right to set off the recognised amounts and an intention to either settle on a net basis or to realise the asset and the liability simultaneously. Due to the absence of such conditions, financial assets and financial liabilities are presented on a gross basis in the condensed statement of financial position. In relation to financial assets and financial liabilities designated at fair value, collateral arrangements are in place which would allow for \$8,822,000 (31 December 2012: \$10,913,000) to be offset, and intercompany cross-product netting arrangements are in place which would allow for \$373,913,000 (31 December 2012: \$545,899,000) to be further offset.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

6. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE

a. Financial assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis

The following tables present the carrying value of the Company's financial assets and financial liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis, classified according to the fair value hierarchy.

30 June 2013	Quoted prices in active market (Level 1) \$'000	Valuation techniques using observable inputs (Level 2) \$'000	Valuation techniques with significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) \$'000	Total \$'000
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss: Prepaid equity securities contracts		398,652	25,729	424,381
Total financial assets measured at fair value	_	398,652	25,729	424,381
Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss: Issued structured notes	-	398,652 398,652	25,729 25,729	424,381 424,381
Total financial liabilities measured at fair value		398,032		424,301
31 December 2012	Quoted prices in active market (Level 1) \$'000	Valuation techniques using observable inputs (Level 2) \$'000	Valuation techniques with significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) \$'000	Total \$'000
31 December 2012 Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss:	prices in active market (Level 1)	techniques using observable inputs (Level 2)	techniques with significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) \$'000	\$'000
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss: Prepaid equity securities contracts	prices in active market (Level 1)	techniques using observable inputs (Level 2) \$'000	techniques with significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) \$'000	\$'000 598,276
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss:	prices in active market (Level 1)	techniques using observable inputs (Level 2) \$'000	techniques with significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) \$'000	\$'000
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss: Prepaid equity securities contracts	prices in active market (Level 1)	techniques using observable inputs (Level 2) \$'000	techniques with significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) \$'000	\$'000 598,276

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

6. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE (CONTINUED)

a. Financial assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis (continued)

The Company's valuation approach and fair value hierarchy categorisation for financial instruments recognised at fair value on a recurring basis is as follows:

Issued structured notes and prepaid equity securities contracts designated at fair value through profit or loss

The Company issues structured notes and purchases prepaid equity securities contracts that have coupons or repayment terms linked to the performance of debt or equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities. The fair value of structured notes and prepaid equity securities contracts is determined using valuation models for the derivative and debt portions of the notes. These models incorporate observable inputs referencing identical or comparable securities, including prices to which the notes are linked, interest rate yield curves, option volatility and currency, commodity or equity prices. Independent, external and traded prices for the notes are also considered. The impact of own credit spreads is also included based on observed secondary bond market spreads. Generally, issued structured notes and prepaid equity securities contracts are categorised in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. In instances where significant inputs are unobservable, they are categorised in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

b. Transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy for financial assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy during the current period and prior year.

c. Changes in Level 3 financial assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis

The following tables present the changes in the fair value of the Company's Level 3 financial assets and financial liabilities for the period ended 30 June 2013 and year ended 31 December 2012.

Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair value of positions that the Company has classified within the Level 3 category. As a result, the unrealised gains or losses during the period for assets and liabilities within the Level 3 category presented in the tables below may include changes in fair value during the period that were attributable to both observable (e.g. changes in market interest rates) and unobservable (e.g. changes in unobservable long-dated volatilities) inputs.

The Morgan Stanley Group operates a number of intra-group policies to ensure that, where possible, revenues and related costs are matched. Where the trading positions included in the below tables are risk managed using financial instruments held by other Morgan Stanley Group undertakings, these policies potentially result in the recognition of offsetting gains or losses in the Company.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

6. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE (CONTINUED)

 Changes in Level 3 financial assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis (continued)

30 June 2013	Balance at 1 January 2013 S'000	Total gains or (losses) recognised in condensed statement of comprehensive income (1) \$'000	S 000.S Durchases	0000.S	000,S Settlements	Net transfers in and/ or out of Level 3 (2) S'000	Balance at 30 June 2013 S'000	Unrealised gains or (losses) for Level 3 assets /liabilities outstanding as at 30 June 2013 (3) \$'000
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss:								
Prepaid equity securities contracts	73,409	(1,285)			(4,011)	(42,384)	25,729	(956)
Total financial assets measured at fair value	73,409	(1,285)			(4,011)	(42,384)	25,729	(956)
Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss: Issued structured notes	(73,409)	1,285			4,011	42,384	(25,729)	956
Total financial liabilities measured at fair value	(73,409)	1,285			4,011	42,384	(25,729)	956

⁽¹⁾ The total gains or (losses) are recognised in the condensed statement of comprehensive income, as detailed in the financial instruments accounting policy in the Company's annual financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2012.

During the period ended 30 June 2013, there were no transfers of prepaid equity securities contracts and issued structured notes from Level 2 to Level 3.

During the period ended 30 June 2013, the Company reclassified approximately \$42,384,000 of prepaid equity securities contracts and \$42,384,000 of issued structured notes from Level 3 to Level 2. The reclassifications were due to an increase in market price quotations for these or comparable instruments, or available broker quotes, such that observable inputs were utilised for fair value measurement.

⁽²⁾ For financial assets and financial liabilities that were transferred into and out of Level 3 during the period, gains or (losses) are presented as if the assets or liabilities had been transferred into or out of Level 3 as at the beginning of the period.

⁽³⁾ Amounts represent unrealised gains or (losses) for the period ended 30 June 2013 related to assets and liabilities still outstanding at 30 June 2013, as detailed in the financial instruments accounting policy in the Company's annual financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2012.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

6. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE (CONTINUED)

 Changes in Level 3 financial assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis (continued)

31 December 2012	Balance at 1 January 2012 S'000	Total gains or (losses) recognised in statement of comprehensive income (1) S'000	000.S 0 Purchases	000.5 O Issuances	000.5 Settlements	Net transfers in and/ or out of Level 3 (2) S'000	Balance at 31 December 2012 S'000	Unrealised gains or (losses) for Level 3 assets /liabilities outstanding as at 31 December 2012 (3) S'000
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss:								
Prepaid equity securities contracts	75,304	3,234	6,903		(11,047)	(985)	73,409	6,821
Total financial assets measured at fair value	75,304	3,234	6,903		(11,047)	(985)	73,409	6,821
Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss: Issued structured notes	(75,304)	(3,234)		(6,903)	11,047	985	(73,409)	(6,821)
Total financial liabilities measured at fair value	(75,304)	(3,234)		(6,903)	11,047	985	(73,409)	(6,821)

⁽¹⁾ The total gains or (losses) are recognised in the statement of comprehensive income as detailed in the financial instruments accounting policy in the Company's annual financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2012.

During the year, the Company reclassified approximately \$4,475,000 of prepaid equity securities contracts and \$4,475,000 of issued structured notes from Level 2 to Level 3. The reclassifications were due to a reduction in the volume of recently executed transactions and market price quotations for these instruments, or lack of available broker quotes, such that certain significant inputs for the fair value measurement became unobservable.

During the year, the Company reclassified approximately \$5,460,000 of prepaid equity securities contracts and \$5,460,000 of issued structured notes from Level 3 to Level 2. The reclassifications were due to an increase in market price quotations for these or comparable instruments, or available broker quotes, such that observable inputs were utilised for the fair value measurement.

⁽²⁾ For financial assets and financial liabilities that were transferred into and out of Level 3 during the year, gains or (losses) are presented as if the assets or liabilities had been transferred into or out of Level 3 as at the beginning of the year.

⁽³⁾ Amounts represent unrealised gains or (losses) for the year ended 31 December 2012 related to assets and liabilities still outstanding at 31 December 2012, as detailed in the financial instruments accounting policy in the Company's annual financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2012.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

6. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE (CONTINUED)

d. Valuation of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis

The disclosures below provide information on the sensitivity of fair value measurements to key inputs and assumptions.

1. Quantitative information about and qualitative sensitivity of significant unobservable inputs

The table below provides information on the valuation techniques, significant unobservable inputs and their ranges for each category of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis with a significant Level 3 balance. The table also provides information on the directional effect of a change in a significant unobservable input on the fair value measurement.

The level of aggregation cause the range of inputs to be wide and not evenly distributed across the inventory. Further, the range of unobservable inputs may differ across firms in the financial services industry because of diversity in the types of products included in each firm's inventory.

30 June 2013	Fair value Valuation S'000 technique(s)	Significant unobservable input(s) / Sensitivity of the fair value to changes in the unobservable inputs	Range
ASSETS			
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss: Prepaid equity securities contracts	25,729 Option model	At the money volatility / (A)(C) Volatility skew / (A)(C) Equity – Equity correlation / (A)(C) Equity – Foreign exchange correlation / (B)(C)	16% to 34% -3% to 0% 45% to 94% -30% to -25%
LIABILITIES Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss: Issued structured notes	(25,729) Option model	At the money volatility / (A)(C) Volatility skew / (A)(C) Equity – Equity correlation / (A)(C) Equity – Foreign exchange correlation / (B)(C)	16% to 34% -3% to 0% 45% to 94% -30% to -25%

Sensitivity of the fair value to changes in the unobservable inputs:

- (A) Significant increase/ (decrease) in the unobservable input in isolation would result in a significantly higher/ (lower) fair value measurement.
- (B) Significant increase/ (decrease) in the unobservable input in isolation would result in a significantly lower/ (higher) fair value measurement.
- (C) There are no predictable relationships between the significant unobservable inputs.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

6. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE (CONTINUED)

d. Valuation of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis (continued)

1. Quantitative information about and qualitative sensitivity of significant unobservable inputs (continued)

The following provides a description of significant unobservable inputs included in the table above for all categories of assets and liabilities:

- Correlation a pricing input where the payoff is driven by more than one underlying risk.
 Correlation is a measure of the relationship between the movements of two variables (i.e. how the change in one variable influences a change in the other variable). The correlation ranges may be wide since any two underlying inputs may be highly correlated (either positively or negatively) or weakly correlated.
- Volatility the measure of the variability in possible returns for an instrument given how much that instrument changes in value over time. Volatility is a pricing input for options and, generally, the lower the volatility, the less risky the option. The level of volatility used in the valuation of a particular option depends on a number of factors, including the nature of the risk underlying that option (e.g. the volatility of a particular underlying equity security may be significantly different from that of a particular underlying commodity index), the tenor and the strike price of the option.
- Volatility skew the measure of the difference in implied volatility for options with identical
 underliers and expiry dates but with different strikes. The implied volatility for an option with a
 strike price that is above or below the current price of an underlying asset will typically deviate
 from the implied volatility for an option with a strike price equal to the current price of that same
 underlying asset.

2. Sensitivity of fair values to changing significant assumptions to reasonably possible alternatives

All financial instruments are valued in accordance with the techniques outlined in the fair value hierarchy. Some of these techniques, including those used to value instruments categorised in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, are dependent on unobservable parameters and the fair value for these financial instruments has been determined using parameters appropriate for the valuation methodology based on prevailing market evidence. It is recognised that the unobservable parameters could have a range of reasonably possible alternative values.

In estimating the change in fair value, to provide information about the variability of the fair value measurement, the unobservable parameters were varied to the extremes of the ranges of reasonably possible alternatives using statistical techniques, such as dispersion in comparable observable external inputs for similar asset classes, historic data or judgement if a statistical technique is not appropriate. Where a financial instrument has more than one unobservable parameter, the sensitivity analysis reflects the greatest reasonably possible increase or decrease to fair value by varying the assumptions individually. It is unlikely that all unobservable parameters would be concurrently at the extreme range of possible alternative assumptions and therefore the sensitivity shown below is likely to be greater than the actual uncertainty relating to the financial instruments.

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Six months ended 30 June 2013

6. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE (CONTINUED)

d. Valuation of Level 3 financial assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a recurring basis (continued)

2. Sensitivity of fair values to changing significant assumptions to reasonably possible alternatives (continued)

The following tables present the sensitivity of the fair value of Level 3 financial assets and financial liabilities as at 30 June 2013 and at 31 December 2012 to reasonably possible alternative assumptions providing quantitative information on the potential variability of the fair value measurement.

		Effect of reasonably possible alternative assumptions		
30 June 2013	Fair value \$'000	Increase in fair value \$'000	Decrease in fair value \$'000	
Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss: Prepaid equity securities contracts	25,729	487	(483)	
Financial liabilities designated at fair value through profit or loss: Issued structured notes	(25,729)	(487)	483	
31 December 2012	Fair value \$'000	Effect of reason alternative as Increase in fair value \$'000		
31 December 2012 Financial assets designated at fair value through profit or loss: Prepaid equity securities contracts	4.7000000000000000000000000000000000000	alternative as Increase in fair value	Ssumptions Decrease in fair value	

e. Assets and liabilities recognised at fair value on a non-recurring basis

Non-recurring fair value measurements of assets or liabilities are those which are required or permitted in the condensed statement of financial position in particular circumstances. There were no assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the current period or prior year.

7. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES NOT MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE

For all financial instruments not measured at fair value, the carrying amount is considered to be a reasonable approximation of fair value due to the short term nature of these assets and liabilities.